

Jurisdiction: Mexico

- I. Refining the regulatory perimeter
- II. Hedge funds
- **III. Securitisation**
- IV. Enhancing supervision
- V. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- VI. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VII. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VIII. Enhancing risk management
 - IX. Strengthening deposit insurance
 - X. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
 - XI. Enhancing financial consumer protection
- XII. Reference to source of recommendations
- XIII. List of Abbreviations



1 H t t r i	Refining the regulatory Review of the boundaries of the	w perimeter We will each review and adapt the			
(1) t r i	boundaries of the	We will each review and adapt the	I		
(1) r			Jurisdictions should indicate the steps	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): The Financial
	regulatory framework including strengthening of oversight of shadow banking ¹	boundaries of the regulatory framework to keep pace with developments in the financial system and promote good practices and consistent approaches at an international level. (London)	taken to expand the domestic regulatory framework to previously unregulated entities, for example, non-bank financial institutions (e.g. finance companies, mortgage insurance companies, credit hedge funds) and conduits/SIVs etc.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	System Stability Council (CESF) evaluates on a continuous basis whether the current boundaries of the regulatory framework are consistent to reduce the risk exposure of the financial system. The analysis includes innovation and
(1)		We agree to strengthen the regulation and oversight of the shadow banking system. ² (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should indicate policy measures to strengthen the regulation and oversight of the shadow banking system. See, for reference, the recommendations discussed in section 2 of the October 2011 FSB report: Shadow Banking: Strengthening Oversight and Regulation.	 ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed: ☑ Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014 Short description of the content of the 	other trends in the development of financial markets. Expected commencement date: Ongoing Web-links to relevant documents:

Some authorities or market participants prefer to use other terms such as "market-based financing" instead of "shadow banking" is not intended to cast a pejorative tone on this system of credit intermediation. However, the FSB is using the term "shadow banking" as this is the most commonly employed and, in particular, has been used in the earlier G20 communications.

² This recommendation will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				order to increase transparency and to strengthen oversight of the shadow banking sector; the financial reform extended the scope to regulated SOFOMES, corporations whose principal purpose is to grant credit and/or lease holdings and/or financial factoring. Previously, the regulated SOFOMES were considered as those that have equity ties with a bank or with the holding of a financial group for which the bank belonged to. With the new regulatory approach, the regulated SOFOMES are considered as those that: i. Have equity ties with banks or with the holding of the financial group for which the bank belongs to, or with savings and loans entities, ii. Issue debt in the capital market, or iii. By its own decision require to be under supervision of the CNBV (provided that certain requirements related to their equity ratios are satisfied).	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey: The financial reform was passed on January 10, 2014.	
				Web-links to relevant documents: Credit Organisations and Ancillary Activities Law (LGOACC) http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Ley%20General%20de%20Organizacion	
				es%20y%20Actividades%20Auxiliares%	
				20del%20Crédito.docx This document is	
				available in Spanish only.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
II.	Hedge funds				
	_t	We also firmly recommitted to work in an internationally consistent and non-discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul) Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	Jurisdictions should state whether Hedge Funds(HFs) are domiciled locally and, if available, indicate the size of the industry in terms of Assets Under Management (AUM) and number of HFs. Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles contained in IOSCO's Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009). In particular, jurisdictions should specify whether: - HFs and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration - Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements regarding: • Organisational and operational standards; • Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; • Disclosure to investors; and • Prudential regulation.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014 (Financial Reform) Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Hedge funds may not be registered under the mutual funds' regulatory framework.	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any): For those mutual funds that trade derivatives, the manager should have sound risk management policies. In addition, the CNBV is in the process to update the secondary regulation for mutual funds, in order to define the investment regime applicable to limited-object funds, under which hedge-funds may be registered to be able to make public distribution of their shares. Expected commencement date: 4Q, 2014 Web-links to relevant documents:
				Nevertheless these types of investment schemes may be structured as closed trusts or other types of special purpose	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				vehicles, which can be offered to sophisticated costumers only, as defined by the Securities Market Law (LMV). Moreover, within the registry process for a mutual fund (accordingly with the regulation), the CNBV would require that it is managed by an authorised fund manager (other authorised intermediaries may be allowed to undertake certain activities of hedge fund management). It should also be noted that certain private collective investment schemes that are not publicly offered, are exempt from regulatory disclosure requirements (i.e. financial information or regulatory reports to the CNBV). However, risks to investors posed by any investment scheme similar to hedge funds operating in Mexico are mitigated by the CNBV through regulatory access to investors' detailed portfolio information.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey: On January 10, 2014 the former Mutual Funds Law was amended and became the Investment Funds Law. This new law enhances the regulatory framework of collective investment schemes in Mexico by creating a new type of entity which improves the corporate governance of investment	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				funds in terms of its constitution	
				procedures, reallocation of their board of	
				directors' functions to the investment	
				fund management company and by	
				limiting mutual funds' shareholders to	
				economic rights, among others. In	
				addition, the duty of loyalty and the duty	
				of care were imposed to the board of	
				directors of investment fund management	
				companies. Provisions on conflict of	
				interests, investment advice services, and	
				external independent auditors	
				requirements were also imposed.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Investment Funds Law:	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/	
				Ley%20de%20Fondos%20de%20Inversi	
				ón.doc This document is available in	
				Spanish only.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (3)	Establishment of international	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level	✓ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide
	information sharing framework	between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles	principles in IOSCO's <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</i> on sharing information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers. In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are:	the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: The regulatory framework forbids the public promotion of cross-	Planned actions (if any): Expected commencement date:
		by the end of 2009. (London)	 Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover 	border funds in Mexico unless their securities are offered exclusively to qualified and/or institutional investors.	Web-links to relevant documents:
			hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO <u>Principles Regarding</u> <u>Cross-border Supervisory</u>	completed: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			Cooperation. In particular, jurisdictions should indicate those jurisdictions where an MoU is in	☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			place that provides for oversight when a hedge fund is located in one of these jurisdictions and manager is located	Status of progress: Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			elsewhere.	☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Reform effective (completed) as of: Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: The regulatory framework forbids the promotion of cross-border funds in	



