

Jurisdiction:

Indonesia

2016 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. Hedge funds
- II. Securitisation
- III. Enhancing supervision
- IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VII. Enhancing risk management
- VIII. Strengthening deposit insurance
 - IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
 - X. Enhancing financial consumer protection
- XI. Reference to source of recommendations
- **XII.** List of Abbreviations



1 Regi	Description	No	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
(1) appr	Hedge funds	I.				
	_	I.	We also firmly recommitted to work in an internationally consistent and non-discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul) Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009), in particular recommendations 1 and 2. In their response, jurisdictions should specify whether: - Hedge Funds (HFs) and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration - Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements regarding: • Organisational and operational standards; • Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; • Disclosure to investors; and • Prudential regulation. Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle 28 of the 2010 IOSCO Objectives and Principles of Securities Regulation, and	□ Not applicable Currently there is no hedge fund and/or hedge fund managers operated locally in Indonesia. □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				28 of the 2010 IOSCO Objectives and	Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				Based on the existing regulatory	
				framework, Asset Management	
				Companies/AMC (recognized in	
				Indonesia as an Investment Manager) are	
				only permitted to invest and manage	
				investment products that are regulated by	
				OJK. AMC shall register its management	
				products and submit report to OJK.	
				Currently, there is no registered fund that	
				has characteristic of a hedge fund	
				managed locally in Indonesia. Despite	
				this fact, to anticipate further	
				development in the Indonesian capital	
				market, OJK conducts a research on	
				hedge funds. This research includes	
				comparative analysis of the practices	
				applied across countries and international	
				standards/principles, and also considers	
				regulations applied to other financial	
				sectors in Indonesia. This research will	
				help to provide OJK with a map on the	
				regulatory issues and the market needs	
				on the hedge funds.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
2 (2)	Establishment of international information sharing framework	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles by the end of 2009. (London)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009) on sharing information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers. In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are: - Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU - Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO Principles Regarding Cross-border Supervisory Cooperation.	This recommendation is not applicable for Indonesia because currently there is no hedge funds managed in Indonesia. For sharing information, OJK became the signatory of the IOSCO MMoU on cooperation and exchange of information among securities regulators, effectively started in January 2014. In addition, the OJK also has several bilateral MoUs with foreign counterparts that have been carried over from Indonesia Capital Market and Financial Institution Supervisory Agency (or recognized as Bapepam-LK,former supervisory and regulatory authority of capital market and Non-Banking Financial Institutions (NBFIs)) before its authorities was transferred to OJK on December 31st, 2012. ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				and will come into force on:	
				☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
				☐ Implementation completed as of:	
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3	Enhancing counterparty	Supervisors should require that	Jurisdictions should indicate specific	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(3)	risk management	institutions which have hedge funds as their counterparties have effective risk	policy measures taken for enhancing counterparty risk management and	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
		management, including mechanisms to	strengthening their existing guidance on	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		monitor the funds' leverage and set limits for single counterparty exposures.	the management of exposure to leveraged counterparties.	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		(London)	In particular, jurisdictions should indicate whether they have implemented	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			recommendation 3 of the IOSCO <i>Report</i>	☐ Draft published as of:	
			on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009).	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			In their responses, jurisdictions should not provide information on the portion of	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
			this recommendation that pertains to Basel III, since it is monitored separately	✓ Implementation completed as of: 25/10/2011	
			by the BCBS.	Issue is being addressed through:	
			Jurisdictions can also refer to Principle	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			28 of the 2010 IOSCO <i>Objectives and</i>	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
			<u>Principles of Securities Regulation</u> , and take into account the outcomes of any	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against	A regulation concerning limits for single	
			those Principles.	counterparty exposures has been	
				effectively implemented for banks. The	
		Supervisors will strengthen their existing		regulation governs the maximum limit	
		guidance on the management of		related with the provision of funds to	
		exposures to leveraged counterparties.		individuals, groups of borrowers and	
		(Rec. II.17, FSF 2008)		related parties with banks. The purpose	
				of the limits is to avoid a bank's failures	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				as a result of concentration in the	
				provision of funds. The regulation itself	
				seeks to address: i The concentration of	
				banks' fund to a single borrower or a	
				group of borrowers (this is one of the	
				causes of bank failures). ii The	
				provision of funds with increasingly	
				complex risk structures (as a result of	
				innovations in the banking area).	
				Furthermore, a regulation concerning risk	
				management, in general, has captured	
				elements that should be considered by	
				banks for their interactions with	
				counterparties. In addition, through	
				another regulation, banks are prohibited	
				to conduct transactions with	
				counterparties where the transaction has	
				no underlying or the transaction is	
				conducted for speculation purposes.	
				There are also limitations of the	
				transactions' underlying, i.e. the	
				underlying must not in the forms of	
				equity and commodity.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Even though the size of derivative	
				transactions in Indonesia is small with	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				limited types of asset classes that can be	
				transacted by banks, the authority is now	
				preparing a consultative paper regarding	
				the calculation of risk weight for	
				counterparty credit risk in line with Basel	
				III capital framework. The consultative	
				paper was published at the end of 2015	
				and the final regulation is expected to be	
				published in 2016. Currently, there is no	
				registered fund that has characteristic of	
				a hedge fund managed locally in	
				Indonesia. Despite this fact, to anticipate	
				further development in the Indonesian	
				capital market, OJK conducts a research	
				on hedge funds. This research includes	
				comparative analysis of the practices	
				applied across countries and international	
				standards/principles, and also considers	
				regulations applied to other financial	
				sectors in Indonesia. This research will	
				help to provideOJK with a map on the	
				regulatory issues and the market needs	
				on the hedge funds.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/id/kanal/perbankan/berita-dan-kegiatan/publikasi/Documents/Pages/Consultative-Paper-Penyempurnaan-Kerangka-Counterparty-Credit-Risk-Basel-II-	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				III/Consultative%20Paper%20Counterparty%20Credit%20Risk-revise.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I	I. Securitisation				
4 (4)	Strengthening of regulatory and capital framework for monolines	Insurance supervisors should strengthen the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers (where these exist). See, for reference, the following principles issued by IAIS: • ICP 13 – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer; • ICP 15 – Investments; and • ICP 17 - Capital Adequacy. Jurisdictions may also refer to: • IAIS Guidance paper on enterprise risk management for capital adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct 2008). • Joint Forum document on Mortgage insurance: market structure, underwriting cycle and policy implications (Aug2013).	There is no monolines product in Indonesia. ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☐ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
5	Strengthening of	Regulators of institutional investors	Jurisdictions should indicate the due	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(5)	supervisory requirements or best	should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for	diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	practices for investment	investment in structured products. (Rec	managers when investing in structured	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
	in structured products	II.18, FSF 2008)	finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Planned actions (if any) and expected
			practices for investment in structured finance products.	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	commencement date:
			Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's	☐ Draft published as of:	
			report on <u>Good Practices in Relation to</u> Investment Managers' Due Diligence	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			When Investing in Structured Finance	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
			Instruments (Jul 2009). Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint	☑ Implementation completed as of: 02/12/2013	
			Forum report on <u>Credit Risk Transfer-</u>	Issue is being addressed through:	
			<u>Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul</u> 2008).	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			<u>2008).</u>	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				concerning Guidelines for the	
				Management of Protected, Guaranteed,	
				and Index Fund stipulates that an	
				investment manager may invest in	
				derivative securities without any	
				obligation to own the securities that	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				become the underlying asset of such	
				derivative, provided that the basis for	
				protection value is investment in debt	
				securities. This regulation also stipulates	
				that when an investment manager invests	
				in derivatives securities, the investment	
				manager must provide additional	
				disclosure information concerning such	
				investments and explains the criteria used	
				for selecting the securities to investors.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/en/kanal/pasar- modal/regulasi/klasifikasi- bapepam/reksa-dana/Default.aspx	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6	Enhanced disclosure of	Securities market regulators should work	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(6)	securitised products	with market participants to expand information on securitised products and	measures and other initiatives taken in relation to enhancing disclosure of	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
