

**Jurisdiction: France** 

# 2014 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. Refining the regulatory perimeter
- II. Hedge funds
- III. Securitisation
- IV. Enhancing supervision
- V. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- VI. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VII. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VIII. Enhancing risk management
  - IX. Strengthening deposit insurance
  - X. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
  - XI. Enhancing financial consumer protection
- XII. Reference to source of recommendations
- XIII. List of Abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Refining the regulator	y perimeter			
1	Review of the	We will each review and adapt the	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): The European
(1)	boundaries of the regulatory framework including strengthening of oversight of shadow banking <sup>1</sup>	boundaries of the regulatory framework to keep pace with developments in the financial system and promote good practices and consistent approaches at an international level. (London)  We agree to strengthen the regulation and oversight of the shadow banking system. <sup>2</sup> (Cannes)	taken to expand the domestic regulatory framework to previously unregulated entities, for example, non-bank financial institutions (e.g. finance companies, mortgage insurance companies, credit hedge funds) and conduits/SIVs etc.  Jurisdictions should indicate policy measures to strengthen the regulation and oversight of the shadow banking system. See, for reference, the recommendations discussed in section 2 of the October 2011 FSB report: Shadow Banking:  Strengthening Oversight and Regulation.	□ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:  ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through:  ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation  □ Regulation / Guidelines  □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress:  □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:  □ Draft published as of:  □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:  ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: see below  Short description of the content of the	Commission published (i) a proposal for a Regulation on money market funds on 4 September 2013, and (ii) a proposal for a Regulation on reporting and transparency of securities financing transactions on 29 January 2014. These regulations are still in the negotiation process and none of them have entered into force yet. Following the consultation for a revision of the UCITS Directive (2009/65/EC) launched by the European Commission in July 2012, the publication of a legislative initiative ("UCITS VI") by the European Commission may take place in 2014/2015, depending on the new Commission's agenda.  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	

Some authorities or market participants prefer to use other terms such as "market-based financing" instead of "shadow banking". The use of the term "shadow banking" is not intended to cast a pejorative tone on this system of credit intermediation. However, the FSB is using the term "shadow banking" as this is the most commonly employed and, in particular, has been used in the earlier G20 communications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This recommendation will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Reform effective as of: 1 July 2011	
				(CESR Guidelines on MMFs); 18	
				February 2013 (ESMA Guidelines on	
				ETFs and other UCITS issues); 22 July	
				2013 (deadline for the transposition of	
				the AIFM Directive). Draft European	
				legislation: see next steps. As a general	
				rule, the vast majority of entities captured	
				by the FSB's definition of shadow	
				banking is already regulated in France,	
				either through prudential rules (finance	
				companies, investment service providers,	
				etc.) or through AMF regulation and	
				supervision (all portfolio management	
				companies in France must be authorised	
				by the AMF and all collective investment	
				schemes are either registered or	
				authorised – see questions 2 & 3 for	
				alternative investment funds). Besides, it	
				is the AMF's intention to fully apply	
				FSB recommendations once it has	
				finalized its work. Money market funds	
				(MMFs): The AMF already applies a	
				comprehensive set of rules to MMFs. In	
				addition, the AMF applies the CESR	
				Guidelines on a common definition of	
				European money market funds	
				(CESR/10-049 dated 19 May 2010).	
				These guidelines entered into force on 1	
				July 2011 and set requirements	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				applicable to European MMFs as regards	
				eligible assets, average maturity of	
				portfolio, valuation methods and credit	
				quality of assets held in portfolio. The	
				AMF rules are more stringent than the	
				CESR Guidelines on certain aspects (e.g.	
				use of the amortised cost valuation	
				method). ESMA Guidelines on ETFs	
				and other UCITS issues: These	
				guidelines provide definitions for UCITS	
				ETFs and index-tracking UCITS, clarify	
				their disclosure requirements, specify the	
				treatment of UCITS portfolio	
				management techniques (e.g. securities	
				lending and repo), their use of financial	
				derivatives, the quality of collateral and,	
				finally, the rules for the eligibility of	
				financial indices. These rules, especially	
				those allowing fund managers to better	
				manage their liquidity constraints, would	
				alleviate some of the shadow banking	
				concerns raised by the FSB (namely that	
				of liquidity risk). The AMF has indicated	
				to ESMA that it fully complies with the	
				ESMA Guidelines on ETFs and other	
				UCITS issues. Broker-dealers: In	
				France, broker-dealers are authorized,	
				regulated and supervised as "investment	
				services providers" within the scope of	
				the European Markets in Financial	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Instruments Directive (MiFID).	
				Securitization (Workstream 4): refer to	
				Section III.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: AIFM Directive: on July	
				25, 2013, France has transposed into	
				national law Directive 2011/61/EU of 8	
				June 2011 on Alternative Investment	
				Fund Managers (AIFM), which provides	
				a complete set of rules applying to the	
				authorisation process, risk management	
				and reporting requirements applicable to	
				managers of alternative investment funds	
				(including "hedge funds"). A law of 26	
				July 2013, anticipating the EU market	
				abuse legislation that has not yet come	
				into force, prohibits the manipulation of	
				financial benchmarks. A law of 17	
				March 2014 regulates the marketing of	
				certain non-financial investment products	
				such as artworks, manuscripts, wine and	
				precious gems. It also empowers the	
				AMF to take action where marketing	
				materials are misleading. In the fall of	
				2013, the French authorities consulted on	
				draft provisions for the regulation of	
				crowdfunding. The primary legislation	
				was published on 30 May 2014.	
				Implementing legislation is expected in	
				July, and the new rules will go into effect	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				on 1 October 2014.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Money market funds (MMFs):	
				http://www.esma.europa.eu/content/Guid	
				elines-Common-definition-European-	
				_	
				money-market-funds http://www.amf-	
				france.org/Reglementation/Doctrine/Doct	
				rine-list.html?category=II+-	
				+Produits+de+placement (see AMF	
				instructions 2011-19, 2011-20, 2011-21	
				& 2012-06) AIFM Directive:	
				Ordonnance n° 2013-676 du 25 juillet	
				2013	
				(http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTex	
				te.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT0000277551	
				94) - Décret n° 2013-687 du 25 juillet	
				2013	
				(http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTex	
				te.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT0000277695	
				64&dateTexte=&categorieLien=id)	
				Broker-Dealers: See French Monetary	
				and Financial Code, Books III and V:	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichCode	
				.do;jsessionid=C14C1BA7D3BBFEE222	
				EFB7EB876172D6.tpdjo03v_3?cidTexte	
				=LEGITEXT000006072026&dateTexte=	
				20130424 English translation available	
				1	
				at: http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/Traduction s/en-English/Legifrance-translations	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				AMF General Regulation:	
				http://www.amf-	
				france.org/Reglementation/Reglement-	
				general-et-instructions/Reglement-	
				general-en-vigueur/Reglement-	
				general.html?category=Livre+I+-	
				+L%E2%80%99Autorit%C3%A9+des+	
				march%C3%A9s+financiers&currentLiv	
				reRG=1 Financial Companies: Art. L.	