Mexico unless their securities are offered to qualified and/or institutional investors by the means of a private offering. Such securities could be offered publicly but in that case, it would apply the regulatory	
by the means of a private offering. Such securities could be offered publicly but in	
securities could be offered publicly but in	
that case, it would apply the regulatory	
that case, it would apply the regulatory	
framework for issuers (register, public	
offering, disclosure, corporate	
governance, etc.). The CNBV of Mexico	
is a MMoU signatory since 2003.	
Highlight main developments since last	
year's survey:	
Web-links to relevant documents:	
IOSCO MMoU list of current signatories	
(As of June 18, 2014):	
http://www.iosco.org/library/index.cfm?s	
ection=mou_siglist	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
4	Enhancing counterparty	Supervisors should require that	Jurisdictions should indicate specific	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): The CNBV is
(4)	risk management	institutions which have hedge funds as	policy measures taken for enhancing	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	working on the development of the
		their counterparties have effective risk	counterparty risk management and	the moment	regulatory framework to strengthen Pillar
		management, including mechanisms to	strengthening their existing guidance on	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but	I capital adequacy rules in relation to
		monitor the funds' leverage and set limits	the management of exposure to leveraged	no action envisaged" has been	counterparty credit risks.
		for single counterparty exposures.	counterparties.	selected, please provide a brief justification:	
		(London)	In particular, jurisdictions should indicate	✓ Implementation ongoing or	Expected commencement date: 4Q,
			whether they have implemented the	completed :	2014
			Basel III rules for credit exposures to	Issue is being addressed through:	
			highly leveraged counterparties (para 112	✓ Primary / Secondary legislation	Web-links to relevant documents:
			of <u>Basel III (Jun 2011)</u> – see also <u>FAQ</u>	☐ Regulation / Guidelines	web-miks to relevant documents.
			no 1b.4 on Basel III counterparty credit	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory	
			risk, Dec 2012), and principle 2.iii of	actions), please specify:	
			IOSCO <u>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight</u> (Jun 2009). Jurisdictions should also	Status of progress :	
			indicate the steps they are taking to	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	
			implement the new standards on equity	publication by:	
			exposures (Capital requirements for	☐ Draft published as of:	
			banks' equity investments in funds, Dec	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	
			<u>2013</u>) by 1 January 2017.	and will come into force on:	
			For further reference, see also the	☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014	
			following documents:		
				Short description of the content of the	
(4)		Supervisors will strengthen their existing	BCBS <u>Sound Practices for Banks'</u>	legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		guidance on the management of	Interactions with Highly Leveraged	Mexico addresses the issue of	
		exposures to leveraged counterparties.	<u>Institutions (Jan 1999)</u>	counterparty risk through the CUB -	
		(Rec. II.17,FSF 2008)	BCBS <u>Banks' Interactions with</u>	Secondary Legislation-, which is	
			Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan	amended regularly and allows the CNBV	
			<u>1999)</u>	to supervise banking institutions on this	
				matter, while giving the legislators more	
				flexibility than Primary Legislation to	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				adapt the law to new scenarios.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey: The Primary and	
				Secondary legislation were provided with	
				increased flexibility so they could promptly adapt to new scenarios and	
				financial schemes.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				General Provisions for Banks (CUB):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/	
				Disposiciones%20de%20carácter%20gen	
				eral%20aplicables%20a%20las%20instit	
				uciones%20de%20crédito.docx This	
				document is available in Spanish only.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III.	Securitisation			-	_
5 (5) (5)	Securitisation Improving the risk management of securitisation	During 2010, supervisors and regulators will: • implement IOSCO's proposals to strengthen practices in securitisation markets. (FSB 2009) The BCBS and authorities should take forward work on improving incentives for risk management of securitisation, including considering due diligence and quantitative retention requirements by 2010. (London) Securitization sponsors or originators should retain a part of the risk of the underlying assets, thus encouraging them to act prudently. (Pittsburgh)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendations contained in: • IOSCO's <u>Unregulated Financial Markets and Products (Sep 2009)</u> , including justification for any exemptions to the IOSCO recommendations; and • BCBS's Basel 2.5 standards on exposures to securitisations (Jul 2009), http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs157.pdf and http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs158.pdf . Jurisdictions may also indicate progress in implementing the recommendations of the IOSCO's <u>Report on Global Developments in Securitisation Regulation (Nov 2012)</u> .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any): The CNBV will amend the operational regulation for banking institutions to strengthen securitisations disclosure of the underlying assets and foster an enhanced risk assessment. Special emphasis will be given to re-securitisations. Such regulatory actions will be executed under the existing regulatory and supervisory powers. Expected commencement date: 4Q, 2014 Web-links to relevant documents:
				and will come into force on: ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014 Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: The	The state of the value documents.
				CNBV has strengthened the prudential	

³ Jurisdictions should not provide responses on IOSCO recommendations concerning the alignment incentives associated with securitisation (including risk retention requirements) since these will be covered by an IOSCO peer review in 2014.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				standards for securitisation practices based on: i. the development of a regulatory framework for sales practices and asset management which includes, among others, due diligence processes for complex instruments; ii. the strengthening of preventive measures for risk management; and iii. the undertaking of on-site authorisation processes for risk management practices. Regulation regarding business conduct practices was issued in November 2012. Additionally, on January 1, 2013, the capitalisation rule was amended to establish higher capital requirement to resecuritisation exposures and to incorporate banks' internal assessment of their securitisations exposures, as an operational requirement for the use of an external credit rating.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey: The Securities Market Law (LMV) was amended in order to include sales practice requirements and due diligence obligations as well as a sanction regime. Web-links to relevant documents: Securities Markets Law (LMV):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/ Ley%20del%20Mercado%20de%20Valo	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				res.doc General Provisions for Broker	
				Dealers (CUCB):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/	
				Disposiciones%20de%20carácter%20gen	
				eral%20aplicables%20a%20las%20casas	
				%20de%20bolsa.docx These documents	
				are available in Spanish only.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6	Strengthening of	Insurance supervisors should strengthen	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): Secondary
(6)	regulatory and capital framework for monolines	the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8 ,FSF 2008)	measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monolines.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but"	regulation is under discussion, the final version is expected to be released before the end of 2014.
			See, for reference, the following	no action envisaged" has been	
			principles issued by IAIS:	selected, please provide a brief justification:	Expected commencement date: 4Q, 2014
			• <u>ICP 13</u> – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer;	☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:	Web links to relevant decomposite.
			• <u>ICP 15</u> – Investments; and	Issue is being addressed through:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			• <u>ICP 17</u> - Capital Adequacy.	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			Jurisdictions may also refer to:	☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
			IAIS <u>Guidance paper on enterprise</u>	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			risk management for capital	Status of progress :	
			adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct 2008).	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: April, 2015	
			Joint Forum's consultative document	☐ Draft published as of:	
			on <u>Mortgage insurance: market</u> <u>structure, underwriting cycle and</u>	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			policy implications (Feb 2013).	☐ Reform effective (completed) as of:	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Monolines in Mexico are subject to	
				specific rules regarding technical	
				provisions, capital requirements,	
				licensing requirements, investment	
				regime, etc. Moreover, in April 2013 a	
				new law was approved, which provides	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				additional guidance to strengthen the	
				supervision of these institutions on risk	
				management, corporate governance,	
				capital requirements, technical	
				provisions, investments, etc.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: A three phase qualitative	
				impact study and a parallel three phase	
				quantitative impact study have been	
				conducted in 2014 in order to measure the	
				impact on insurers of the implementation	
				of the new law.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 7 (7)	Description Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	G20/FSB Recommendations Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18 ,FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance product. Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through:	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any): Expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			report on Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due Diligence When Investing in Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009). Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on Credit Risk Transfer- Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).	 ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: March 28, 2014 	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: The CNBV published in November 2012, and amended in March 2014, the regulation for advisory and non-advisory financial services applicable to banks and brokerage firms. Financial entities will be responsible for information disclosure	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				to consumers through the recently created	
				Committee of Financial Product Analysis.	
				This committee will be in charge of such	
				analysis whenever financial products are	
				used to provide investment advice	
				(advisory services) and general	
				recommendations (non-advisory	
				services). In advisory services, financial	
				products must, among others, be analysed	
				in order to determine the kind of	
				customer it may be suitable for through	
				know-your-product obligations (including	
				particular requirements for distribution of	
				complex financial products). Regarding	
				non-advisory services, information	
				disclosed to investors must include the	
				financial product main characteristics,	
				investment horizon, secondary market,	
				commissions, risks, and warnings about	
				past performance. On-Site and Off-Site	
				supervision that assess the procedures and	
				actions that will be implemented by the	
				entities in order to comply with the rules	
				has been approved. It is important to	
				consider that new sales practice	
				requirements, obligations and new	
				sanction/fines regime for business	
				conduct have been introduced within the	
				Securities Market Law as part of the	
				aforementioned financial reform.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Securities Markets Law (LMV):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/L	
				ey%20del%20Mercado%20de%20Valore	
				s.doc General Provisions for Broker	
				Dealers (CUCB):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/D	
				isposiciones%20de%20carácter%20gener	
				al%20aplicables%20a%20las%20casas%	
				20de%20bolsa.docx General Provisions	
				for Banks and Broker Dealers on	
				Investment Services (DSI):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/D	
				isposiciones%20CB%20e%20IC%20en%	
				20materia%20de%20servicios%20de%20	
				inversi%C3%B3n.docx These	
				documents are available in Spanish only.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8	Enhanced disclosure of	Securities market regulators should work	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(8)	securitised products	with market participants to expand	measures taken for enhancing disclosure	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	been fully implemented, please provide
		*	of securitised products.	the moment	reasons for delayed implementation:
		their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-	See, for reference, IOSCO's <u>Report on</u>	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but	
		III.13, FSF 2008)	Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for	no action envisaged" has been	Planned actions (if any):
			Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012) and	selected, please provide a brief	
			IOSCO's <u>Disclosure Principles for</u>	justification:	Expected commencement date:
			Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-	☑ Implementation ongoing or	•
			Backed Securities (Apr 2010).	completed:	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Issue is being addressed through:	web-miks to relevant documents.
				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: September, 2008	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: In	
				September 2008, the regulatory	
				framework was amended to include	
				disclosure guidance on securitisations	
				prospectus. Additionally, it provides that	
				annual, quarterly and monthly reports	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				should contain complete information	
				regarding underlying assets.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: There has not been any	
				amendments to the regulatory framework.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Securities Markets Law (LMV):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/L	
				ey%20del%20Mercado%20de%20Valore	
				s.doc General Provisions for Securities	
				Issuers (CUE):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/SECTORES-	
				SUPERVISADOS/BURS%C3%81TIL/N	
				ormatividad/Paginas/Emisoras.aspx	
				These documents are only available in	
				Spanish.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.	Enhancing supervision			=	
			Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of SIFIs. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Framework for G-SIBs (Nov 2011) • Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) • BCP 12 (Sep 2012) IAIS: • Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013) • ICP 23— Group wide supervision FSB:	Progress to date ☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☐ Implementation ongoing or completed: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of:	Planned actions (if any): The CNBV is working on an internal methodology to detect and assess systemic risks posed by all banks operating in Mexico. This methodology is aimed to obtain certain metrics as a basis for the calculation of systemic risks created by specific financial institutions. Once these metrics are set up, specific requirements for each entity will be established in terms of minimum capital ratios, additional reserves, among other factors. Thereafter, based on this methodology, the drafting of a new regulation applicable to Systemically Important Institutions could be considered. Banco de México has developed a
			 ICP 23- Group wide supervision FSB: Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 	 □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved 	applicable to Systemically Important Institutions could be considered. Banco de México has developed a methodology to identify D-SIBs and a
			• Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011)	 ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014 Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: In 	_
				August, 2010, the CESF was established to assess potential systemic risks to the	but, at the same time, it also takes into account the specificities of the Mexican