		their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-	securitised products, including working	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		III.13, FSF 2008)	with industry and other authorities to continue to standardise disclosure	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Planned actions (if any) and expected
			templates and considering measures to improve the type of information that	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	commencement date:
			investors receive.	☐ Draft published as of:	
			See, for reference, IOSCO's <u>Report on</u> Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012),	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
			<u>Disclosure Principles for Public</u> <u>Offerings and Listings of Asset-Backed</u>	☑ Implementation completed as of: 05/14/2008 and 11/11/2014	
			Securities (Apr 2010) and report on Global Developments in Securitisation	Issue is being addressed through:	
			Regulations (November 2012), in	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			particular recommendations 4 and 5.	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				List of Disclosure Requirements for	
				Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-	
				Backed Securities as regulated by	
				Bapepam, former authority, and OJK, as	
				follows: • Bapepam Rules Number	
				IX.C.9 concerning Registration Statement	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				For a Public Offering Of Asset Backed	
				Securities (started effectively on	
				December 26, 1997) stipulated that the	
				Investment Manager has to submit	
				Registration Statement for a Public	
				Offering of Asset Backed Securities to	
				Bapepam. • Bapepam Rule Number	
				IX.C.10 concerning Guidelines for the	
				Form and Contents of a Prospectus For	
				Asset Backed Securities (started	
				effectively on December 26, 1997)	
				stipulated that The Investment Manager	
				has to provide a Prospectus which	
				contains details and material facts	
				regarding the Asset Backed Securities. •	
				OJK Regulation Number	
				23/POJK.04/2014 concerning Guidelines	
				on Issuance and Reporting of Asset	
				Backed Securities in the form of	
				Participation Unit (started effectively on	
				November 19, 2014). List of regulations	
				related to on-going Disclosure of Asset-	
				Backed Securities as follows:	
				Bapepam Rule Number V.G.5	
				concerning Investment Manager	
				Functions on Asset Backed Securities	
				(started effectively on May 14, 2008) has	
				required Investment Manager to provide	
				monthly reports to investors for their	
				investments.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				OJK Regulation Number	
				15/POJK.04/2014 concerning Monthly	
				Report of Collective Investment Contract	
				of Asset Backed Securities (ABS) (started	
				effectively on November 11, 2014) has	
				required investment managers to submit a	
				monthly report to OJK regarding their	
				activities on the ABS.	
				OJK Regulation Number	
				23/POJK.04/2014 concerning Guidelines	
				on Issuance and Reporting of Asset	
				Backed Securities in the form of	
				Participation Unit for Housing Secondary	
				Financing (started effectively on	
				November 19, 2014) has required:	
				issuer to submit a monthly report to OJK	
				regarding their activities on the ABS.	
				Custodian to provide report to	
				Participation Unit Holders. All	
				regulations issued by Bapepam/OJK have	
				been consulated to public before issued.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/Files/regulation/capi tal-market/bap-rules/sc-scr-etc/5/VG5.pdf http://www.ojk.go.id/en/kanal/pasar-modal/regulasi/klasifikasi-bapepam/emiten-dan-perusahaan-publik/Pages/content-of-a-registration-	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				statement-and-a-public-offering.aspx http://www.ojk.go.id/Files/regulation/capi tal-market/bap-rules/is-pc/1/IXC10.pdf http://www.ojk.go.id/id/regulasi/otoritas- jasa-keuangan/peraturan- ojk/Documents/POJK23PedomanPenerbit andanPealporanEBA_1417049142.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
III.	Enhancing supervision				
7	Consistent,	All firms whose failure could pose a risk	Jurisdictions should indicate: (1) whether	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(7)	consolidated supervision and	to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and	they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
	regulation of SIFIs	regulation with high standards.	names of the identified SIFIs have been	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		(Pittsburgh)	publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs.	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			In their response to (3) above,	☐ Draft published as of:	
			jurisdictions should note any significant changes in their approach, strategy or	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			practices to enhance SIFI supervision.	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
			Jurisdictions should mention, but not provide details on, policy measures that	☑ Implementation completed as of: January 2016	
			pertain to higher loss absorbency requirements for G/D-SIBs, since these	Issue is being addressed through:	
			are monitored separately by the BCBS.	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			See, for reference, the following	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
			documents:	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			BCBS:	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
			• Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013)	In 2006, BI has issued regulation to	
			• Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012)	require banks to implement consolidated	
			IAIS:	risk management for banks. According to	
			Global Systemically Important	OJK Law as stipulated in 2011, the main	
			Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013)	function of OJK is to establish an	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			 and initial assessment methodology IAIS SRMP guidance - FINAL (Dec 2013) Guidance on Liquidity management and planning (Oct 2014) FSB: Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011) 	integrated regulation and supervision system for all activities in the financial services sector. To support its function, OJK has issued several regulations concerning integrated risk management and integrated governance for financial conglomerates in 2014, and integrated capital for financial conglomerates in 2015 under OJK Regulation Number 26/POJK.03/2015 concerning Integrated Minimum Capital Requirement for Financial Conglomerates (OJK Regulation Number 17/POJK.03/2014), integrated governance for financial conglomerates in 2014 (OJK Regulation Number 18/POJK.03/2014), and integrated capital for financial conglomerates (OJK Regulation Number 26/POJK.03/2015 concerning Integrated Minimum Capital Requirement for Financial Conglomerates).	
				The regulation on integrated risk management governs that financial conglomerates are required to implement integrated risk management comprising of the following pillars: (i) oversight by Lead Entities' directors and board of commissioners; (ii) adequacy of policy, procedures, and setting of limits with regards to integrated risk management;	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(iii) adequacy of identification,	
				assessment, monitoring and integrated	
				risk control processes, as well as an	
				integrated risk management information	
				system; and (iv) a comprehensive	
				internal control system for the purpose of	
				integrated risk management. In addition,	
				the regulation on integrated governance	
				governs that financial conglomerates are	
				required to implement integrated	
				governance comprising of the following	
				pillars: (i) criteria for the appointment of	
				Lead Entity directors and board of	
				commissioners; (ii) roles and	
				responsibilities of Lead Entity directors	
				and board of commissioners; (iii) roles	
				and responsibilities of an Integrated	
				Governance Committee; (iv) roles and	
				responsibilities of a Integrated	
				Compliance Unit; (v) roles and	
				responsibilities of an Integrated Internal	
				Audit Unit; (vi) implementation of	
				integrated risk management; (vii)	
				creation and implementation of a	
				Integrated Governance Policy. According	
				to those regulations, parent companies	
				which are commercial banks with core	
				capital above IDR 30 trillion (BUKU 4)	
				are required to provide the semi-annual	
				reports; the first reporting used June 2015	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				data. For other banks, the first reporting	
				used December 2015 data. With regard	
				to integrated capital regulation, the	
				financial conglomerates have been	
				required to provide at minimum 100% of	
				its aggregate regulatory capital	
				requirement. Indonesian authorities also	
				apply more intensive supervision towards	
				large banks that are considered as	
				systemically important in Indonesia.	
				These banks are now under the	
				supervision of OJK (as a microprudential	
				authority) and BI (as a macroprudential	
				authority). For banks that are considered	
				posing systemic impacts, Indonesian	
				authorities also have specific measures	
				and tools to deal with the possible failures	
				of these banks since the Indonesian	
				authorities differentiate resolution	
				measures applied for systemic and non-	
				systemic banks. Regulation on D-SIB	
				framework and application of capital	
				surcharge requirements as stipulated in	
				the Basel III capital regulation was issued	
				at the end of 2015 under OJK Regulation	
				Number 46/POJK.03/2015. The	
				determination of D-SIB and imposition of	
				its capital surcharge have started	
				effectively since the beginning of 2016.	
				Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				To further clarify the implementation of	
				D-SIBs surcharge requirements as	
				stipulated in the Basel III capital	
				regulation issued in 2013, a more detailed	
				regulation on D-SIB framework was	
				developed and issued at December 2015.	
				To support OJK's function on integrated	
				supervision, OJK has issued new	
				regulation concerning integrated	
				minimum capital requirement for	
				financial conglomerates which was issued	
				at the end of 2015 to maintain and	
				improve financial conglomerate's capital	
				level as buffer against risks.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/id/kanal/perbankan/	
				regulasi/peraturan-	
				ojk/Documents/Pages/POJK-46-	
				PENETAPAN-SIB-CAPITAL- SURCHARGE/SALINAN-POJK%20D-	
				SIB%20F.pdf	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/id/regulasi/otoritas- jasa-keuangan/peraturan-	
				ojk/Documents/Pages/Peraturan-Otoritas-	
				Jasa-Keuangan-Nomor-26-POJK-03-	
				2015-/pojk-kewajiban-penyediaan- modal-minumum-terintegrasi-bagi-	
				konglomerasi-keuangan.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8 (8)	Description Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	G20/FSB Recommendations To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London) We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of G-SIBs and G-SIIs. Please indicate the progress made in establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs and G-SIIs, including the development of any joint supervisory plans within core colleges and leveraging on supervisory activities conducted by host authorities. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jun 2014) • Progress report on the implementation of principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jul 2015) IAIS:	Progress to date ✓ Not applicable Indonesia is not a home jurisdiction of significant cross-border firms. ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☐ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			IAIS: • ICPs 24 and 25, especially guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6, 25.6, 25.7 and 25.8 • Application paper on supervisory colleges (Oct 2014)		