				511-9 CMF (English translation available	
				at:	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/Traduction	
				s/en-English/Legifrance-translations)	
				French banking law (July 2013):	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichText	
				e.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT00002775453	
				9 French consumer act (March 2014):	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichText	
				e.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT00002873803	
				6&categorieLien=id	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
II.	Hedge funds				
2	Registration,	We also firmly recommitted to work in	Jurisdictions should state whether Hedge	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(2)	appropriate disclosures	an internationally consistent and non-	Funds(HFs) are domiciled locally and, if	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	been fully implemented, please provide
(-)	and oversight of hedge	discriminatory manner to strengthen	available, indicate the size of the industry	the moment	reasons for delayed implementation:
	funds	regulation and supervision on hedge	in terms of Assets Under Management	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but	
		funds. (Seoul)	(AUM) and number of HFs. Jurisdictions	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief	Planned actions (if any):
			should indicate the progress made in	justification:	, ,
		Hedge funds or their managers will be	implementing the high level principles	☑ Implementation ongoing or	Expected commencement date:
		registered and will be required to	contained in IOSCO's <u>Report on Hedge</u>	completed:	Expected commencement date.
		disclose appropriate information on an	Fund Oversight (Jun 2009).	Issue is being addressed through:	
		ongoing basis to supervisors or	In particular, jurisdictions should specify	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	Web-links to relevant documents:
		regulators, including on their leverage,	whether:	☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
		necessary for assessment of the systemic	- HFs and/or HF managers are subject	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		risks they pose individually or	to mandatory registration	actions), please specify:	
		collectively. Where appropriate	- Registered HF managers are subject	Status of progress :	
		registration should be subject to a	to appropriate ongoing requirements	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	
		minimum size. They will be subject to	regarding:	publication by:	
		oversight to ensure that they have		☐ Draft published as of:	
		adequate risk management. (London)	<ul> <li>Organisational and operational standards;</li> </ul>	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of:	
			Conflicts of interest and other	July 2013	
			conduct of business rules;	Short description of the content of the	
			Disclosure to investors; and	legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
			Prudential regulation.	Directive 2011/61/EU of the European	
			Tradental regulation.	Parliament and of the Council of 8 June	
				2011 on Alternative Investment Fund	
				Managers and amending Directives	
				2003/41/EC and 2009/65/EC and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009 and	
				(EU) No 1095/2010. Commission	
				Delegated Regulation (EU) No 231/2013	
				of 19 December 2012 supplementing	
				Directive 2011/61 of the European	
				Parliament and of the Council with	
				regard to exemptions, general operating	
				conditions, depositaries, leverage,	
				transparency and supervision. France	
				transposed into national law, Directive	
				2011/61/EU of 8 June 2011 on	
				Alternative Investment Fund Managers	
				(AIFM Directive), by Ordinance n°	
				2013-676 of 25 July 2013 published on	
				27 July 2013. The Regulation applies	
				since 22 July 2013. The AIFMD and its	
				implementing Regulation foresees rules	
				for the registration or authorisation of	
				AIFMs, the on-going operation of the	
				AIFM's business and rules on	
				transparency and supervision. Depending	
				on the assets under management they	
				administrate or the use of leverage	
				AIFMs have to either register or apply	
				for an authorization. Registered AIFM	
				have to comply with minimum	
				requirements regarding the reporting of	
				information to competent authorities	
				whereas authorised AIFMs which are	
				leveraged on a substantial basis have to	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				comply with a wider set of reporting	
				requirements. AIFMs have to comply	
				with organisational and operational	
				standards such as the risk and liquidity	
				management or the identification,	
				prevention, managing and monitoring of	
				conflict of interests. AIFMs have to make	
				available to investors for each AIF they	
				manage and/or market in the Union	
				information such as a description of the	
				investment strategy, changes to the	
				maximum level of leverage, the risk	
				profile of the AIF. Furthermore AIFMs	
				have to comply with rules on initial	
				capital and own funds, whereby the	
				AIFM have to provide an additional	
				amount of own funds where the value of	
				the portfolios of AIFs managed by an	
				AIFMs exceeds EUR 250 million.	
				AIFMs have to appoint a depositary	
				which has to safeguard the assets of the	
				AIF either by holding them in custody or	
				by verifying the ownership of the AIF	
				and maintaining a record these assets.	
				The AIFM has to ensure that there are	
				consistent and appropriate procedures in	
				place in order to valuate assets of the AIF	
				properly and independently.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: AIFM Directive: on July	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				25, 2013, France has transposed the	
				AIFM Directive into national law.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				AIFM Directive: Ordonnance n° 2013-	
				676 du 25 juillet 2013	
				(http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTex	
				te.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT0000277551	
				94) Décret n° 2013-687 du 25 juillet	
				2013	
				(http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTex	
				te.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT0000277695	
				64&dateTexte=&categorieLien=id)	



No Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No Description  3 Establishment of international information sharing framework	G20/FSB Recommendations  We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles by the end of 2009. (London)	Remarks  Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009) on sharing information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers.  In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are:  - Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU  - Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO Principles Regarding Cross-border Supervisory  Cooperation.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress:	Next steps  If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any):  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
		hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO <u>Principles Regarding</u> <u>Cross-border Supervisory</u> <u>Cooperation.</u> In particular, jurisdictions should indicate those jurisdictions where an MoU is in place that provides for oversight when a hedge fund is located in one of these jurisdictions and manager is located elsewhere.	771 1 2	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				non-EU authorities. These cooperation	
				arrangements – taking the form of	
				bilateral Memoranda of Understanding	
				(MoUs) – provide for cooperation and	
				information sharing mechanisms between	
				EU and non-EU authorities in a wide	
				range of situations (e.g. delegation of	
				investment management to non-EU	
				entities, marketing of non-EU AIFs in	
				France, etc.) including in cases where a	
				passport for non-EU AIFs may apply (its	
				introduction is not expected before the	
				beginning of 2016 at the earliest). These	
				agreements entered into force on 22 July	
				2013. In addition, articles 50 and 53 of	
				the AIFM Directive set some general	
				principles of cooperation between	
				competent authorities of EU Member	
				States when carrying out their duties	
				under the AIFM Directive, and in the	
				context of monitoring the potential	
				systemic consequences of AIFM	
				activities. Subject to specific conditions a	
				disclosure of information to third	
				countries is possible. In the EU, ESMA	
				has exclusive competence for CRA	
				supervision. In that context, as part of the	
				requirements of Regulation 1060/2009	
				concerning CRA (CRA Regulation),	
				ESMA has, as of June 2014 signed 9	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	Боотрион			cooperation and information bilateral exchange agreements for the purpose of supervision of cross-border CRAs that have entities authorised to issue public credit ratings in the EU Following recommendations set forth by IOSCO's report on Supervisory Colleges for Credit Rating Agencies (2013), ESMA along with a number of other jurisdictions' supervisor has established cross border	
				colleges for the largest global CRAs.  Highlight main developments since last year's survey: As of 1 June 2014, AMF has signed 29 bilateral cooperation agreements with regulators of non-European alternative investment fund managers.	
				Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.esma.europa.eu/content/AIF MD-MoUs-signed-EU-authorities- updated http://www.amf- france.org/Acteurs-et-produits/Societes- de-gestion/Passage-AIFM.html	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
4	Enhancing counterparty	Supervisors should require that	Jurisdictions should indicate specific	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(4)	risk management	institutions which have hedge funds as	policy measures taken for enhancing	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	
(.)		their counterparties have effective risk	counterparty risk management and	the moment	Expected commencement date:
		management, including mechanisms to	strengthening their existing guidance on	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but	
		monitor the funds' leverage and set limits	the management of exposure to leveraged	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief	
		for single counterparty exposures.	counterparties.	justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		(London)	In particular, jurisdictions should indicate	☑ Implementation ongoing or	
			whether they have implemented the	completed:	
			Basel III rules for credit exposures to	Issue is being addressed through:	
			highly leveraged counterparties (para 112	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
			of <u>Basel III (Jun 2011)</u> – see also <u>FAQ</u>	☑ Regulation / Guidelines	
			no 1b.4 on Basel III counterparty credit	✓ Other actions (such as supervisory	
			risk, Dec 2012), and principle 2.iii of IOSCO <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight</i>	actions), please specify: see below	
			(Jun 2009). Jurisdictions should also	Status of progress :	
			indicate the steps they are taking to	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	
			implement the new standards on equity	publication by:	
			exposures (Capital requirements for	☐ Draft published as of:	
			banks' equity investments in funds, Dec	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	
			<u>2013</u> ) by 1 January 2017.	and will come into force on:	
			For further reference, see also the	☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 01.01.2014	
			following documents:		
			-	Short description of the content of the	
(4)		Supervisors will strengthen their existing	BCBS <u>Sound Practices for Banks'</u>	legislation/ regulation/guideline: As of	
		guidance on the management of	Interactions with Highly Leveraged	the 1st of January 2014, the EU national	
		exposures to leveraged counterparties.	Institutions (Jan 1999)	supervisory authorities are subject to	
		(Rec. II.17,FSF 2008)	BCBS <u>Banks' Interactions with</u>	enhanced counterparty credit risk	
			Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan	requirements as specified in the	
			<u>1999)</u>	legislative texts transposing Basel III	
				requirements in the European banking	
<u> </u>				legislation (the so-called "CRD IV	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				package"). These texts impose risk	
				management that enable institutions to	
				assess the counterparty risks of exposures	
				at both individual and portfolio levels. It	
				also requires institutions to establish and	
				maintain a comprehensive and effective	
				counterparty credit risk management	
				framework and set internal credit and	
				trading limits. The French Prudential	
				Supervision and Resolution Authority	
				(ACPR) conducts a semi-annual review	
				of French banks' exposures to leverage	
				counterparties based on data provided by	
				banks.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: The CRDIV/CRR texts	
				have been published in the Official	
				Journal and became applicable on the 1st	
				of January 2014. Paragraph 112 of the	
				Basel III text is transposed in article	
				180(1)(a) of CRR. Further changes in	
				the CRDIV/CRR are expected to occur in	
				due time in order to include requirements	
				based on Basel's latest standards on	
				"Capital requirements for banks' equity	
				investments in funds"	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				CRR: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:020	
				13R0575-20130628&from=EN CRD IV:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2013:176:0338:0436:EN:PDF	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	Securitisation			8	1
5 (5) (5)	Improving the risk management of securitisation	During 2010, supervisors and regulators will:  • implement IOSCO's proposals to strengthen practices in securitisation markets. (FSB 2009)  The BCBS and authorities should take forward work on improving incentives for risk management of securitisation, including considering due diligence and quantitative retention requirements by 2010. (London)  Securitization sponsors or originators should retain a part of the risk of the underlying assets, thus encouraging them to act prudently. (Pittsburgh)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendations contained in:  • IOSCO's <u>Unregulated Financial Markets and Products (Sep 2009)</u> , including justification for any exemptions to the IOSCO recommendations; and  • BCBS's Basel 2.5 standards on exposures to securitisations (Jul 2009), <a href="http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs157.pdf">http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs157.pdf</a> and <a href="http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs158.pdf">http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs158.pdf</a> .  Jurisdictions may also indicate progress in implementing the recommendations of the IOSCO's Report on Global Developments in Securitisation Regulation (Nov 2012).	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: 20.06.2014 for ESMA RTS on detail reporting (see below) □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of: end 2010 (retention requirements for banks), 2013 for asset managers,	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any): - Adoption by the EU Commission of a delegated act regarding the obligation of verification of retention requirements for investing UCITS asset managers; - Publication of ESMA regulatory technical standards, to be enacted as EU Commission delegated acts; - Setting up of ESMA central website.  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Jurisdictions should not provide responses on IOSCO recommendations concerning the alignment incentives associated with securitisation (including risk retention requirements) since these will be covered by an IOSCO peer review in 2014.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				insurers	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/regulation/guideline: In the	
				EU, retention requirements apply through	
				an indirect approach whereby regulated	
				entities in the various sectors when	
				investing in securitisation ("tranched")	
				transactions must check that the	
				originator or sponsor of the securitisation	
				is satisfying 5 % retention of net	
				economic interest. Articulation of this	
				indirect approach with other frameworks	
				of retention in other countries also	
				covered at IOSCO level through a Task	
				Force (Report on Global Developments	
				Securitisation, November 2012), co-	
				chaired by the AMF. Provisions and	
				details of requirements applicable for	
				sectoral investing entities: - For banks	
				and investments firms: The Capital	
				Requirements Regulation (CRR, directly	
				applicable, article 405 and subs.) and EU	
				Commission delegated regulation of	
				13/3/2014 (replacing/fine-tuning	
				framework previously introduced by	
				CRD 2); - For asset managers of UCITS	
				and of AIF: The AIFM Directive for	
				asset managers (transposed into French	
				law in July 2013, article 17 et 63) and An	
				EU Commission delegated regulation for	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	AIFM; - For insurance: The Solvency II Directive (article 135) adopted in 2009 and Commission delegated acts to be finalised. In addition, to enhance transparency and to allow investors to conduct they own credit assessment, under Credit Rating Agencies Regulation, securitisation instruments (or SFI) will be subject to a detailed information disclosure obligation (article 8b) on a central website to be set up the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA). With an active contribution of the AMF, ESMA is currently drafting a project of regulatory norms (technical standards) to precise the details of information to be reported. Reporting will only start once the website is established and the draft norms have been enacted by the commission as delegated regulation. (see	Next steps