⁴ The scope of the follow-up to this recommendation will be revised once the monitoring framework on policy measures for G-SIFIs, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				financial system. In January, 2014, as part	Financial system as well as the
				of the Financial Reform and the	information availability.
				amendments made to the Law to Regulate	
				Financial Institutions, the CESF was	Expected commencement date: To be
				established in Law . In addition, the	determined
				Financial Reform amended various	
				articles from the LIC, which vested the	Web-links to relevant documents:
				CNBV with new powers to increase its	Web-miks to relevant documents.
				supervision and regulation abilities and,	
				in particular, they grant powers to impose	
				capital surcharges for systemic risk.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: In February 2014 the	
				CNBV began to work on the	
				development of a methodology to identify	
				systemic risks that will be finalised in	
				2015.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
10 (10)	Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk	To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of significant cross-border firms. Please	✓ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
(10)	assessments	We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory	indicate whether supervisory colleges for all significant cross-border firms (both banks and insurance companies) have been established and whether the supervisory colleges for G-SIFIs are conducting rigorous risk assessments.	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: Only home jurisdictions of significant cross-border firms should respond this question.	Planned actions (if any): Expected commencement date:
		colleges. (Seoul)	Principle 13 of BCBS Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision and Good practice principles on supervisory colleges (Oct 2010) may be used as a guide for supervisor to indicate the implementation progress. For further reference, see the following documents: BCBS: • Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision (Sep 2012) IAIS: • ICP 25 and Guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6 on establishment of supervisory colleges • Guidance 25.6.20 and 25.8.16 on risk assessments by supervisory colleges	☐ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Reform effective (completed) as of: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last	Web-links to relevant documents:
			IOSCO: • Principles Regarding Cross-Border	year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			Supervisory Cooperation (May 2010)		



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
11	Supervisory exchange	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to	Jurisdictions should include any feedback	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): MFA will
(11)	of information and coordination	developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions,	received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the <u>September 2012</u> BCP 3	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	continue to work towards increasing their compliance with the Basel Core
(11)	Coordination	supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008) Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	(Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home-host relationships). Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations. Jurisdictions should describe any regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that will contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected	Principles and the enhancement of cross-border information exchange mechanisms. The CNBV will continue working through a direct, ongoing and close communication with its foreign counterparts. Moreover, in terms of supervisory colleges and crisis management groups of foreign banks with large presence in Mexico, information sharing and cooperation arrangements have been discussed and are expected to be signed in the near future. As well, the CNBV will continue to seek material information from home
			multilateral MoUs).	publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	supervisors before colleges are held and will focus on generating certain consolidated documents such as an
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January, 2014	institution joint risk score and an inspection visit program. Furthermore, the signing of cooperation agreements for
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Mexican financial authorities have implemented local MOUs for information sharing and coordination at a national level. In addition, financial authorities may also share information through the CESF. At international level, bilateral	crisis management groups will continue to be pursued. Lastly, Banco de México and the CNBV will promote within the international fora a balance in terms of home-host flow, volume and usefulness of the exchange of information.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				MOUs have been implemented with foreign financial authorities and multilateral MOUs with standard setting bodies, including IOSCO and IAIS. The	Expected commencement date: Ongoing
				CNBV, CNSF and Banco de México have legal authority to sign agreements for information exchange with foreign authorities.	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey: The Financial Reform, passed in January 2014, included the appropriate amendments to the Banking Institutions Law in order to attend the FSAP/ROSC recommendations, to increase compliance with the Basel Core Principles and to provide more autonomy to the CNBV for decision making, increase risk management and supervision provisions. In addition, the reform provided powers to Banco de México to enter into agreements with foreign authorities for the exchange of information.	
				Web-links to relevant documents: Mexico: Detailed Assessment of Observance of Basel Core Principles (March 30, 2012): https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/scr/ 2012/cr1266.pdf	





No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12	Strengthening resources	We agreed that supervisors should have	No information on this recommendation		
(12)	and effective	strong and unambiguous mandates,	will be collected in the current IMN		
(12)	supervision	sufficient independence to act,	survey since a peer review is taking place		
		appropriate resources, and a full suite of	in this area during 2014.		
		tools and powers to proactively identify			
		and address risks, including regular stress			
		testing and early intervention. (Seoul)			
(12)					
(12)		Supervisors should see that they have the			
		requisite resources and expertise to			
		oversee the risks associated with financial			
		innovation and to ensure that firms they			
		supervise have the capacity to understand			
		and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)			
(12)		Supervisory authorities should			
		continually re-assess their resource needs;			
		for example, interacting with and			
		assessing Boards require particular skills,			
		experience and adequate level of			
		seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Building and implement	nting macroprudential frameworks an	d tools		
13 (13) (13)	Establishing regulatory framework for macroprudential oversight	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure authorities are able to identify and take account of macro-prudential risks across the financial system including in the case of regulated banks, shadow banks ⁵ and private pools of capital to limit the build up of systemic risk. (London) Ensure that national regulators possess	Please describe major changes in the institutional arrangements for macroprudential policy that have taken place in the past two years, including changes in: i) mandates and objectives; ii) powers and instruments; iii) transparency and accountability arrangements; iv) composition and independence of the decision-making body; and v)	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:	Planned actions (if any): No further actions are envisaged at the moment. On the other hand, CNBV and Banco de México will continue to work on LEI projects. Expected commencement date: Ongoing
		the powers for gathering relevant information on all material financial institutions, markets and instruments in order to assess the potential for failure or severe stress to contribute to systemic risk. This will be done in close coordination at international level in order to achieve as much consistency as possible across jurisdictions. (London)	mechanisms for domestic policy coordination and consistency. Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the powers to collect and share relevant information among different authorities – where this applies – on financial institutions, markets and instruments to assess the potential for systemic risk. Please indicate whether the assessment has indicated any gaps in the powers to collect information, and whether any follow-up actions have been taken.	Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: MFA, through the CESF, work in a coordinated way to timely identify any potential risk to the Mexican financial system. Additionally, MFA will participate in the Global Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) System projects. Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	Web-links to relevant documents:

⁵ The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/regulation/guideline: The	
				CESF was established in Law to identify	
				risks that may disrupt the functioning of	
				the financial system, assess the	
				macroprudential policies to mitigate their	
				impact and identify the vulnerabilities of	
				the financial system and the economy that	
				may eventually have a significant impact	
				on the development of the financial	
				system. In accordance to their respective	
				mandates, financial authorities	
				participating in the CESF have sufficient	
				powers to obtain the required information	
				from their regulated and/or supervised	
				institutions, financial markets and	
				instruments. In addition, CNBV is	
				empowered to request any information	
				and/or documentation, to conduct an	
				inspection visit or request a hearing from	
				anyone who may contribute to the	
				investigation process.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: The CESF was	
				established in Law (in contrast to its	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				former standing by Presidential Decree).	
				In addition, the CNBV and Banco de	
				México are members of the Regulatory	
				Oversight Committee of the Global LEI	
				System. Along these lines, the CESF	
				recognised in its 2013 Annual Report that	
				the LEI system will allow the immediate	
				risk aggregation (by market participants)	
				and hence, the financial authorities will	
				be able to assess the macro and micro	
				prudential risks.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				www.cesf.gob.mx is the website of the	
				CESF. The mandate and legal support can	
				be found there, along with the structure of	
				the CESF and the press releases of its	
				meetings. These documents are available	
				in Spanish only.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14	Enhancing system-wide	Authorities should use quantitative	Please describe at a high level (including	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): A new
(14)	monitoring and the use	indicators and/or constraints on leverage	by making reference to financial stability	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	methodology is being developed by the
	of macro-prudential	and margins as macro-prudential tools for	or other public reports, where available)	the moment	CNBV and it will be issued for CNBV's
	instruments	supervisory purposes. Authorities should	the types of systems, methodologies and	If " Not applicable " or "Applicable but	internal use; thus, it will not constitute a
		use quantitative indicators of leverage as	processes that have been put in place to	no action envisaged" has been	public document. It is expected to be
		guides for policy, both at the institution-	identify macroprudential risks, including	selected, please provide a brief	finalised by July 2015. This internal
		specific and at the macro-prudential	the analysis of risk transmission channels.	justification:	methodology will assess systemic risks
		(system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF	Please indicate the use of	☑ Implementation ongoing or	through a complementary "contagion-
		2009)	macroprudential tools in the past two	completed:	phase" approach based on interbank
		We are developing macro-prudential	years, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate,	Issue is being addressed through:	exposures and bilateral limits among banks. It will allow accounting for
		policy frameworks and tools to limit the	and apply them.	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	additional losses from the ones drawn
		build-up of risks in the financial sector,	and appry them.	☐ Regulation / Guidelines	from the initial "shock-phase" approach,
		building on the ongoing work of the FSB-	See, for reference, the CGFS document	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory	developed in 2013. Once it is finalised, it
		BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes)	on Operationalising the selection and	actions), please specify:	will be added to CNBV's risk matrix to
			application of macroprudential	Development of an internal	obtain initial data from the banking
			instruments (Dec 2012).	methodology to assess systemic risks	sector; the methodology will be
(14)		Authorities should monitor substantial	Jurisdictions can also refer to the FSB-	and identify Domestic Systemically	calibrated, if needed, based on these data.
		changes in asset prices and their	IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on	Important Banks	Regarding the development of an
		implications for the macro economy and	Macroprudential policy tools and	Status of progress :	operational framework for the
		the financial system. (Washington)	<u>frameworks (Oct 2011)</u> , and the IMF staff	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	Countercyclical Capital Buffer. There is
			papers on Macroprudential policy, an	publication by:	an ongoing discussion at the Basel
			organizing framework (Mar 2011) and on	☐ Draft published as of:	Committee on some operational issues,
			Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	and similarly, Mexican financial
			(Jun 2013).		authorities are completing their own
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014	analysis and proposals in order to
					implement this requirement within the
				Short description of the content of the	dates mandated by Basel III. A liquidity
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: The	stress testing framework is being
				CNBV assesses on a monthly basis the	developed through an extensive analysis

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				performance of profitability, liquidity and	of the network of interbank exposures.
				leverage indicators, specifically it	
				measures the quality of the credit	Expected commencement date:
				portfolio. Moreover, specific analyses	Ongoing
				are performed on the credit portfolio	Ongoing
				(credit cards, payroll, personal loans,	
				commercial, etc.) based on the behaviour	Web-links to relevant documents:
				of the system's portfolio in order to	
				identify any problem in the origination	
				and execution systems as well as any	
				potential systemic impairment.	
				Furthermore, in order to foster banks'	
				resilience to major financial disruptions,	
				specific regulatory improvements were	
				implemented to provide measures to	
				enhance and preserve capital in the lower	
				part of the business cycle or in case of a	
				financial crisis, including a capital buffer.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: During 2013, the CNBV	
				aimed to assess systemic risk through a	
				"shock-phase" approach. Given the risk	
				factors scenarios, the model would	
				generate profit and loss distributions for	
				each bank's portfolio and estimate its	
				probability of distress among other risk	
				metrics. A concept for setting a model	
				was developed. The Financial Reform,	
				through the Banking Institutions Law,	
				increased the supervision of those	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				financial institutions that may pose	
				systemic risk and set the legal basis for	
				implementing the countercyclical capital	
				buffer and capital surcharges for systemic	
				importance. Similarly, Banco de México	
				has developed internal methodologies to	
				identify D-SIBs and to determine the	
				Higher Loss Absorbency Requirement	
				(HLA) as well as other supervisory	
				measures to deal with D-SIBs. The work	
				is in line with the Basel Committee	
				guidelines, it follows the main categories	
				that determine the degree of systemic	
				importance of a bank but, at the same	
				time, it also takes into account the	
				specificities of the Mexican Financial	
				system as well as the information	
				availability. Banco de México performs	
				periodic comprehensive stress tests, both	
				from a "contagion-only idiosyncratic	
				approach", that include shocks and	
				assessments of market, credit and	
				contagion risks. Even though this	
				framework allows the identification of	
				particular vulnerabilities at system and at	
				institution level, the monitoring of	
				financial intermediaries by itself is not	
				enough; hence it also pays attention to	
				possible linkages to capture potential	
				contagion and spillovers with systemic	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				risk implications.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
15	Improved cooperation	Supervisors and central banks should	Please describe the institutional	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): The authorities
(15)	between supervisors and central banks	improve cooperation and the exchange of information including in the assessment	framework through which information sharing between supervisors and the	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	will continue to strengthen the cooperation arrangements in line with the
		of financial stability risks. The exchange of information should be rapid during periods of market strain. (Rec. V.8, FSF 2008)	central bank takes place, e.g. through internal or inter-agency committee or bilateral MoUs. Please also describe any initiative to remove identified obstacles to enhance cooperation and information sharing.	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:	development of international best practices. In addition, the CNBV carries out, on an ongoing basis, an assessment process to identify the need to sign additional MOUs with existing or new authorities.
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	Expected commencement date:
				☐ Regulation / Guidelines	Ongoing
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: In	
				order to preserve financial stability,	
				prevent disruptions or alterations in the	
				functioning of the financial system or	
				payment systems, MFA shall exchange	
				with each other information in their	
				possession. Such information shall be	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				requested and provided only in the	
				exercise of each authority's statutory	
				powers. As a further coordination	
				mechanism, Banco de México and SHCP	
				are members of the Governing Boards of	
				all financial supervisory authorities and	
				the Bank Savings Protection Institute	
				(Instituto para la Protección al Ahorro	
				Bancario, IPAB). Likewise, CNBV,	
				CONSAR and CNSF have top level	
				representatives on the Governing Boards	
				of each other. Finally, the CNBV is the	
				only supervisory authority that has a seat	
				on the Governing Board of IPAB. In	
				addition, MFA may share information	
				when they attend meetings of both	
				financial stability boards, CESF (with a	
				macroprudential focus) and the Banking	
				Stability Committee (with the aim of	
				determining, in a case-by-case basis,	
				whether a bank's inability to meet its	
				obligations could pose a systemic risk to	
				the economy). Regarding foreign	
				information sharing regime, some	
				authorities (Banco de México, CNBV,	
				CNSF) are empowered to provide	
				confidential information to foreign	
				financial authorities. Authorities can also	
				provide the information necessary to	
				answer the requests made to them within	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the scope of their competence and under	
				the applicable provisions, or which they	
				may obtain in the exercise of their powers	
				or acting in coordination with other	
				entities, persons or authorities.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: As part of the January	
				2014 Financial Reform the powers of the	
				Mexican financial authorities to share	
				information were strengthened.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Improving oversight of	f credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
16 (16)	Enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	CKAS	registration. The regulatory oversight regime should be established by end 2009 and should be consistent with the IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals. (London)	including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following	the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Planned actions (if any): Expected commencement date:
(16)		National authorities will enforce compliance and require changes to a rating agency's practices and procedures for managing conflicts of interest and assuring the transparency and quality of	OSCO document: Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies (May 2008)	✓ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		the rating process. CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full	Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents: • Principle 22 of <i>Principles and</i>	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		disclosure of their ratings track record and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process.	Objectives of Securities Regulation (Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs	actions), please specify: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including	• <u>Statement of Principles Regarding the</u> <u>Activities of Credit Rating Agencies</u> (Sep 2003)	☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
(16)		through IOSCO. (London) Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance	<u>Final Report on Supervisory Colleges</u> <u>for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013)</u>	☐ Reform effective (completed) as of: July 9, 2014 Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: All	
(New)		obligations for CRAs) as early as possible in 2010. (FSB 2009) We encourage further steps to enhance transparency and competition among credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)		CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes are subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes, amongst others, their registration. In	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				February 2012, the CNBV issued a rule	
				amending the regime for CRAs which	
				incorporated the IOSCO Code of	
				Conduct. In accordance to IOSCO's	
				recommendations, on February 17, 2012,	
				the CNBV issued new rules for CRAs to	
				amend the Code of Conduct and several	
				rules to strengthen market discipline.	
				Such rules improve transparency within	
				the rating process, enhance the	
				procedures for rating structured products	
				and reduce CRAs' potential conflicts of	
				interest. On July 9, 2014 the CNBV	
				amended the regulation for CRAs with	
				the goal of further strengthening the	
				regulation regarding potential conflicts of	
				interest in municipal debt.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: The Securities Market	
				Law was amended to strengthen the	
				powers for regulating and sanctioning	
				CRAs. The CNBV introduced	
				amendments to the regulatory framework	
				in order to prevent conflicts of interest for	
				CRAs in municipal debt.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Securities Market Law (LMV):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/L	
				ey%20del%20Mercado%20de%20Valore	
				s.doc Provisions applicable to CRAs:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/D	
				isposiciones%20de%20carácter%20gener	
				al%20aplicables%20a%20las%20instituci	
				ones%20calificadoras%20de%20valores.	
				docx These documents are available in	
				Spanish only.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
17	Reducing the reliance	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on	No information on this recommendation		
(17)	on ratings	reducing reliance on external credit	will be collected in the current IMN		
		ratings. Standard setters, market	survey since the report of the second		
		participants, supervisors and central	stage of the thematic peer review has		
		banks should not rely mechanistically on	been published recently [insert link		
		external credit ratings. (Seoul)	whenever published].		
		Authorities should check that the roles			
		that they have assigned to ratings in			
		regulations and supervisory rules are			
		consistent with the objectives of having			
		investors make independent judgment of			
		risks and perform their own due			
		diligence, and that they do not induce			
		uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a			
		substitute for that independent evaluation.			
		(Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)			
		We reaffirm our commitment to reduce			
		authorities' and financial institutions'			
		reliance on external credit ratings, and			
		call on standard setters, market			
		participants, supervisors and central			
		banks to implement the agreed FSB			
		principles and end practices that rely			
		mechanistically on these ratings.			
		(Cannes)			
		W. H.C.			
		We call for accelerated progress by			
		national authorities and standard setting			
		bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance			
		on credit ratings and encourage steps that			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		would enhance transparency of and			
		competition among credit rating agencies.			
		(Los Cabos)			
(New)					
		We call on national authorities and			
		standard setting bodies to accelerate			
		progress in reducing reliance on credit			
		rating agencies, in accordance with the			
		FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)			



Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
Enhancing and alignin	g accounting standards			
Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards	Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)	Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Jurisdiction-profiles.aspx .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: CNBV continues monitoring the progress made by the IASB in terms of IFRS 9 Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 1, 2012 Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	Planned actions (if any): CNBV is still working with CINIF in the process of convergence with IFRS. CNBV is monitoring the development of IFRS, particularly regarding IFRS 9 "Financial Instruments". Expected commencement date: Ongoing Web-links to relevant documents:
	Enhancing and alignin Consistent application of high-quality	Enhancing and aligning accounting standards Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards.	Enhancing and aligning accounting standards Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standards work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington) Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-	Enhancing and aligning accounting standards Consistent application of high-quality accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington) A purisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the LASB or are otherwise. (Washington) A purisdiction on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. A purisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Jurisdiction-profiles.aspx To Primary / Secondary legislation Status of progress : Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: CNBV continues monitoring the progress made by the IASB in terms of IFRS 9 Status of progress : Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Primar rule or legislation approved and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the LASB or a re otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent applications: If "Not applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable or "Applicable to moment or "Applicable to moment or expected publication." If "Not applicable or "Applicable or "Applic



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				companies except financial institutions	
				and insurance companies were required to	
				present their financial information	
				according to IFRS, as issued by the	
				IASB. Mexican financial institutions	
				supervised by the CNBV are required to	
				use Mexican Financial Reporting	
				Standards (MFRS), issued by the	
				National Accounting Standards Setter of	
				Mexico (CINIF) plus certain accounting	
				criteria issued by the CNBV. CINIF has	
				a convergence project to eliminate	
				differences between MFRS and IFRS.	
				When completed, CINIF expects that	
				MFRS applied by domestic companies	
				whose securities are not publicly traded	
				will be very similar to IFRS.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: Press	
				Release on the IFRS adoption process in	
				Mexico (November 18, 2008):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/SECTORES-	
				SUPERVISADOS/BURSÁTIL/Boletines	
				-de-	
				Prensa/Prensa%20%20Casas%20de%20	
				Bolsa/11%20DE%20NOVIEMBRE.pdf	
				This document is available in Spanish.	
				IFRS Jurisdiction Profiles – Mexico (Last	
				updated June 5, 2013):	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-	
				world/Documents/Jurisdiction-	
				profiles/Mexico-IFRS-Profile.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
19	Appropriate application	Accounting standard setters and	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(19)	of Fair Value Accounting	prudential supervisors should examine the use of valuation reserves or	measures taken for appropriate application of fair value accounting.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
(19)	Accounting	the use of valuation reserves or adjustments for fair valued financial instruments when data or modelling needed to support their valuation is weak. (Rec. 3.4, FSF 2009) Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine possible changes to relevant standards to dampen adverse dynamics potentially associated with fair value accounting. Possible ways to reduce this potential impact include the following: (1) Enhancing the accounting model so that the use of fair value accounting is carefully examined for financial instruments of credit intermediaries; (ii) Transfers between financial asset categories; (iii) Simplifying hedge accounting requirements. (Rec 3.5, FSF 2009)	application of fair value accounting. See, for reference, the following BCBS documents: • Basel 2.5 standards on prudent valuation (Jul 2009) • Supervisory guidance for assessing banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)		Planned actions (if any): The CNBV will work throughout 2014 in order to incorporate in its regulation accounting criteria aligned to IFRS 13. It expects to issue a final project by the end of the year. Expected commencement date: Ongoing Web-links to relevant documents:
				Value". Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: During 2014, the CNBV	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				has been working on a project to	
				incorporate in its regulation accounting	
				criteria aligned to IFRS 13. The current	
				fair value regulation requires the use of	
				values provided by price vendors.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Enhancing risk manag	ement			
20 (20)	Enhancing risk manag Enhancing guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, including on liquidity and foreign currency funding risks	Regulators should develop enhanced guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, in line with international best practices, and should encourage financial firms to re-examine their internal controls and implement strengthened policies for sound risk management. (Washington)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to enhance guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices. In particular, please indicate the status of implementation of the following standards: • BCBS <u>Basel III: International framework for liquidity risk</u>	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or	Planned actions (if any): The final rules on LCR will be issued; the CNBV and Banco de México are conducting the final assessments based on the adoption of the new liquidity standard (LCR). On the other hand, the CNBV is currently working on the harmonisation of its supervisory methodologies, policies, procedures, indicators and tools. This is
(20)		National supervisors should closely check banks' implementation of the updated guidance on the management and supervision of liquidity as part of their regular supervision. If banks' implementation of the guidance is inadequate, supervisors will take more prescriptive action to improve practices. (Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)	 measurement, standards and monitoring (Dec 2010) BCBS Principles for sound stress testing practices and supervision (May 2009) Jurisdictions may also refer to FSB's thematic peer review report on risk governance (Feb 2013) and BCBS Peer 	completed: Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: CNBV's Comprehensive Supervisory Project	known as the CNBV's Comprehensive Supervisory Project (Proyecto Integral de Supervisión). This Project comprises all financial sectors under CNBV's regulation and supervision and its main objective is the fostering of CNBV's inspection functions and the enhancement of its supervisory approach. During 2014
(20)		Regulators and supervisors in emerging markets ⁶ will enhance their supervision of banks' operation in foreign currency funding markets. (FSB 2009)	review of supervisory authorities' implementation of stress testing principles (Apr 2012)	Status of progress: ☑ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: January 1, 2015 ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	the CNBV will continue to work on: • the strengthening of CNBV's risk based supervision, through the harmonisation of its inspection methodologies, procedures and indicators as well as by reinforcing
(20)		We commit to conduct robust, transparent stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)		and will come into force on: ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014. Short description of the content of the	its surveillance activities. • Review and define the scope of the methodology to assess financial entities under the CNBV supervision, considering elements such as