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
9	Supervisory exchange	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to	Jurisdictions should include any feedback	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(9)	of information and coordination	developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions,	received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the <u>September 2012</u> BCP	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
		supervisory exchange of information and	3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved	BCP 14 (Home-host relationships). Jurisdictions should also indicate any	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008)	steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.	☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	Jurisdictions should describe any recent or planned regulatory, supervisory or	☑ Implementation completed as of: June 2015	
			legislative changes that contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g.	Issue is being addressed through:	
			within supervisory colleges or via	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				MoUs	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				At national level, an FKSSK MoU was	
				signed by Minister of Finance, Governor	
				of Bank Indonesia, Chairman of OJK's	
				Board of Commissioners, and Chairman	
				of LPS's Board of Commissioners. The	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				MoU facilitates the sharing of	
				information and data among authorities	
				that are required to maintain and promote	
				financial system stability. In addition to	
				the FKSSK's MoU, bilateral MoUs	
				between relevant Indonesian authorities	
				have also been put in place, such as	
				bilateral MoUs between OJK and BI,	
				OJK and Indonesian Financial	
				Transaction Reports and Analysis Center	
				(INTRAC/PPATK), OJK and the	
				Directorate General of Tax, OJK and the	
				Attorney General, National Police, etc.	
				Moreover, a bilateral MoU between OJK	
				and Indonesia Deposit Insurance	
				Corporation has been signed in July 2014.	
				At international level, until the first	
				semester of 2015, OJK has signed several	
				MOUs with foreign supervisors (Japan	
				FSA, Dubai FSA, FSC and FSS Korea	
				and CBRC) concerning the supervisory	
				cooperation. The scope of the	
				cooperation comprises of the sharing of	
				supervisory information, licensing, on-	
				going supervision and on-site	
				examinations, etc. OJK became the	
				signatory of the IOSCO MMoU on	
				cooperation and exchange of information	
				among securities regulators, effectively	
				started in January 2014. In addition, OJK	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				also has several bilateral MoUs with	
				foreign counterparts that have been	
				carried over since Indonesia Capital	
				Market and Financial Institution	
				Supervisory Agency, recognized as	
				Bapepam-LK (a former authority of	
				capital market and Non-Banking	
				Financial Institutions (NBFIs) before	
				OJK effectively takes over the authority	
				to supervise and regulate capital market	
				and NBFIs on December 31st, 2012).	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Several bilateral MoUs between OJK and	
				other domestic and foreign supervisors	
				concerning supervisory cooperation have	
				also been signed in March 2016 (MoU	
				OJK-Timor Leste Central Bank, Letter of	
				Intent with Bank of Thailand, and OJK -	
				Indonesian Corruption Eradication	
				Commission/KPK).	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 10 (10)	Description Strengthening resources and effective supervision	We agreed that supervisors should have strong and unambiguous mandates, sufficient independence to act, appropriate resources, and a full suite of tools and powers to proactively identify and address risks, including regular stress testing and early intervention. (Seoul) Supervisors should see that they have the requisite resources and expertise to oversee the risks associated with financial innovation and to ensure that firms they supervise have the capacity to understand and manage the risks. (FSF 2008) Supervisory authorities should continually re-assess their resource needs; for example, interacting with and assessing Boards require particular skills, experience and adequate level of seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate any steps taken on recommendations 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 (i.e. supervisory strategy, engagement with banks, improvements in banks' IT and MIS, data requests, and talent management strategy respectively) in the FSB thematic peer review report on supervisory frameworks and approaches to SIBs (May 2015).	Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: Implementation completed as of: 07.01.2014 Issue is being addressed through: Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation / Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation / regulation/guideline:	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				In accordance with Risk Based Supervision technique, the OJK	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Supervisors have relied their supervisory methodology based on Risk Based Bank Rating tools to measures the banks condition by assessing 4 (four) aspects, namely: (i) Risk Profile , (ii) Good Corporate Governance (GCG), (iii) Rentability, and (iv) Capital.	
				In conducting an assessment of the Risk Profile of a bank, the OJK Supervisors assess the quality of risk management implementation carried out on 4 (four) interrelated aspects, namely: (i) Risk Governance; (Ii) Risk Management Framework; (iii) Risk Management process, human resources, and management information systems; and (iv) Risk Control Systems from 8 (eight) types of risks (Credit Risk, Market Risk, Liquidity Risk, Operational Risk, Legal Risk, Strategic Risk, Compliance Risk	
				and Reputation Risk). Assessment of 4 quality aspects of Risk Management implementation, which includes: A. Risk Governance includes evaluation of: (i) formulation process of the level risk appetite and risk tolerance; and (ii) the adequacy of active supervision by the Board of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Commissioners and Board of Directors, including the implementation of the authority and responsibilities of the Board of Commissioners and Board of Directors. B. Risk Management Framework	
				includes evaluation of: (i) Risk Management strategy, which in line with the level of risk appetite and risk tolerance; (ii) the adequacy of the organization supporting the implementation of effective risk management, including the clarity of authority and responsibility; and (iii) the adequacy of policies, procedures and limits. C. Risk Management Process, Human Resource and Management Information Systems. includes evaluation of: (i) the identification, measurement, monitoring, and risk controlling; (ii) the adequacy of risk management information systems; and (iii) the adequacy of the quantity and quality of human resources in supporting	
				the effectiveness of the risk management process. D. Risk Control Systems	
				includes evaluation of: (i) the adequacy	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				of the Internal Control System and (ii) the adequacy of the independent review Bank either by the Risk Management Unit and by the Internal Audit Unit.	
				Based on the assessment the aforementioned aspects, OJK supervisors monitor the risks associated with banks and financial innovation to ensure that the bank has the capacity to understand and manage the risks. Supervisors also periodically review banks condition and performance through the mechanism of Risk Based Bank Rating assessment every semester based on bank report, and other information obtained by supervisors through the examination as well as	
				prudential meetings with the BOC,BOD and/or senior officer.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bi.go.id/id/peraturan/perbank an/Documents/828aa23594154a89aeabab 7dc3103805pbi_130112.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nting macroprudential frameworks an	d tools	-	-
11	Establishing regulatory	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure	Please describe major changes in the	□ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(11)	framework for macro- prudential oversight	authorities are able to identify and take account of macro-prudential risks across	institutional arrangements for macroprudential policy (structures,	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
		the financial system including in the case	mandates, powers, reporting etc.) that	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		of regulated banks, shadow banks ¹ and private pools of capital to limit the build	have taken place since the global financial crisis, particularly over the past	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Web-links to relevant documents:
	up of systemic risk. (London)	year.	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:		
				☐ Draft published as of:	
		Ensure that national regulators possess the powers for gathering relevant	Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		information on all material financial institutions, markets and instruments in	adequacy of powers to collect and share relevant information among different	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since:	
	order to assess the potential for failure	order to assess the potential for failure or severe stress to contribute to systemic	authorities on financial institutions, markets and instruments to assess the	☑ Implementation completed as of: December 2013	
		risk. This will be done in close	potential for systemic risk. If so, please	Issue is being addressed through:	
		coordination at international level in	describe identified gaps in the powers to	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		order to achieve as much consistency as	collect information, and whether any	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
	possible across jurisdictions. (London)	possible across jurisdictions. (London)	follow-up actions have been taken.	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:		
				Following the transfer of banking	