				also question 8)  Highlight main developments since last year's survey: - CRR entry into force and new EU Commission delegated regulation for banks. The way to apply quantitative retention for banks, and the penalties in case of breach, are now determined by a Regulatory Technical Standard, which is a legally binding text	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				applicable to all EU banks, instead of	
				EBA guidelines formerly; - ESMA	
				consultation on draft regulatory norms	
				for detail reporting on securitisation	
				instruments (or SFI); - AMF's	
				participation to BCBS IOSCO on	
				development of securitisation markets	
				(launch early 2014).	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regdoc/r	
				ep/3/2014/EN/3-2014-1557-EN-F1-1.Pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6	Strengthening of	Insurance supervisors should strengthen	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): Solvency II,
(6)	regulatory and capital	the regulatory and capital framework for	measures taken for strengthening the	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	the new European risk-based regulatory
(0)	framework for	monoline insurers in relation to structured	regulatory and capital framework for	the moment	framework, will take into account the
	monolines	credit. (Rec II.8 ,FSF 2008)	monolines.	If " Not applicable " or "Applicable but	actual risks. The regulatory framework
			See, for reference, the following	no action envisaged" has been	and the financial requirements will be
			principles issued by IAIS:	selected, please provide a brief	strengthened for monoline insurers (most
				justification:	significantly they will not be able to gain
			• <u>ICP 13</u> – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer;	☑ Implementation ongoing or	from diversification benefits). The
			, and the second	completed :	Solvency II directive was adopted in
			• <u>ICP 15</u> – Investments; and	Issue is being addressed through:	2009 and its application date to
			• ICP 17 - Capital Adequacy.	✓ Primary / Secondary legislation	(re)insurance undertakings is 1 January
				☐ Regulation / Guidelines	2016. Directive (Omnibus II) which
			Jurisdictions may also refer to:	✓ Other actions (such as supervisory	primarily aims to adapt Solvency II to the
			• IAIS <u>Guidance paper on enterprise</u>	actions), please specify: closer	new European supervisory framework and in particular, to the powers of EIOPA
			risk management for capital	supervision	is published in the Official Journal of the
			adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct	Status of progress :	European Union in May 2014. The
			<u>2008).</u>	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	Commission is currently drafting the
			Joint Forum's consultative document	publication by:	delegated acts. These implementing
			on <u>Mortgage insurance: market</u>	☐ Draft published as of:	measures should be published during the
			structure, underwriting cycle and	☑ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	summer.
			policy implications (Feb 2013).	01.01.2016	
				☐ Reform effective (completed) as of:	Expected commencement date:
				` '	Expected commencement date.
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: EU	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Legislation: The Solvency II framework directive introduces a risk-based	
				supervisory regime for all (re)insurance	
				undertakings, including monoline	
				insurers. Under this regime, companies	
				insurers. Onder this regime, companies	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				will be subject to Capital Requirements	
				calibrated as a 99.5 % value at risk of	
				own funds over a 1 year time horizon,	
				calculated on each undertaking's true risk	
				profile. The Capital Requirements cover	
				life, non-life and health underwriting risk,	
				market risks, counterparty default risk,	
				and operational risk. For the purpose of	
				calculating underwriting risk capital	
				requirements, insurance obligations shall	
				be properly segmented. Credit and	
				suretyship insurance is one of the	
				segments in the standard formula, for	
				which specific risk factors are calibrated	
				as a 99.5 % value at risk of own funds	
				over a 1 year time horizon. (Re)insurance	
				undertakings, including monoline	
				insurers, shall also be subject to	
				governance requirements. In particular,	
				undertakings "shall have in place an	
				effective risk-management system	
				comprising strategies, processes and	
				reporting procedures necessary to	
				identify, measure, monitor, manage and	
				report, on a continuous basis the risks, at	
				an individual and at an aggregated level,	
				to which they are or could be exposed,	
				and their interdependencies" (article 44 of	
				directive 2009/138/EC).	
				Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: http://ec.europa.eu/internal market/insura	
				nce/solvency/index_en.htm	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
<b>No</b> 7 (7)	Description  Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18 ,FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance product.  Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on <i>Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due Diligence When Investing in Structured Finance Instruments (Jul 2009)</i> .  Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on <i>Credit Risk Transfer-Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul</i>	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any): The European Commission's proposal for a Regulation for a Key Information Document (KIID) to be produced by manufacturers of packaged investment and insurance-based products (PRIIPS) and provided to retail customers when they are considering buying such investment products was finalised in April 2014 and should enter into force by the end of 2014.  Expected commencement date: Q4 2014 (entering into force) – Q4 2016
			<u> </u>	□ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of:     July 2013  Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: For insurance companies: EU legislation relating to the (re)insurance sector (Solvency II) introduces requirements on insurers' ability to invest in repackaged loans. Under these proposals, insurance and reinsurance undertakings investing in	•



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				ABS will likely be subject to: (i) Capital	
				Requirements for all types of investments	
				calibrated as a 99.5% value at risk over a	
				1 year time horizon; (ii) Higher market	
				risk capital requirements for re-	
				securitization exposures ; (iii) A capital	
				requirement commensurate to the	
				seniority, quality of underlying assets and	
				average rating (among others), with an	
				increased capital charge on average and	
				poor quality instruments; (iv) A prudent	
				person principle that limits insurance and	
				reinsurance undertakings' investments to	
				assets that they can properly identify,	
				measure, monitor, manage, control and	
				report. In particular, provisions requiring	
				insurance and reinsurance undertakings	
				that invest in the securities to be allowed	
				to make their decisions only after	
				conducting comprehensive due diligence	
				will be part of the Solvency II	
				implementing measures; (v) Important	
				enhancements regarding how insurance	
				and reinsurance undertakings should	
				manage the risks of securitization	
				positions (written monitoring procedures,	
				specific reporting to management	
				body) that are currently being	
				discussed in the context of the Solvency	
				II implementing measures; and (vi) In	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				order to ensure transparency,	
				requirements to publicly disclose	
				information about any investments in	
				repackaged loans. In the banking sector:	
				The CRD III reinforced the capital	
				requirements for the risks associated with	
				securitisation transactions, particularly	
				when these structures involve several	
				levels of securitisation, and increased the	
				support given to securitisation vehicles.	