⁶ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: The	their risk appetite, systemic risk, liquidity
				Mexican financial authorities have	management, macroprudential issues and
				developed a prudential regulatory	specific sector supervision, among others;
				framework for risk management practices	as well as the establishment of oversight
				and they reinforced the supervisory	actions related to the level of risk of the
				oversight functions to complement global	entities. • Development of additional
				supervision requirements. The ongoing	metrics, indicators, policies, procedures
				work is focusing on: • Provisions for	and tools for liquidity requirements to be
				regular stress testing to identify potential	provided by the Banking Institutions
				risks; • An enhancement of the	Law and the CUB for banking
				supervision of internal control processes	institutions. The CNBV expects to
				of banks to reduce the operational legal	conclude this work by the end of 2014. In
				risks involved on securities trading	2015, the CNBV will be working on the
				activities. Regarding the actions taken to	implementation process. The Liquidity
				strengthen banks' risk management	Committee developed its guidelines and
				practices, the CNBV has issued	Mexican financial authorities have
				regulation related to: • Internal	finalised a proposal to implement the
				methodologies to estimate expected	LCR. This Committee is expected to
				losses. •A new methodology for	issue a draft proposal in the following
				obtaining loan-loss provisioning for	months in order to incorporate this
				commercial loans based on the expected	requirement according to the timeline
				loss of the next 12 months of the loans. •	established by the Basel Committee for
				Enhancements to the framework of	Banking Supervision.
				liquidity risk management which	
				introduces the BCBS Sound Principles	Expected commencement date: LCR
				for Liquidity Risk Management and	Requirements will enter into force on
				Supervision in the regulation.	January 1, 2015
				Additionally with the Financial Reform,	January 1, 2013
				the Banking Institutions Law	
				introduced the concept of liquidity	Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				requirements that must be met by banks	
				and created a Liquidity Committee	
				integrated by the financial authorities.	
				While the secondary regulation to define	
				liquidity requirements must be issued	
				jointly by the CNBV and Banco de	
				México, this Committee is responsible for	
				defining the guidelines for the regulatory	
				liquidity requirements (Liquidity	
				Coverage Rartio (LCR) and Net Stable	
				Funding Ratio (NSFR)). Furthermore, the	
				CNBV and Banco de México have	
				developed templates for collecting the	
				information required to calibrate the LCR	
				as established by the BCBS. Since 2011,	
				the banks have been reporting monthly	
				data to compute the LCR and the NSFR.	
				Regarding foreign currency funding:	
				Since the 90's, Banco de Mexico has	
				issued regulation for foreign currency	
				exposures (although it has undergone	
				several reforms since). Currently, the	
				regulation covers three main areas: i. A	
				requirement of liquid assets to cover net	
				cash outflows during a 60 day period; ii.	
				A limit to the net open position; and iii. A	
				limit to net outflows for the medium	
				term. As a result, banking institutions	
				maintain enough liquid assets to meet	
				their FX obligations and have a sound	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				balance sheet profile in FX. When it	
				comes to stress testing: the CNBV is	
				acting on a three-pronged approach: i.	
				Development of a new agenda for risk	
				management supervision, stress testing	
				the risk governance of banks based on	
				lessons from the recent crisis. ii. A stress	
				test exercise for banks involved on	
				traditional activities. The exercise should	
				allow high level discussions on the bank's	
				capital requirements for the following	
				two years. iii. Development of a	
				framework to conduct systemic risk	
				analysis to address potential contagion	
				among institutions through interbank and	
				derivative exposures. In addition, the	
				CESF coordinates the efforts between	
				Banco de México and the prudential	
				regulator on the design of the stress tests.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: The enhancements to the	
				framework of liquidity risk management	
				consist on new rules for corporate	
				governance and internal controls for	
				liquidity risk within the risk management	
				framework for banks. They were	
				published by the CNBV, taking into	
				account the Principles for Sound	
				Liquidity Risk Management and	
				Supervision of the BCBS.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				General Provisions for Banks (CUB):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/D	
				isposiciones%20de%20carácter%20gener	
				al%20aplicables%20a%20las%20instituci	
				ones%20de%20crédito.docx Available in	
				Spanish only.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21	Efforts to deal with	Our efforts to deal with impaired assets	Jurisdictions should indicate steps taken	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): Regarding the
(21)	impaired assets and raise additional capital	and to encourage the raising of additional capital must continue, where needed. (Pittsburgh)	to reduce impaired assets and encourage additional capital raising. For example, jurisdictions could include here the amount of new equity raised by banks operating in their jurisdictions during 2013. Jurisdictions may also refer to the relevant IMF Financial Soundness Indicators at http://fsi.imf.org/ .	□ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory	impairment model proposed by IASB in its Exposure Draft issued in March 2013, the CNBV reviewed the expected credit losses approach and is currently assessing the viability of incorporating these new requirements into the Mexican regulatory framework as a minimum requirement for loan loss provisioning Despite the above, the amendment will be made once the IASB issues the final decision on IFRS 9.
				actions), please specify: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014 Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Since January 2013, all banking institutions	Ongoing Web-links to relevant documents:
				have to comply with the full capital standards of Basel III. Furthermore, since June 2013, loan loss credit reserves for commercial loans had to be based on an expected loss approach. In terms of the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				accounting criteria set by the CNBV for	
				loan recognition and measurement,	
				currently financial institutions should	
				observe the following: • An incurred	
				losses model is required for the	
				categorisation of any loan. • The expected	
				losses model is requested for impairment	
				measurement. These accounting criteria	
				imply that: • Initial recognition: the loan	
				is recognised at its cost, ie contractual	
				price, cash lent. Plus transaction costs. •	
				Ongoing recognition of interest: It is	
				recorded as it is accrued on under its	
				contractual terms. Banks assess monthly	
				how the loan is performing.	
				Consequently, loans are presented	
				according to a model of incurred losses	
				that considers the past due days. While it	
				mainly depends on the terms and type of	
				the loan, in general, if the loan is 90 days	
				or more past due, banks must include it	
				into the category of past due loan.	
				Moreover, rules for restructured and	
				renewed loans are set based on incurred	
				events rather than on expected ones. •	
				To constitute allowances, banks must	
				assess deterioration under the expected	
				loss model. Institutions should consider a	
				12-month probability of default and the	
				potential loss given the default for the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				carrying amount at the reporting date.	
				The CNBV has developed a specific	
				model for calculation depending on the	
				type of loan (revolving/non revolving	
				retail loans; commercial methodology is	
				still pending) Annex 33 B-6 of the CUB,	
				provides for the accounting recognition	
				rules and methodologies for impairment	
				calculation.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: In January, 2014, the	
				Financial Reform was promulgated and	
				amended most of the laws regarding the	
				financial system. The amendments	
				included raising capital requirements to	
				Basel III standards, thus making them	
				part of the Primary Legislation.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
22	Enhanced risk	Financial institutions should provide	Jurisdictions should indicate the status of	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): The CNBV is
(22)	disclosures by financial institutions	enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington)	implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	constantly monitoring international developments on risk management practices and disclosure requirements to align prudential regulation and accounting standards accordingly.
			Force on Enhancing the Risk Disclosures of Banks and Implementation Progress	✓ Implementation ongoing or completed:	Expected commencement date: Ongoing
			Report by the EDTF (Aug 2013).	Issue is being addressed through:	
(New)				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	Web-links to relevant documents:
(New)		We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance		☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
		financial institutions' disclosures of the	cial institutions' disclosures of the	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
		risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task		Status of progress :	
		Force. (St. Petersburg)		☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 2013 (General Provisions for banks) and January 10 2014 (Banking Institutions Law, LIC)	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: The	
				current prudential regulation (within the	
				comprehensive risk management	
				framework) requires financial institutions	
				to disclose qualitative and quantitative	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	_			information on credit, liquidity, market	
				and operational (legal and technological)	
				risk exposures and their risk management	
				procedures, including but not limited to:	
				policies, methodologies, VAR,	
				descriptive statistics on credit risk and	
				expected losses. Similarly, accounting	
				standards require financial institutions to	
				disclose impairment and fair value losses	
				on financial assets and financial liabilities	
				(taking into account materiality in the	
				case of interim financial reporting).	
				Furthermore, the CNBV is allowed to	
				require, when needed, further information	
				from financial institutions. Additionally,	
				on January 1, 2013, capitalisation	
				disclosure requirements became effective	
				based on the final BCBS requirements for	
				disclosure purposes.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: In January, 2014, the	
				Financial Reform amended the financial	
				laws to include in the Primary Legislation	
				the enhanced risk disclosure measures	
				mentioned above.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Banking Institutions Law (LIC):	
				http://www.diputados.gob.mx/LeyesBibli	
				o/pdf/43.pdf General Provisions for	
				Banks (CUB):	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/D	
				isposiciones%20de%20carácter%20gener	
				al%20aplicables%20a%20las%20instituci	
				ones%20de%20crédito.docx	