¹ The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				supervisory functions to OJK on 31	
				December 2013, BI assumes the	
				responsibility as the macro prudential	
				authority to conduct macro prudential	
				surveillance and to issue macro prudential	
				regulations. The mandate and powers are	
				stipulated in the OJK Law. Formerly, as	
				stipulated in the OJK Law, sharing	
				information arrangement among different	
				authorities in Indonesia is conducted	
				through FKSSK (Financial System	
				Stability Coordination Forum). An	
				FKSSK MoU was signed by four	
				financial sector authorities in Indonesia	
				(Ministry of Finance/MoF, Bank	
				Indonesia/BI, Indonesian Financial	
				Services Authority/OJK, and Indonesian	
				Deposit Insurance Corporation/LPS) to	
				further govern the arrangement of	
				information sharing both in normal and	
				crisis times. The sharing of data and	
				information within the FKSSK is not	
				limited to indicators of crisis management	
				protocol, but also results of surveillance	
				by each authority and other data and	
				information that are required to perform	
				each authority's tasks and	
				responsibilities. Nevertheless, since the	
				enactment of Law No. 9 Year 2006	
				concerning Prevention and Resolution of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Financial System Crisis (PPKSK Law) on	
				15 April 2016, the Financial System	
				Stability Committee (KSSK) was	
				established to replace the former forum	
				(FKSSK). The members of the KSSK	
				high-level forum are the Chairs of	
				financial sector authorities, which consist	
				of Governor of Central Bank, Chair of	
				Indonesian FSA (OJK), Minister of	
				Finance and Chair of Indonesian Deposit	
				Insurance Corporation (IDIC). The	
				mandate of this financial system stability	
				forum is improved according to this Law,	
				not only to conduct coordination in	
				undertaking surveillance and maintaining	
				financial system stability, but also to	
				mitigate financial system crisis and to	
				handle systemic bank problems during	
				normal and crisis conditions. In general,	
				the enactment of the PPKSK Law has	
				strengthened the functions and authorities	
				of the financial stability institutions in	
				safeguarding financial system stability	
				which helps to improve the effectiveness	
				of macroprudential policies. In term of	
				microprudential surveillance, with regard	
				to the power to collect data/information	
				the OJK Law provides OJK with the	
				authority to require banks, NBFIs, and	
				capital market to report information and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				data, both in regular and in ad-hoc basis.	
				The data/information can be shared with	
				relevant authorities, including BI.	
				Information sharing between BI and OJK	
				will be conducted in accordance with a	
				protocol mechanism under MoU between	
				BI and OJK. As a macroprudential	
				authority, BI has continuously utilised the	
				information and data to assess the	
				potential failure or severe stress of	
				financial institutions that will contribute	
				to systemic risk in conducting	
				macroprudential surveillance.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				There have been no changes to the	
				regulatory framework for	
				macroprudential oversight since last	
				year's survey.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/en/regulasi/otoritas- jasa-keuangan/undang- undang/Documents/Pages/law-no-21-of- year-2011-on-financial-services- authority/Full%20Version- Unofficial%20Translation%20of%20The %20FSA%20Law.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (12)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential instruments	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009) We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes) Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks. Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them. See, for reference, the following documents: • CGFS report on Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments (Dec 2012) • FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011) • IMF staff papers on Macroprudential policy, an organizing framework (Mar 2011), Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013), and Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014)	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: June 2015 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: In identifying systemic risks, BI monitors risk indicators, events, and/or behaviours that may present potential risks in the financial system. The monitoring process aims to detect and provide signals of imbalances and vulnerabilities that may pose systemic impacts. BI considers various indicators both endogenous and	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				exogenous indicators. For endogenous	
				indicators BI consider various indicators	
				within financial markets, financial	
				institutions, and payment system. For	
				exogenous indicators, BI will consider	
				domestic macroeconomic indicators, real	
				sector, global, and event risks. In 2015,	
				BI issued a revised regulation on loan to	
				value ratio. While in the past the	
				regulation was issued to moderate the	
				excessive mortgage and car loan growth,	
				the revised regulation was issued to	
				respond the need for a countercyclical	
				regulation that can support banking	
				intermediation and provide incentive to	
				the demand side of the economy.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Bank Indonesia has established	
				countercyclical buffer regulation to	
				improve banking sector capital	
				requirements to take into consider macro-	
				financial environment.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bi.go.id/id/perbankan/Counter	
				cyclical-Buffer/Data-	
				CCB/Contents/Default.aspx	



No Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V. Improving oversig	ht of credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
13 Enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes registration. The regulatory oversight regime should be established by end 2009 and should be consistent with the IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals. (London)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following IOSCO document:	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
	National authorities will enforce compliance and require changes to a rating agency's practices and procedures for managing conflicts of interest and assuring the transparency and quality of the rating process. CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full disclosure of their ratings track record and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process. The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including through IOSCO. (London) Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance	 Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies (Mar 2015) (including governance, training and risk management) Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents: Principle 22 of Principles and Objectives of Securities Regulation (Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs Statement of Principles Regarding the Activities of Credit Rating Agencies (Sep 2003) Final Report on Supervisory Colleges for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013) Jurisdictions should take into account the outcomes of any recent FSAP/ROSC assessment against those principles. 	 ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 22/12/2011 Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: In Indonesia, banks are only permitted to use the services of Credit Rating Agency (CRA) that meets requirements governed by a regulation concerning recognition of Credit Rating Agency (CRA) whose rating is used for prudential regulation 	Web-links to relevant documents:



obligations for CRAs) as early as possible in 2010. (FSB 2009) We encourage further steps to enhance transparency and competition among credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)	purposes (such as regarding asset quality, market risk, and credit risk assessment). Monitoring will be conducted by the Indonesia banking authority to ensure that the CRA meets eligibility criteria. For capital market, based on Law Number 8 Year 1995 concerning Capital Market,	
	Bapepam (former authority before Bapepam-LK) has the authority and responsibility to guide, regulate, and conduct day-to-day supervision of the Capital Market, including credit rating agencies enganged in Indonesian Capital Market activities. Bapepam-LK (currently, OJK) have issued six regulations on CRAs including a regulation concerning licensing on Credit Rating Agencies in June 22, 2009 to meet the IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals. Theaforementioned regulations on CRAs, excluding regulation concerning licensing on Credit Rating Agencies, have been revised by OJK Regulations and still sufficient to regulate CRAs and consistent with IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies. Bapepam,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/en/kanal/pasar- modal/regulasi/undang- undang/Default.aspx	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14	Reducing the reliance	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(14)	on ratings	reducing reliance on external credit ratings. Standard setters, market	they are taking to address the recommendations of the May 2014 FSB	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
		participants, supervisors and central	thematic peer review report on the	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		banks should not rely mechanistically on	implementation of the FSB Principles for	Status of progress [for legislation and	Web-links to relevant documents:
		external credit ratings. (Seoul)	Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings,	regulation/guidelines only]:	web-miks to relevant documents.
		Authorities should check that the roles	including by implementing their <u>agreed</u>	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	
		that they have assigned to ratings in	action plans. Any revised action plans	publication by:	
		regulations and supervisory rules are	should be sent to the FSB Secretariat so	☐ Draft published as of:	
		consistent with the objectives of having	that it can be posted on the FSB website.	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		investors make independent judgment of risks and perform their own due	Jurisdictions may refer to the following documents:	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		diligence, and that they do not induce uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a substitute for that independent evaluation.	• FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance on CRA Ratings (Oct 2010)	☑ Implementation completed as of: 22.12.2012 and 19.11.2014 (Capital Market)	
		(Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)	FSB <u>Roadmap for Reducing Reliance</u> on CRA Ratings (Nov 2012)	Issue is being addressed through:	
		We reaffirm our commitment to reduce	BCBS Consultative Document	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		authorities' and financial institutions' reliance on external credit ratings, and	Revisions to the Standardised Approach	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
		call on standard setters, market	for credit risk (Dec 2015)	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
		participants, supervisors and central	• IAIS <u>ICP guidance</u> 16.9 and 17.8.25	Short description of the content of the	
		banks to implement the agreed FSB	• IOSCO Good Practices on Reducing	legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		principles and end practices that rely mechanistically on these ratings.	Reliance on CRAs in Asset	Considering that most of the credit	
		(Cannes)	Management (June 2015)	exposures are unrated, we view that	
		(Camics)	IOSCO Sound Practices at Large	several safeguards that have been	
		We call for accelerated progress by	Intermediaries Relating to the	required by the existing regulation (such	
		national authorities and standard setting	Assessment of Creditworthiness and the	as minimum requirements to CRA to be	
				recognized by the Indonesian authority as	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance	Use of External Credit Ratings (Dec	eligible CRAs for regulatory purposes)	
		on credit ratings and encourage steps that	2015).	and the supervisory approach to assess	
		would enhance transparency of and		the adequacy of the banks' own credit	
		competition among credit rating agencies.		assessment processes are currently	
		(Los Cabos)		sufficient to address concern on our	
				existing regulations with regard to	
		We call on national authorities and		external CRA ratings. For reserve	
		standard setting bodies to accelerate		management purposes, CRA rating is	
		progress in reducing reliance on credit		used as an input along with other	
		rating agencies, in accordance with the		parameters. With regard to the reference	
		FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)		to CRA rating to determine eligibility of	
				non-government securities as collateral,	
				BI has required that the non-government	
				securities should also be actively traded	
				in a liquid market. To this date, the	
				majority of securities held by our banks	
				are securities issued by the Government	
				of Indonesia and BI. Bapepam LK issued	
				Rule Number V.D.11 concerning	
				Guidelines for the Functions of	
				Investment Managers in 31 December	
				2009. This regulation governs investment	
				managers to have investment function,	
				and research and information technology	
				function. By having these functions,	
				investment managers in carry out its	