				These provisions were implemented in	
				2011. They are maintained in the CRR,	
				which is now the applicable legal	
				framework. Please note that here	
				Structured Finance Instruments is	
				understood more broadly than	
				securitisation. Parts of the reform are	
				already completed: 15 October 2010	
				(AMF position on products that are too	
				complex for retail clients). The date	
				mentioned (22 July 2013) refers to the	
				transposition deadline of the AIFM	
				Directive. In its AMF Position n° 2010-	
				05 published in October 2010, the AMF	
				determined that some products were too	
				complex to be comprehensible for retail	
				clients and therefore should not be	
				marketed to such investors without	
				specific safeguards. In the Asset	
				management sector Article 17 of	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				2011/61/EU (AIFM Directive) and articles 50 to 53 of the AIFM implementing regulation set requirements for AIF managers investing in securitised products, including the requirement for retained interest by the originator, and qualitative requirements applicable to managers assuming exposure to such products (monitoring of the credit risk of a securitisation position, stress tests).  Highlight main developments since last year's survey: On 27 March 2014, ESMA issued an opinion on certain aspects linked to the manufacturing and distribution of structured retail products (SRP), taking into account relevant work done in this field both at European and international level (including IOSCO's Final report on the Regulation of Retail Structured Products of December 2013). For banks: the CRR has come into force at the beginning of 2014.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:  AIFM Directive implementing regulation:  http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/invest ment/docs/20121219-directive/delegated- act_en.pdf IOSCO Final report on the Regulation of Retail Structured Products: http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf /IOSCOPD434.pdf ESMA's Opinion	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				"Structured Retail Products - Good	
				practices for product governance	
				arrangements" (27/03/14)	
				http://www.esma.europa.eu/content/Struc	
				tured-Retail-Products-Good-practices-	
				product-governance-arrangements CRR:	
				http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/?qid=1401901268658&	
				uri=CELEX:32013R0575 AMF Position	
				n° 2010-05 on the marketing of complex	
				financial instruments: http://www.amf-	
				france.org/Reglementation/Doctrine/Doct	
				rine-list/Doctrine.html?category=IV+-	
				+Commercialisation+-	
				+Relation+client&docId=workspace%3A	
				%2F%2FSpacesStore%2F8f1c7f9a-90bc-	
				4afa-94cf-4b5db749a747	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8 (8)	Enhanced disclosure of securitised products	Securities market regulators should work with market participants to expand information on securitised products and their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for enhancing disclosure of securitised products.	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
		III.13, FSF 2008)	See, for reference, IOSCO's <u>Report on</u> <u>Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for</u> <u>Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012)</u> and IOSCO's <u>Disclosure Principles for</u> <u>Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-Backed Securities (Apr 2010)</u> .	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:  ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:	Planned actions (if any): - Publication of ESMA Regulatory Technical Standards, to be enacted as EU Commission delegated acts Setting up of ESMA central website.
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☐ Primary / Secondary legislation☐ Regulation / Guidelines	Expected commencement date:
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Status of progress :	
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: once ESMA proposed Regulatory Technical Standards have been adopted by the EU Commission, and website set up by ESMA (see below)	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 20.06.2013 (CRA III)	
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: EU	
				Regulation 462/2013 (CRA 3 Regulation)	
				under its article 8b provides that "the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				issuer, the originator and the sponsor of a	
				structured finance instrument established	
				in the Union shall jointly disclose to the	
				public information on the credit quality	
				and performance of the underlying assets	
				of the structured finance instrument, the	
				structure of the securitisation transaction,	
				the cash flows and any collateral	
				supporting a securitisation exposure as	
				well as any information that is necessary	
				to conduct comprehensive and well	
				informed stress tests on the cash flows	
				and collateral values supporting the	
				underlying exposures". With an active	
				contribution of the AMF, ESMA is	
				currently drafting a project of regulatory	
				norms (technical standards) to precise the	
				details of information to be reported.	
				Reporting will only start once the website	
				is established and the draft technical	
				standards have been enacted by the EU	
				Commission as delegated regulation (see	
				also the answer to question 5). This also	
				complies with "IOSCO Report on Global	
				Developments in Securitisation	
				Regulation's recommendations" to	
				provide standardisation and transparency	
				of securitisation products to assist	
				investors in making informed decisions	
				(a) by working domestically with other	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				authorities (such as central banks) and (b)	
				by making sure that investors are	
				provided with the necessary information	
				to make an informed investment decision	
				at the point of sale and on an on-going	
				basis.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: ESMA consultation on	
				draft regulatory norms for securitisation	
				instruments detail reporting	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IV.	<b>Enhancing supervision</b>	n			
9 (9)	Consistent,	All firms whose failure could pose a risk	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): France
	consolidated	to financial stability must be subject to	measures taken for implementing	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	participates to the ongoing discussions at
	supervision and	consistent, consolidated supervision and	consistent, consolidated supervision and	the moment	BCBS level and IAIS level. At the EU
	regulation of SIFIs	regulation with high standards.	regulation of SIFIs. <sup>4</sup>	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been	level, the implementation of the G-SIBs and G-SIIs frameworks will further
		(Pittsburgh)	See, for reference, the following	selected, please provide a brief	reinforce the current supervision of SIFIs.
			documents:	justification:	If the overall Basel III package has been
			BCBS:	✓ Implementation ongoing or completed:	adopted at the EU level (including
			• Framework for G-SIBs (Nov 2011)	Issue is being addressed through:	legislative text on G-SIBs), some
			• Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012)	✓ Primary / Secondary legislation	technical standards are still under
			• <u>BCP 12 (Sep 2012)</u>	✓ Regulation / Guidelines	discussion. Indeed, for G-SIBs, the
			IAIS:		disclosure frameworks should enter into
			Global Systemically Important	☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: see below	force during the second semester of
			Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013)	Status of progress :	2014/beginning of 2015. D-SIBs
			• ICP 23– Group wide supervision	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	identification framework is also in
				publication by:	elaboration and France has participated to the first calibration exercise with EBA
			FSB:	☐ Draft published as of:	using the draft methodology envisaged in
			• Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	the future EBA Guideline. The G-SIIs
			<u>2011)</u>	and will come into force on:	framework is still under discussion at
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 2015	FSB level. France has been recognised
					compliant by the IMF on IAIS ICP 23 on
				Short description of the content of the	"group supervision", but it does not
				legislation/ regulation/guideline:	include explicit reference to the G-SII
				Highlight main developments since last	regime yet, as it is still under discussion.