national deposit should be internated should	onal deposit insurance arrangements ald be reviewed against the agreed mational principles, and authorities ald strengthen arrangements where led. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 thematic peer review report on deposit insurance systems: • Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those who do	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or	Planned actions (if any): Expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
national deposit should be internated should	ald be reviewed against the agreed rnational principles, and authorities ald strengthen arrangements where	revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 <i>thematic</i> peer review report on deposit insurance systems: • Adoption of an explicit deposit	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged …" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☐ Implementation ongoing or	Expected commencement date:
insurance arrangements internations should s	rnational principles, and authorities ald strengthen arrangements where	insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 thematic peer review report on deposit insurance systems: • Adoption of an explicit deposit	the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: ☑ Implementation ongoing or	
		• Full implementation of the <u>Core</u> <u>Principles for Effective Deposit</u> <u>Insurance Systems</u> jointly issued by BCBS and IADI in June 2009 (by addressing the weaknesses and gaps identified in peer review)	completed: Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014 Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: The Bank Savings Protection Institute (Instituto para la Protección al Ahorro	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				public agency in charge of managing the	
				bank deposit insurance system, which	
				was created to protect customers insured	
				under the terms and conditions	
				established in the Banking Savings	
				Protection Act. In addition, the IPAB	
				protects those savings belonging to small	
				and mid-level bank depositors and	
				resolves banks with solvency problems at	
				the least possible cost. The Financial	
				Reform enacted in January 2014	
				introduced new regulation that improves	
				the framework for banking resolution	
				processes. This regulation allows	
				Mexican financial authorities to take all	
				the appropriate measures in order to	
				ensure an orderly and clear exit of a	
				banking institution. The early warnings	
				regime was modified in the Banking	
				Institutions Law, as well as the	
				preventive and corrective measures. A	
				provision for mandatory stress, recovery	
				and resolution plans were also	
				introduced. Furthermore, a judicial	
				settlement scheme was added in order to	
				foresee the intervention of a judge, who	
				in collaboration with the IPAB, allows for	
				a rapid resolution of institutions that do	
				not have enough assets to cover their	
				liabilities.	
				Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey: The January 2014	
				Financial Reform amended the Bank	
				Savings' Protection Law (LPAB) and the	
				Banking Institutions Law (LIC). These	
				two laws regulate what happens to the	
				deposits when a bank goes into	
				resolution. The amendments to the laws	
				increase the insurance requirements for	
				banking institutions, and improved the	
				legal framework for resolution processes.	
				Web-links to relevant documents: Ley	
				de Protección al Ahorro Bancario	
				(LPAB):	
				http://www.diputados.gob.mx/LeyesBibli	
				o/pdf/62.pdf Banking Institutions Law	
				(LIC):	
				http://www.diputados.gob.mx/LeyesBibli	
				o/pdf/43.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
X.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets	S		
				□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Since the issuance of the CNBV's rules for Direct Market Access in the CUCB, the Mexican Securities Exchange has amended its trading rules and other procedures in order to comply with the regulation. Moreover, their internal Bylaws have been improved in order to establish better procedures for dealing with technological changes, trading errors (fat fingers for example), and pre-trade checks. Status of progress:	Planned actions (if any): Expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				laws have been improved in order to establish better procedures for dealing with technological changes, trading errors (fat fingers for example), and pre-trade checks.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved	
				and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: September, 2010	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: On	
				September 10, 2010, the CNBV's rules	
				for Direct Market Access (CUCB) were	
				issued providing the regulatory	
				framework applicable to the direct market	
				access (DMA). These rules provided the	
				operational rules for the electronic access	
				to the market including the following: the	
				authorised channels, the transmission	
				vehicles, the electronic trading system,	
				unusual trading movement, closing trades	
				and quotes. Additionally, the rules stated	
				the procedures for transmitting orders to	
				the electronic book, the requirements and	
				procedures for approval. They also	
				provided obligations, preemptive	
				disciplinary and corrective measures.	
				The Securities Market Law does not	
				allow the existence of dark pools. The	
				only authorised way to trade shares in	
				Mexico is through securities.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				General Provisions for Broker Dealers	
				(CUCB):	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/D	
				isposiciones%20de%20carácter%20gener	
				al%20aplicables%20a%20las%20casas%	
				20de%20bolsa.docx Securities Market	
				Law (LMV)	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/L	
				ey%20del%20Mercado%20de%20Valore	
				s.doc These documents are available in	
				Spanish only.	



achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set examte position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes) We also call on Finance ministers to monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives market. Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on the principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011). Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011). Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles for the Regulation of IOSCO's report on the implementation of Commodity Derivatives Markets based on a survey conducted amongst its members in April 2012 on regulation in commodity derivatives are scarcely traded. [If "Not applicable un or "Applicable un on action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification. Volume of the local commodity derivatives Exchange, which is referred to a contract traded in the Mexican Derivatives Exchange, which is referred to a contract traded in mother market; OTC commodity derivatives are scarcely traded. Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: Status of progress: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Commodity derivatives market. Draft published as of:	No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg) □ Reform effective (completed) as of: Short description of the content of the	25 (25)	Regulation and supervision of	We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set exante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes) We also call on Finance ministers to monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and unrestricted access to aggregated open	Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011). Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the report published by the IOSCO's Committee on Commodity Futures Markets based on a survey conducted amongst its members in April 2012 on regulation in commodity	 ☑ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: Volume of the local commodity derivatives market is negligible. There is only one "commodity" contract traded in the Mexican Derivatives Exchange, which is referred to a contract traded in another market; OTC commodity derivatives are scarcely traded. ☐ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Reform effective (completed) as of: 	-