				business would not rely on external credit	
				rating agencies. Bapepam LK Rule	
				Number V.D.11 has been revised by OJK	
				Regulation No. 24/POJK.04/2014	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				regarding Guidelines for the Functions of	
				Investment Managers. OJK Regulation	
				No. 24/POJK.04/2014 regarding	
				Guidelines for the Functions of	
				Investment Managers (effective 19	
				November 2014) regulates that	
				investment managers shall have	
				Investment committee and Investment	
				management team, and investment and	
				research functions responsible for: •	
				making best investment decision; •	
				compiling and maintaning record and/or	
				working papers for investment decision	
				making; • performing periodic analysis	
				on the performance of investment	
				products; • ensuring investment decision	
				in line with investment strategy and	
				policy as stipulated in investment	
				agreement with investors and as	
				determined by investment committee; •	
				ensuring that each investment decision is	
				made based upon rational consideration	
				and supported by adequate research; and •	
				implementing prudential principles and	
				risk management related to arising	
				investment risks. The safeguards have	
				been implemented by OJK to reduce	
				investment managers reliance on external	
				credit rating agencies. This regulation	
				ensures that investment managers do not	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				solely depend on ratings by CRAs, but	
				instead making their reliable judgment	
				from the results of their own research.	
				For NBFI CRA will be utilized as one of	
				references for the purpose of valuation on	
				investment instruments for financial	
				statements.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/id/regulasi/otoritas- jasa-keuangan/peraturan- ojk/Pages/peraturan-otoritas-jasa- keuangan-tentang-pedoman-pelaksanaan- fungsi-fungsi-manajer-investasi.aspx	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Enhancing and alignin	g accounting standards			
15	Consistent application	Regulators, supervisors, and accounting	Jurisdictions should indicate the	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(15)	of high-quality accounting standards	standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private	accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are of a	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
		sector on an ongoing basis to ensure	high and internationally acceptable	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards.	quality (eg equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB), and provide	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		(Washington)	accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			explain the system they have for	☐ Draft published as of:	
			enforcement of consistent application of those standards.	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			Jurisdictions may want to refer to their	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
			jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed	☑ Implementation completed as of: January 2015	
			at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS-	Issue is being addressed through:	
			profiles.aspx.	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			As part of their response on this	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
			recommendation, jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
			appropriate application of fair value	Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
			accounting.	The Indonesia authority has adopted a	
			In addition, jurisdictions should set out	plan to converge the Indonesian	
			any steps they intend to take (if	Generally Accepted Accounting	
			appropriate) to foster transparent and	Principles with IFRS in December 2008.	
			consistent implementation of the new	The first phase of the convergence	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			accounting requirements for expected	program, which was to align Indonesian	
			loan loss provisioning for impaired loans	accounting standards (PSAK) to IFRS as	
			that are being introduced by the IASB	of 1 January 2009, was largely achieved	
			and are scheduled to be introduced by the	in 2012. The second phase was intended	
			FASB.	to reduce the lag in adoption of IFRS to	
			See, for reference, the following BCBS	one year, resulted in the convergence of	
			document:	PSAK with IFRS (as they stood on 1	
				January 2014) as of 1 January 2015. The	
			• <u>Supervisory guidance for assessing</u>	implementation of Indonesia Accounting	
			banks' financial instrument fair value	standard is aligned with on IFRS that has	
			practices (Apr 2009)	effectively established in 2015 (with 1	
				(one) year lag). Although the	
				compliance of Indonesian banks to	
				IFRSs, i.e. by the adoption of PSAKs,	
				will be assessed by their external	
				auditors, the banking authority also	
				enforces the implementation of the	
				Indonesian accounting standards. For	
				example, under the regulation on the	
				Transparency and Publication of	
				Commercial Banks' Report, sanctions	
				will be imposed to banks if the Quarterly	
				Published Financial Report and Annual	
				Report of the Banks do not conform to	
				the financial accounting standards.	
				Regulations for banks that are related	
				with the implementation of PSAKs are	
				continuously being revised in order to	
				align the regulations' requirements with	
				the PSAKs requirements. The issuance of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the regulation on the Transparency and	
				Publication of Commercial Banks'	
				Report in March 2015 is also intended to	
				in line the regulation's requirements with	
				the adjustment on financial reporting	
				required under PSAK 1 which has been	
				aligned with IFRS. The regulation has	
				been effectively implemented starting on	
				1 April 2015. In addition, "Pedoman	
				Akuntansi Perbankan Indonesia"/ PAPI	
				has also been established in order to	
				support banking industry in implementing	
				Indonesia Standard Accounting (PSAK).	
				Furthermore, as a response to the revision	
				of IAS 1, IAS 16 and IAS 38, the Board	
				of Indonesia Accounting Standard	
				(DSAK) is currently revising PSAK 16	
				and PSAK 19, which effectively	
				implemented since 1 January 2016.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				A working group of Accounting Standard	
				Setter has been established for the	
				preparation of IFRS 9 adoption	
				(replacement of PSAK 55) that will be	
				implemented in 1 January 2019.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII.	Enhancing risk manag	ement			
	•		Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to enhance guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices. Jurisdictions may also refer to the following documents: • FSB's thematic peer review report on risk governance (Feb 2013); • Joint Forum's Developments in credit risk management across sectors: current practices and recommendations (June 2015); and	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		implementation of the guidance is inadequate, supervisors will take more prescriptive action to improve practices. (Rec. II.10, FSF 2008) Regulators and supervisors in emerging markets ¹ will enhance their supervision of banks' operation in foreign currency funding markets. (FSB 2009) We commit to conduct robust, transparent stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)	BCBS <u>Peer review of supervisory</u> authorities' implementation of stress testing principles (Apr 2012) and Principles for sound stress testing practices and supervision (May 2009).	 ☑ Implementation completed as of: August 2015 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: A regulation concerning risk management requires banks to improve and maintain 	