				year's survey: All the G-SIFIs and	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The scope of the follow-up to this recommendation will be revised once the monitoring framework on policy measures for G-SIFIs, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				potential D-SIFIs are supervised on a	Expected commencement date:
				consistent and consolidated basis. Several	
				Crisis Management Group meetings have	Web-links to relevant documents:
				been organised in 2013 for the third	
				consecutive year. Banks recovery and	Draft bill reforming the banking sector
				resolution plans have been examined	(in French)
				thoroughly. ACPR participates actively	http://www.senat.fr/leg/tas12-121.html
				in the Data Gaps Initiative, developed by	
				FSB. French G-SIBs have started to	
				report data to the International Data Hub	
				managed by the BIS. Solvency II will	
				improve the consolidated supervision for	
				several aspects, and since 2013 the	
				preparation of this new framework is a	
				priority for the supervisory authority.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 10 (10)	Description  Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	G20/FSB Recommendations  To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London)  We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of significant cross-border firms. Please indicate whether supervisory colleges for all significant cross-border firms (both banks and insurance companies) have been established and whether the supervisory colleges for G-SIFIs are conducting rigorous risk assessments.  Principle 13 of BCBS Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision and Good practice principles on supervisory colleges (Oct 2010) may be used as a guide for supervisor to indicate the implementation progress. For further reference, see the following documents:  BCBS:  • Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision (Sep 2012)  IAIS:  • ICP 25 and Guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6 on establishment of supervisory	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Regular meetings of colleges for several years and specific tools (dedicated website platform for sharing online information) have been launched for exchange of information, documents and risk assessments.  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved	Next steps  If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any):  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
			• <u>ICP 25 and Guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6</u>		
			Guidance 25.6.20 and 25.8.16 on risk     assessments by supervisory colleges	<ul> <li>☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 2005</li> <li>Short description of the content of the</li> </ul>	
			IOSCO:  • <u>Principles Regarding Cross-Border</u>	legislation/ regulation/guideline: Actions at national level: The ACPR has	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			Supervisory Cooperation (May 2010)	established colleges for the 3 most	
				significant cross-border banks in France	
				since 2005 and for the major insurance	
				company since 2001 (European	
				countries) and 2009 (extended to other	
				countries). The AMF participates in the	
				college of regulators for Euronext and in	
				the committees of regulators for	
				Euroclear and LCH.Clearnet. As a home	
				supervisor, ACPR has set up European	
				colleges concerning 14 different French	
				banking groups and 15 insurance groups.	
				Banking sector: The Capital	
				Requirement Directive (2006/48/EC)	
				provides for the mandatory establishment	
				of colleges of supervisors for cross-	
				border banks. The Regulation	
				establishing the European Banking	
				Authority (Regulation 1093/2010) gives	
				EBA a central role in promoting and	
				monitoring colleges of supervisors. The	
				EBA has produced numerous guidelines	
				and standards defining the functioning of	
				Colleges, exchange of information,	
				compiling risk assessments on the	
				supervised institutions and, when deemed	
				adequate, defining additional minimal	
				levels of pillar 2 capital .In addition, the	
				EBA will reinforce in 2014 its monitoring	
				of the functioning of the Colleges for the	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				most significant systemic institutions.	
				Creation of the Single Supervisory	
				Mechanism (SSM) in the EU in NOV.	
				2014: it should be noted that the creation	
				of the SSM will have a major impact of	
				the efficiency and organisation of the	
				banking supervision of most of the	
				European banking system from 4th NOV.	
				2014 on. Purely SSM countries colleges	
				of supervisors for banks within the SSM	
				scope will disappear as supervisory	
				coordination within colleges will in fact	
				be replaced by the usual functioning of	
				the Joint Supervisory Teams (JST) of the	
				ECB, including staff both at a centralised	
				ECB level and at a local NCA level.	
				Colleges of supervisors including non	
				SSM countries will remain operative.	
				European AQR: the creation of the SSM	
				will be subsequent to an unprecedented	
				and wide-ranging exercise of asset quality	
				review of the balance sheets of all main	
				SSM banks, followed by a stress test	
				exercise with published results. This	
				comprehensive assessment of risks can	
				result in having some banks reinforcing	
				their capital levels, if deemed necessary,	
				thereby strongly reinforcing the financial	
				stability of the Eurozone banking sector.	
				Highlight main developments since last	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey: (continuing from previous	
				box) • Insurance sector: The Solvency II	
				Directive envisages that Colleges are set	
				out in relation to all insurance groups.	
				The Regulation establishing the European	
				Insurance and Occupational Pensions	
				Authority (EIOPA) (Regulation	
				1094/2010 gives EIOPA a central role in	
				promoting and monitoring colleges of	
				supervisors. To date more than 90	
				colleges of supervisors have been	
				established. • Market infrastructures	
				(CCP): The EMIR Regulation	
				(Regulation 648/2012) requests CCPs to	
				establish colleges. In 2014, an EMIR	
				College was thus established for LCH	
				Clearnet (which has been authorised to	
				offer services and activities in the Union	
				by ESMA in May 2014) by The Banque	
				de France and the AMF in order to	
				facilitate the exercise of their legal	
				obligations. To this end, a standard	
				written agreement with other national	
				competent authorities was signed in	
				December 2013 according to the	
				guidelines and recommendations	
				regarding written agreements between	
				members of CCP colleges published by	
				ESMA in June 2013. The Regulation	
				establishing the European Securities and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Market Authority (ESMA) (Regulation	
				1095/2010 gives ESMA a central role in	
				promoting and monitoring colleges of	
				supervisors. The European Supervisory	
				Authorities (ESAs, i.e. EBA, EIOPA,	
				ESMA) ensure a consistent and coherent	
				functioning of colleges across the	
				European Union promote effective and	
				efficient supervisory activities and have,	
				under certain conditions, the power to	
				bindingly settle disagreements between	
				authorities. Furthermore, the ESAs	
				initiate and coordinate EU-wide stress	
				tests on the resilience of financial	
				institutions. Guidelines on colleges of	
				supervisors have been and still continue	
				to be produced. In the course of 2013,	
				several colleges were established	
				according to the EU Commission	
				delegated act (No 876/2013) regarding	
				the establishment and the organisational	
				features of colleges.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2013:244:0019:0022:EN:PDF	
				30.2.2013.211.0017.0022.2111.1131	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 11 (11)	Description Supervisory exchange of information and coordination	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to developments that have a common effect across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008)  Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	Remarks  Jurisdictions should include any feedback received from recent FSAPs/ROSC assessments on the September 2012 BCP 3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home-host relationships).  Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.  Jurisdictions should describe any regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that will contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: see below  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of:  Short description of the content of the	Next steps Planned actions (if any):  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
				publication by:  ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Reform effective (completed) as of:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				bilateral agreements with the authorities	
				of these states subject to the condition	
				that these authorities are entrusted with	
				duties similar to those entrusted in France	
				to the ACPR and provided that such	
				authorities are themselves bound by an	
				obligation of professional secrecy. The	
				ACPR has concluded a number of	
				bilateral agreements for banking	
				supervision with non EEA countries,	
				among which Canada, the US,	
				Switzerland, Korea, Qatar, Dubaï,	
				Monténégro, Mexico, Taïwan, Morocco,	
				China, Guinea, West African Monetary	
				Union, West African Banking	
				Commission, India and Vietnam. For the	
				largest international insurance group, the	
				ACPR has established a global	
				Multilateral MoU between all supervisors	
				involved in the supervision of the main	
				entities across EEA and non EEA	
				countries. More globally the ACPR has	
				also signed the IAIS MMoU. The ACPR	
				is fully involved in national and	
				international initiatives aimed at	
				enhancing supervisory coordination. In	
				the framework of the AIFM Directive,	
				cooperation between EU and non-EU	
				authorities in the supervision of	
				alternative investment fund managers was	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date  fostered through ESMA's negotiation of cooperation arrangements with non-EU authorities (bilateral MoUs) (see answer to question 3 above).  Highlight main developments since last year's survey: As of 1 June 2014, AMF has signed 29 bilateral cooperation agreements with regulators of non-European alternative investment fund managers. (see question 3) Bilateral agreements for banking supervision with	Next steps
				India and Vietnam. At national level, creation of the High Level Council for Financial Stability (replacing the Conseil de Régulation Financière et du Risque Systémique).  Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.acp.banque-france.fr/international/la-cooperation-auniveau-international/les-accords-decooperation.html	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12	Strengthening resources	We agreed that supervisors should have	No information on this recommendation		
(12)	and effective	strong and unambiguous mandates,	will be collected in the current IMN		
(12)	supervision	sufficient independence to act,	survey since a peer review is taking place		
		appropriate resources, and a full suite of	in this area during 2014.		