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
26	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(New)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will be deferred to the		
(11011)		coordinate work on the necessary reforms	2015 IMN survey given the ongoing		
		of financial benchmarks. We endorse	policy work in this area, the reviews of		
		IOSCO's Principles for Financial	interest rate and foreign exchange		
		Benchmarks and look forward to reform	benchmarks during 2014, and the recent		
		as necessary of the benchmarks used	publication of IOSCO's Principles for		
		internationally in the banking industry	Financial Benchmarks.		
		and financial markets, consistent with the			
		IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
XI.	Enhancing financial co	onsumer protection			
27 (27)	Enhancing financial consumer protection	We agree that integration of financial consumer protection policies into regulatory and supervisory frameworks contributes to strengthening financial stability, endorse the FSB report on consumer finance protection and the high level principles on financial consumer protection prepared by the OECD together with the FSB. We will pursue the full application of these principles in our jurisdictions. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011). Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's update report including the Annex to the report on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles based around the following three priority principles: • Disclosure and transparency • Responsible business conduct of financial services providers and their authorised agents • Complaints handling and redress	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of: January 10, 2014 Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The Mexican financial regulation complies with the G20 High Level Principles on Financial Consumer Protection. Moreover, the 2014 Financial Reform strengthened the regulatory framework of the National Commission for Financial	Planned actions (if any): The CNBV will work to enhance the cooperation mechanisms with CONDUSEF which has the authority to put forward conciliatory settlements or act as arbitrator; and to promote financial education programs, in order to strengthen its focus on retail investors whenever financial advice on securities is provided. Through these efforts, the CNBV will provide specific disclosure requirements on complex financial products or services, their characteristics and risks, in order to broaden the provision of investor education programs, as well as to receive information of non-compliance and misconduct cases that harm investors so the CNBV is able to initiate enforcement actions. Expected commencement date: Ongoing Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Consumer Protection (CONDUSEF) and	
				granted it with additional powers. In	
				March 2014, the CNBV amended the	
				regulation for advisory and non-advisory	
				financial services which is applicable to	
				banks and brokerage firms. Mexican	
				regulation on investment services sets	
				new standards for advisory (investment	
				advice and asset management) and non-	
				advisory services (execution only and	
				general recommendations/securities	
				offering). It also states particular	
				obligations according to the services that	
				financial entities provide, the customer	
				profile and the type of products that are	
				being offered or recommended. Mainly,	
				this regulation comprises the following	
				aspects:	
				Suitability requirements for personal	
				advice or asset management	
				(discretionary accounts), considering that	
				in order to have reasonable basis for that	
				advice, intermediaries must consider	
				customer characteristics (investment	
				objective, financial situation and financial	
				experience);	
				• Information disclosure to investors. This	
				rule allows them to have access to all	
				material information about the products	
				and investments subject of the advice in	
				order to assess the characteristics, risks,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				costs and benefits. It sets minimum	
				standards of information to be provided	
				to investors, the characteristics of the	
				advisory or non-advisory services they	
				will receive, the commissions they will	
				pay, and the determination of portfolio	
				returns are to be calculated according to	
				minimum standards, among others.	
				• Conflicts of interest and incentives. In	
				order to avoid conflicts of interest when	
				investment services are provided, this	
				rule includes: Prohibitions (maximum	
				limits of issuances distribution	
				specifically when the entity acts as an	
				underwriter or issuer of financial	
				products); policies to mitigate potential	
				conflicts of interest when the distribution	
				is below those limits; particular	
				disclosures when third parties pay to the	
				entity a distribution fee when providing	
				advice. Regarding incentives, for the first	
				time in Mexico, the CNBV is regulating	
				remuneration structures and	
				compensation schemes in three new	
				aspects.	
				Organisational structure and internal	
				controls. Entities shall have internal	
				policies and procedures to verify the	
				compliance with all the requirements	
				regarding investment services. They also	
				shall have record keeping obligations and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				a new independent compliance function	
				was created to verify that every person	
				involved and providing investment	
				services complies with the applicable	
				regulation. In addition, they shall have	
				robust governance arrangements and new	
				responsibilities for the Board of	
				Directors. Finally, with respect to	
				complaints handlings and redress, this	
				regulation requires banks and brokerage	
				firms to provide its customers with an	
				effective complaint mechanism as well as	
				to periodically provide data from	
				consumer complaints to the supervisory	
				agencies. In terms of financial users'	
				complaints monitoring, the CNBV	
				published in 2010, the obligation for all	
				commercial banks, to submit in a	
				quarterly basis through the regulatory	
				report R27, information regarding all and	
				each one of the complaints received by	
				their clients related to credit cards,	
				savings, investments and deposits	
				accounts in every electronic channel that	
				this financial institutions offer them to	
				perform their financial transactions. In	
				2013, this obligation was extended to	
				development banks. This regulatory	
				report is shared with CONDUSEF for	
				their own purposes and is used by the	
				CNBV to monitor the behaviour of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				clients and financial institutions.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: In 2013, the CNBV	
				implemented a risk-based surveillance	
				methodology to ensure compliance with	
				the General Provisions for Broker Dealers	
				(CUCB) and to keep conducting	
				enforcement actions against financial	
				entities and its representatives when they	
				have engaged in inadequate sales	
				practices. Moreover, in January 2014, as	
				part of the amendment to several laws	
				considered by the Mexican Financial	
				Reform, the Securities Market Law was	
				modified in order to consider as part of	
				primary regulation the aforementioned	
				principles (suitability on advisory	
				services, management of conflicts of	
				interest, access to material information of	
				financial products, protection of	
				customers for non-advisory and advisory	
				services, compliance functions and	
				development of internal policies and	
				procedures, incentives, and	
				enforcement). The amendments to the	
				Financial Consumer Protection and	
				Transparency Law vested CONDUSEF	
				(Financial ombudsman) with powers to issue recommendations to financial	
				institutions and to publish them, in order	
				to identify activities that deviate from	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				sound practices related to offering of	
				financial services. On top of that, the new	
				powers granted to CONDUSEF include	
				the elimination of abusive clauses and	
				tied sales in contracts, as well as	
				regulation of collection agencies through	
				financial institutions.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Securities Markets Law (LMV):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/L	
				ey%20del%20Mercado%20de%20Valore	
				s.doc General Provisions for Broker	
				Dealers (CUCB):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/D	
				isposiciones%20de%20carácter%20gener	
				al%20aplicables%20a%20las%20casas%	
				20de%20bolsa.docx General Provisions	
				for Banks and Broker Dealers on	
				Investment Services (DSI):	
				http://www.cnbv.gob.mx/Normatividad/D	
				isposiciones%20CB%20e%20IC%20en%	
				20materia%20de%20servicios%20de%20	
				inversi%C3%B3n.docx Financial	
				Consumer Protection and Transparency	
				Law:	
				http://www.hacienda.gob.mx/ApartadosH	
				aciendaParaTodos/reformafinanciera/doct	
				os/2014_01_10_mat_shcp2a.pdf These	
				documents are available in Spanish only.	



Mexico



XII. Source of recommendations:

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)



XIII. List of Abbreviations used:

CNBV: National Banking and Securities Commission (Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores)

CNSF: National Insurance and Sureties Commision (Comisión Nacional de Seguros y Fianzas)

CONDUSEF: National Commission for the Protection and Defense of Financial Services Consumers (Comisión Nacional para la Protección y Defensa de los Usuarios de Servicios Financieros)

CESF:Financial System Stability Council (Consejo de Estabilidad del Sistema Financiero)

CINIF: Mexican Financial Reporting Standards Board (Consejo Mexicano de Normas de Información Financiera, A.C.)

CUE: General Provisions for Securities Issuers (Circular Única de Emisoras) CUB: General Provisions for Banks (Circular Única de Bancos)

CUCB: General Provisions for Broker Dealers (Circular Única de Casas de Bolsa)

DSI: General Provisions for Banks and Broker Dealers on Investment Services (Disposiciones de carácter general aplicables a las casas de bolsa e instituciones de crédito en materia de servicios de inversión)

FSAP: Financial Sector Assessment Program

FSB: Financial Stability Board

FSSC: Financial System Stability Council

IFRS: International Financial Reporting Standards

IPAB: Bank Savings Protection Institute (Instituto para la Protección al Ahorro Bancario)

LEI: Legal Entity Identifier

LFI: Investment Funds Law (Ley de Fondos de Inversión)

IOSCO MMOU IOSCO Multilateral Memorandum of Understanding Concerning Consultation and Cooperation and the Exchange of Information

LIC: Banking Institutions Law (Ley de Instituciones de Crédito)

LMV: Securities Market Law (Ley del Mercado de Valores)

LGOAAC: Credit Organisations and Ancillary Activities Law (Ley General de Organizaciones y Actividades Auxiliares del Crédito).

LPAB: Bank Savings' Protection Law (Ley de Protección al Ahorro Bancario)

LRAF: Financial Instituions Law (Ley para Regular las Agrupaciones Financieras)

MFA: Mexican Financial Authorities

MFRS: Mexican Financial Reporting Standards

MOU: Memorandum of Understanding

SHCP: Ministry of Finance and Public Credit (Secretaría de Hacienda y Crédito Público)