¹ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				bank's risk management including	
				information systems and internal controls	
				with respect to credit, market, liquidity,	
				operational, legal, reputational, and other	
				risks. The regulation also requires banks	
				to have written policies, procedures, and	
				limit to address such risks. An integrated	
				risk management is also required for	
				banks that own subsidiaries and sister	
				companies in financial sector. Stress	
				testing has been required to be conducted	
				by banks such as through a regulation	
				concerning risk management. Indonesian	
				authorities are also conducting stress	
				testing regularly. With regard to risk	
				governance, the prevailing regulations on	
				good corporate governance for banking	
				sector have met most expectations of	
				sound risk governance as showed by the	
				result of a peer review on risk	
				governance. A new regulation concerning	
				integrated risk management and	
				integrated governance for financial	
				conglomerates has further strengthened	
				the expectation of banks' risk governance	
				practices. The regulation on integrated	
				risk management was issued and has	
				been effectively implemented in	
				November 2014. The regulation on	
				integrated governance for financial	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				conglomerates was also issued and has	
				been effectively implemented in	
				November 2014. The first reporting for	
				both regulations will use June 2015 data.	
				Further, with regard to liquidity risk, the	
				authority established regulation POJK	
				No.42/POJK.03/2015 regarding Liquidity	
				Coverage Ratio.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				AOJK is currently conducting a research	
				to adopt Net Stable Funding Ratio.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/id/kanal/perbankan/regulasi/peraturan-ojk/Documents/Pages/POJK-Nomor-42-Kewajiban-Pemenuhan-Rasio-Kecukupan-Likuiditas-Bagi-Bank-Umum/SALINAN-POJK%20LCR%20.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
17 (18)	Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an	Jurisdictions should indicate the status of implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
(18)		reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington) We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. (St. Petersburg)	_		Web-links to relevant documents:
				PSAK 60's disclosure requirements. Following several annual improvements	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				made by the IASB on IFRS 7, the Board	
				of Indonesia Accounting Standard	
				(DSAK) has also issued several revisions	
				on PSAK 60. The latest version is	
				implemented effectively on 1 January	
				2015. IFRS 13 has also been adopted	
				through PSAK 68 and implemented on 1	
				January 2015. For banking industry,	
				Pillar 3 disclosure requirements have also	
				been effectively implemented in	
				Indonesia. The Pillar 3 disclosure has	
				been revised in 2015 to accommodate	
				capital disclosure requirements under	
				Basel III. The revised regulation was	
				issued on 31 March 2015. The regulation	
				governs that banks are required to	
				disclose and publish Basel III capital in	
				the banks' website on a quarterly basis.	
				The regulation came into force for	
				December 2015 data. Disclosure	
				requirements of financial instruments by	
				securities companies has been required by	
				Bapepam LK Rule Number V.D.5	
				concerning Maintaining and Reporting on	
				Adjusted Net Working Capital	
				(effectively started in 28 Desember	
				2010), which amended the prior	
				regulation dated 8 Mei 2003 with more	
				disclosure requirements. Furthermore,	
				this regulation requires that in calculating	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				ANWC, securities companies shall	
				disclose all their assets and liabilities as	
				well as their risk measurements to assets	
				and their activities/liabilities. In addition,	
				the sufficiency of the adjusted net	
				working capital is a requirement that shall	
				be complied by securities companies in	
				daily basis to enable them to have	
				financial capability to support trading	
				activities in the stock exchange.	
				Disclosure requirements of financial	
				instruments have been implemented since	
				2012 for NBFIs (insurance industry). The	
				financial reporting for insurance industry	
				are required to be prepared in accordance	
				with Indonesia Accounting Standard	
				(SAK) and Statutory Accounting	
				Principles (SAP) to assess valuation of	
				insurers' assets and liabilites. The	
				insurance companies are required to	
				disclose all of their assets and to measure	
				the risks associated to their assets to meet	
				the required solvency ratio. Moreover,	
				NBFIs are required to conduct risk	
				assessments (such as regarding board	
				risk, governance risk, strategy risk, asset	
				and liability risk, insurance/finance risk,	
				capital support risk). The requirement has	
				been implemented since 2014.	
				Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				OJK has issued the Basel III capital	
				disclosure regulation and it will come	
				into force for December 2015 data.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.ojk.go.id/id/kanal/pasar-modal/regulasi/klasifikasi-bapepam/perusahaan-efek/Pages/klasifikasi-bapepam-perusahaan-efek.aspx	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Strengthening deposit	insurance			
18 (19)	Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements	National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities	Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 <i>thematic</i>	☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and	Web-links to relevant documents:
			 peer review report on deposit insurance systems: Adoption of an explicit deposit 	 regulation/guidelines only]: ☑ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: 	
			insurance system (for those jurisdictions that do not have one)	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
			• Addressing the weaknesses and gaps to full implementation of the <u>Core</u>	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
			Principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems issued by IADI in	☐ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through:	
			November 2014.	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				In line with the requirements of IADI	
				Core Principles No. 11 regarding Funding	
				of DIC, LPS has conducted a study on the	
				implementation of a differential premium	
				system (DPS) to replace the current flat	
				rate system. The consultative paper of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				DPS has already been circulated and	
				discussed with respective parties	
				including the banking industry, BI, OJK,	
				and MoF. The LPS would gather inputs	
				and consider concerns from each party in	
				formulating the DPS, in particular from	
				MoF since the finalisation of regulation	
				framework will remain under the MoF's	
				authority. The final concept of the DPS	
				regulation is planned to be consulted with	
				Parliament.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				The Law No. 9 Year 2016 concerning	
				Prevention and Resolution of Financial	
				System Crisis (PPKSK) has been enacted	
				on April, 15 2016 to improve current	
				domestic resolution regime. The Law	
				stipulates that the scopes of financial	
				system crisis prevention and resolution of	
				Financial System consists of: (i)	
				coordination of monitoring and	
				maintaining financial system stability, (ii)	
				financial system crisis management and	
				(iii) resolution of of systemic bank, both	
				in normal and crisis conditions. The	
				PPKSK Law has also broadened the role	
				of the Indonesian Deposit Insurance	
				Corporation (LPS) as the member of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Financial System Committee and	
				resolution authority, which provides the	
				authority with new resolution tools,	
				namely early intervention, purchase and	
				assumption, bail-in mechanism, bridge	
				bank, and new funding source for	
				resolution.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets	·		
19 (20)	Enhancing market integrity and efficiency	We must ensure that markets serve efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should indicate whether high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendations: • in relation to dark liquidity, as set out in the IOSCO Report on Principles for Dark Liquidity (May 2011). • on the impact of technological change in the IOSCO Report on Regulatory Issues Raised by the Impact of Technological Changes on Market Integrity and Efficiency (Oct 2011). • on market structure made in the IOSCO Report on Regulatory issues raised by changes in market structure (Dec 2013).	This recommendation is not applicable, since high frequency trading (HFT) has not been practiced yet in Indonesia. OJK will consider regulating measures to address the risks posed by HFT before allowing the use of HFT. Furthermore, based on Indonesia Capital Market Law, a party that offers buying and selling in the capital market is a Stock Exchange. Alternative trading system such as Dark Pool is not permitted in Indonesia. □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
20	Regulation and	We need to ensure enhanced market	Jurisdictions should indicate whether	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(21)	supervision of commodity markets	transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and	commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	commencement date:
		achieve appropriate regulation and	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective	measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on	Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		intervention powers to address disorderly	Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		markets and prevent market abuses. In	Markets (Sep 2011).	☐ Draft published as of:	
		particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management	Jurisdictions, in responding to this	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		powers, including the power to set exante position limits, particularly in the	recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the <u>update to</u>	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on	the survey published by IOSCO in September 2014 on the principles for the	☑ Implementation completed as of: 2011	
		IOSCO to report on the implementation	regulation and supervision of commodity	Issue is being addressed through:	
		of its recommendations by the end of	derivatives markets.	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		2012. (Cannes)		☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
		We also call on Finance ministers to	S	Short description of the content of the	
		monitor on a regular basis the proper		legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		implementation of IOSCO's principles		Commodity derivatives market in	
		for the regulation and supervision on		Indonesia has been governed by the	
		commodity derivatives markets and		Indonesia's Commodity Futures Trading	
		encourage broader publishing and		Regulatory Agency (CoFTRA). The	
		unrestricted access to aggregated open		primary legislation is Law No. 32 of 1997	
		interest data. (St. Petersburg)		which was amended by Law No. 10 of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				2011. Several related	
				regulations/guidelines (Government	
				regulations, Presidential Decree, Head of	
				CoFTRA's Decree) have been issued to	
				govern regulations and supervisions of	
				the commodity derivatives market in	
				Indonesia. The regulations have adopted	
				the IOSCO principles such as regarding	
				design of physical commodity derivatives	
				contract, surveillance of commodity	
				derivative markets, disorderly markets,	
				enforcement and information sharing, and	
				enhancing price discovery and	
				transparency.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(22)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will continue to be		
(22)		coordinate work on the necessary reforms	deferred given the forthcoming FSB		
		of financial benchmarks. We endorse	progress report on implementation of		
		IOSCO's Principles for Financial	FSB recommendations in this area, and		
		Benchmarks and look forward to reform	ongoing IOSCO work to review the		
		as necessary of the benchmarks used	implementation of the IOSCO Principles		
		internationally in the banking industry	for Financial Benchmarks.		
		and financial markets, consistent with the			
		IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps			
Χ.	X. Enhancing financial consumer protection							
		L	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011). Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's September 2013 and September 2014 reports on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles. The effective approaches are of interest across all financial services sectors – banking and credit; securities; insurance and pensions – and consideration should be given to their cross-sectoral character when considering implementation. Jurisdictions should, where necessary, indicate any changes or additions that have been introduced as a way to support the implementation of the High-level Principles, to address particular national terminology, situations or determinations.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress [for legislation and regulation/guidelines only]: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: December 2012 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: OJK Law that was issued in 2011 further governs consumer protection issues. According to this Law, the OJK is	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:			
				mandated to protect the interests of financial institutions' consumers. For				