		tools and powers to proactively identify			
		and address risks, including regular stress			
		testing and early intervention. (Seoul)			
(10)					
(12)		Supervisors should see that they have the			
		requisite resources and expertise to			
		oversee the risks associated with financial			
		innovation and to ensure that firms they			
		supervise have the capacity to understand			
		and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)			
(12)		Supervisory authorities should			
		continually re-assess their resource needs;			
		for example, interacting with and			
		assessing Boards require particular skills,			
		experience and adequate level of			
		seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Building and implement	nting macroprudential frameworks an	d tools		
13	Establishing regulatory	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure	Please describe major changes in the	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(13)	framework for macro- prudential oversight	authorities are able to identify and take account of macro-prudential risks across the financial system including in the case of regulated banks, shadow banks <sup>5</sup> and	institutional arrangements for macroprudential policy that have taken place in the past two years, including changes in: i) mandates and objectives; ii)	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but	Expected commencement date:
		private pools of capital to limit the build up of systemic risk. (London)	powers and instruments; iii) transparency and accountability arrangements; iv) composition and independence of the	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
(13)		Ensure that national regulators possess the powers for gathering relevant information on all material financial institutions, markets and instruments in order to assess the potential for failure or severe stress to contribute to systemic risk. This will be done in close coordination at international level in order to achieve as much consistency as possible across jurisdictions. (London)	decision-making body; and v) mechanisms for domestic policy coordination and consistency.  Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the powers to collect and share relevant information among different authorities – where this applies – on financial institutions, markets and instruments to assess the potential for systemic risk. Please indicate whether the assessment	<ul> <li>☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:</li> <li>Issue is being addressed through:</li> <li>☑ Primary / Secondary legislation</li> <li>☐ Regulation / Guidelines</li> <li>☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:</li> <li>Status of progress:</li> <li>☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:</li> <li>☐ Draft published as of:</li> <li>☐ Final rule or legislation approved</li> </ul>	
			has indicated any gaps in the powers to collect information, and whether any follow-up actions have been taken.	<ul> <li>☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:</li> <li>☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: July 2013</li> <li>Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The</li> </ul>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Haut Conseil de stabilité financière	
				(HCSF - High Council for Financial	
				Stability), created in 2013 by the French	
				law on separation and regulation of	
				banking activities (The previous Council	
				was known as COREFRIS - Conseil de	
				régulation financière et du risque	
				systémique), is the French	
				macroprudential authority. The council,	
				chaired by the Ministry of Finance, also	
				comprises the Governor of the Banque de	
				France (BdF), the Vice-Chair of the	
				Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel et de	
				Résolution (ACPR, the banking and	
				insurance supervisor and resolution	
				authority), the Chair of the Autorité des	
				Marchés Financiers (AMF, the securities	
				regulator), the Chair of the Autorité des	
				Normes Comptables (ANC, the	
				accounting standards authority), as well	
				as three qualified experts, appointed	
				respectively by the chairs of both	
				legislative assemblies and the Minister of	
				Finance for a five-year term. The HCSF	
				sets the macroprudential policy in France	
				and be in charge of overseeing the	
				financial system as a whole. More	
				precisely, it has been entrusted with a	
				wide range of tasks and binding powers	
				of intervention : - ensuring smooth	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				information exchange and cooperation	
				between authorities implied in the	
				supervision and regulation of the	
				financial sector, which improves the	
				collective efficiency in preserving	
				financial stability; - identifying and	
				assessing systemic risks with due regard	
				to the recommendations and advice of the	
				competent European institutions; -	
				issuing any advice or recommendation to	
				prevent systemic risk; - providing	
				analysis of the financial sector and	
				financial markets, and evaluating the	
				systemic risk they incorporate; - upon a	
				proposal from the BdF Governor,	
				imposing stricter capital requirements on	
				investment firms and credit institutions to	
				prevent excessive credit growth or reduce	
				risks of financial system destabilization; -	
				upon a proposal from the BdF Governor,	
				setting credit institutions' credit standards	
				to prevent, in particular, undue increases	
				in asset prices and excessive private debt	
				levels; - facilitating the cooperation of	
				member authorities as regards the	
				preparation of European and international	
				financial regulations and issuing advice in	
				that respect.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: Development of	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				secondary legislation enabling the formal	
				inaugural session of the HCSF to be held	
				in June 2014 and ensuring that	
				macroprudential tools are (legally)	
				defined. Further work on going to set up	
				working arrangements on a steady state	
				basis and to operationalise	
				macroprudential policy.	