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				banking industry, several regulations	
				have also addressed consumer protection	
				issues such as regulations concerning	
				mediation, complaint handling, product	
				transparency and customers' data and	
				privacy. In principles, all requirements on	
				consumer protection, governed by the	
				prevailing Laws and regulations, have	
				been in line with G-20 high-level	
				principles on financial consumer	
				protection. In order to improve the level	
				of public literacy, the Indonesia National	
				Strategy on Financial Literacy has been	
				implemented by OJK in collaboration	
				with the Ministry of Education and	
				Culture alongwith financial services	
				industry through the inclusion of financial	
				literacy issues on educational materials	
				for formal education level and for general	
				public, as well as materials for national	
				campaigns on financial literacy. In	
				addition to the aforementioned program,	
				OJK has launched what so called as	
				Financial Market Education for Public to	
				increase the financial literacy of society	
				by introducing the financial industry,	
				financial products and services, and	
				promoting financial inclusion, targeting	
				the event to the middle to low-income	
				society. The Financial Market Education	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				for Public was also launched with the	
				objectives to introduce the range of	
				microfinance products to improve	
				financial planning awareness, encourage	
				saving and investment, and introducing	
				alternative choices of affordable financial	
				products such as gold investments, micro	
				insurance and low cost mutual funds.	
				Furthermore, on 14 Feb 2014, OJK issued	
				a circular regarding the implementation	
				of financial education to improve	
				customers' and/or public's financial	
				literacy and a circular regarding services	
				and settlement of consumer complaints of	
				financial services businesses. On 24 July	
				2014 OJK also issued a circular	
				concerning the presentation of	
				information in marketing financial	
				services and/or products. Finally, on 20	
				August 2014, OJK also issued a circular	
				concerning standard contract and a	
				circular concerning confidentiality and	
				security of data and/or personal	
				information of consumers. In order to	
				addressing many of illegal investment	
				offers, OJK has raised public awareness	
				on the risk of illegal investments through	
				various ways such as providing public	
				information on the illegal investments	
				risks, educating journalists, providing	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				training of trainers program for teachers,	
				and incorporating materials on the risk of	
				illegal investment in any educational	
				activities.	
				OJK also started monitoring over	
				implementation of consumer protection	
				program carried out by financial	
				institutions in order to review their	
				compliance in implementing OJK	
				Regulation concerning Consumer	
				Protection in Financial Services Sector	
				and other related supporting regulations.	
				Financial services institutions are	
				required to conduct self-assessment in 3	
				(three) phases, namely: 1. Regulation and	
				policy on consumer protection; 2.	
				Implementation of consumer protection	
				principles; and 3. Evaluation of	
				implementation of consumer protection	
				principles. The process of monitoring and	
				analysis of consumer protection in	
				financial services sector is carried out to	
				assess the industry compliance to fulfill	
				principles on: 1. Education to Consumers	
				and/or The Public for Improving	
				Financial Literacy (OJK Circular Number	
				1/SEOJK.07/2014); 2. Handling and	
				Resolutions of Consumer Complaints at	
				Financial Services Businesse (OJK	
				Circular Number 2/SEOJK.07/2014); 3.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Information for Marketing of Financial	
				Products and/or Services (OJK Circular	
				Number 12/SEOJK.07/2014); 4.	
				Standard Agreements (OJK Circular	
				Number 13/SEOJK.07/2014); and 5.	
				Secrecy and Security of Consumer	
				Personal Data and/or Information (OJK	
				Circular Number 14/SEOJK.07/2014). In	
				addition to the aforementioned self-	
				assessment, OJK conducts on-site	
				observation, namely thematic	
				surveillance, to gather more information.	
				Furthermore, in a bid to maximize	
				implementation of consumer protection,	
				OJK has developed an information	
				system to provide reports, educate and	
				enhance consumer protection named	
				PEDULI, which is accessible at	
				http://peduli.ojk.go.id/. The system was	
				developed with the objectives to facilitate	
				financial services institutions in	
				submitting online report on plan and	
				implementation progress of education	
				programs; report on complaint handling;	
				and result of self-assessment in consumer	
				protection.	
				Currently, 7 (seven) Alternative Dispute	
				Resolution Institutions are established,	
				including the other 3 (three) institutions	
				, , ,	
				namely Indonesian Capital Market	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Arbitration Board (BAPMI), Indonesian	
				Insurance Mediation and Arbitration	
				Board (BMAI), and Pension Funds	
				Mediation Board (BMDP), which have	
				been operated before OJK was	
				established.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				In February 2015, OJK has issued its	
				Circular Letter Number	
				7/SEOJK.07/2015 concerning Guidelines	
				for Assessment of Alternative Dispute	
				Resolution Institutions in the Financial	
				Services Sector. Following up the	
				issuance of the above circular letter, 4	
				(four) Alternative Dispute Resolution	
				Institutions has been established in 2015,	
				namely: (i) Indonesian Banking	
				Alternative Dispute Institution (LAPSPI),	
				(ii) Indonesian Finance and Mortgage	
				Mediation Board (BMPPI), (iii)	
				Indonesian Arbitration and Mediation	
				Board for Asssurance Company	
				(BAMPPI), and (iv) Indonesian	
				Arbitration for Capital Venture (BAVI	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



Indonesia

XI. Source of recommendations:

Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2014)

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)

XII. <u>List of Abbreviations used:</u>

BI: Bank Indonesia FKSSK: Financial System Stability Coordination Forum LPS: Lembaga Penjamin Simpanan (Indonesia Deposit Insurance Corporation) MoF: Ministry of Finance OJK: Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (Indonesia Financial Services Agency) PSAK: Indonesian accounting standards