				Web-links to relevant documents: Final	
				text of Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013	
				(in French):	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte	
				.do;jsessionid=4C9A7B832E4ABA67227	
				F7FC340C82CFF.tpdjo06v 3?cidTexte=	
				JORFTEXT000027754539&categorieLie	
				n=id)	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14	Enhancing system-wide	Authorities should use quantitative	Please describe at a high level (including	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(14)	monitoring and the use of macro-prudential instruments	indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for supervisory purposes. Authorities should	by making reference to financial stability or other public reports, where available) the types of systems, methodologies and	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but	Expected commencement date:
		use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential	processes that have been put in place to identify macroprudential risks, including the analysis of risk transmission channels.	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		(system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009)	Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past two years, including the objective for their use	☑ Implementation ongoing or completed :	
		We are developing macro-prudential	and the process used to select, calibrate,	Issue is being addressed through:	
		policy frameworks and tools to limit the	and apply them.	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		build-up of risks in the financial sector,	The state of the s	☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
		building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes)	See, for reference, the CGFS document on <i>Operationalising the selection and</i>	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
		210 11.11 011 unio 040 jeun (0411110)	application of macroprudential	Status of progress :	
(14)		Authorities should monitor substantial	instruments (Dec 2012).  Jurisdictions can also refer to the FSB-	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		changes in asset prices and their	IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on	☐ Draft published as of:	
		implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011), and the IMF staff	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		( · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	papers on <u>Macroprudential policy, an</u> organizing framework (Mar 2011) and on	☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: July 2013	
			Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy	Short description of the content of the	
			(Jun 2013).	legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
			<u></u>	Following CRDIV/CRR, Act n° 2013-	
				672 of 26 July 2013 on separation and	
				regulation of banking activities replaces	
				the National Council of Systemic Risk	
				and Financial Regulation (Corefris) with	
				the Haut Conseil de Stabilité Financière	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				(HCSF). The new legislation formally confers on the HCSF the mandate to preserve financial stability, and conduct the macroprudential policy (see question 13). More precisely, the HCSF has been entrusted with binding powers of intervention: upon a proposal from the BdF Governor, it can impose stricter capital requirements on investment firms and credit institutions to prevent excessive credit growth or reduce risks of financial system destabilization (using the countercyclical buffer and the systemic buffer defined in CRDIV/CRR) and set credit institutions' credit standards (for example, caps on loan-to-value ratios for housing financing) to prevent, in particular, undue increases in asset prices	
				and excessive private debt levels.  Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Development of secondary legislation enabling the formal inaugural session of the HCSF to be held in June 2014 and ensuring that macroprudential tools are (legally) defined. Further work on going to set up working arrangements on a steady state basis and to operationalise macroprudential policy.  Web-links to relevant documents: Final	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				text of Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013	
				(in French):	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte	
				.do;jsessionid=4C9A7B832E4ABA67227	
				F7FC340C82CFF.tpdjo06v_3?cidTexte=	
				JORFTEXT000027754539&categorieLie	
				n=id)	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps		
15	Improved cooperation	Supervisors and central banks should	Please describe the institutional	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):		
(15)	between supervisors and central banks	improve cooperation and the exchange of information including in the assessment of financial stability risks. The exchange	framework through which information sharing between supervisors and the central bank takes place, e.g. through	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but"	Expected commencement date:		
		of information should be rapid during periods of market strain. (Rec. V.8, FSF 2008)	internal or inter-agency committee or bilateral MoUs. Please also describe any initiative to remove identified obstacles to iust	bilateral MoUs. Please also describe any initiative to remove identified obstacles to	bilateral MoUs. Please also describe any initiative to remove identified obstacles to	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
	enhance cooperation and information	☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:					
				Issue is being addressed through:			
				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation			
				☐ Regulation / Guidelines			
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:			
				Status of progress :			
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:			
				☐ Draft published as of:			
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:			
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: March 2010			
				Short description of the content of the			
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: March			
				2010 is the date of the establishment of			
				the ACP; cooperation channels however			
				existed previously Art. L. 631-1 CMF			
				states that "the Banque de France, the			
				ACP and the AMF cooperate among			
				themselves. They send each other			

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				information which is relevant to the	
				performance of their respective duties."	
				This includes information covered by	
				professional secrecy (same Article). In	
				addition, cross-membership at Board	
				level contributes to the effectiveness of	
				cooperation: the Deputy Governor of the	
				Banque de France is a member of the	
				Board of the AMF. In addition, since the	
				establishment of the ACP in March 2010,	
				the President of the AMF attends the	
				Board of the ACP. The Governor of	
				Banque de France chairs the ACP and the	
				ACP Secretary General is a Directorate of	
				Banque de France. Consequently,	
				cooperation and exchange of information	
				between the Central Bank and the	
				supervisors do not raise any issue in	
				France. The exchange of information	
				during periods of market strain was	
				particularly smooth. At an operational	
				level, the AMF and the Banque de France	
				have significantly increased their co-	
				operation and exchange of information	
				regarding the assessment of financial	
				risks. The Banque de France has been	
				invited to participate to some of the	
				meetings of the AMF's Risk Committee.	
				Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013 on	
				separation and regulation of banking	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				activities replaces the National Council of	
				Systemic Risk and Financial Regulation	
				(Corefris) with the Haut Conseil de	
				Stabilité Financière (HCSF). The Corefris	
				was an important forum enhancing co-	
				operation, information sharing and	
				coordination between authorities in	
				charge of financial supervision and	
				regulation (ministry of finance, central	
				bank and microprudential authorities).	
				The new legislation formally confers on	
				the HCSF the mandate to preserve	
				financial stability and conduct the	
				macroprudential policy. Its mandate	
				therefore includes early detection and	
				surveillance of systemic risk. As	
				compared to Corefris, the HCSF is given	
				binding legal powers and the possibility	
				of directly intervening. Its decisions will	
				be taken upon proposals of the Governor	
				of the Banque de France. The new	
				legislation also mandates the Banque de	
				France, in cooperation with the HCSF, to	
				ensure the stability of the financial	
				system.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Article L631-1 CMF	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichCode	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Article.do?idArticle=LEGIARTI0000229	
				62499&cidTexte=LEGITEXT000006072	
				026&dateTexte=20130430&oldAction=r	
				echCodeArticle (English translation	
				available at:	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/Traduction	
				s/en-English/Legifrance-translations	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Improving oversight of	f credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
16 (16)	Enhancing regulation and supervision of	All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide
(10)	CRAs	regulatory oversight regime that includes registration. The regulatory oversight	regulation and supervision of CRAs	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	reasons for delayed implementation:
		regime should be established by end 2009 and should be consistent with the IOSCO	including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been	Planned actions (if any): - Finalisation
		Code of Conduct Fundamentals. (London)	authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following	selected, please provide a brief justification:	of regulatory technical standards under CRA 3 at ESMA level; - Finalisation of
(16)		National authorities will enforce compliance and require changes to a rating agency's practices and procedures	IOSCO document:  • <u>Code of Conduct Fundamentals for</u>	☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:	the revision of the Code of Conduct at IOSCO level
		for managing conflicts of interest and	Credit Rating Agencies (May 2008)	Issue is being addressed through:	
		assuring the transparency and quality of	Jurisdictions may also refer to the	☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	Expected commencement date:
		the rating process.	following IOSCO documents:	☐ Regulation / Guidelines	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full	Principle 22 of <u>Principles and</u> Objectives of Securities Regulation	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		disclosure of their ratings track record and the information and assumptions that	(Jun 2010) which calls for registration	Status of progress :	IOSCO consultation report on code of
		underpin the ratings process.	and oversight programs for CRAs	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	conduct fundamentals for credit rating agencies:
		The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with	• <u>Statement of Principles Regarding the</u>	☐ Draft published as of:	http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf
		appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including	Activities of Credit Rating Agencies (Sep 2003)	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	/IOSCOPD437.pdf
(1.5)		through IOSCO. (London)	• Final Report on Supervisory Colleges for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013)	☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 20.06.2013	
(16)		Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible		Short description of the content of the	
		solutions (to conflicting compliance		legislation/ regulation/guideline: The	
		obligations for CRAs) as early as possible		AMF is no longer competent as ESMA	
OI.		in 2010. (FSB 2009)		has exclusive powers for supervision	
(New)		We encourage further steps to enhance		(registration and oversight) of CRAs	
		transparency and competition among credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)		since entry into force of Regulation	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				513/2011 (CRA 2). Reform effective with	
				implementation of EU CRA Regulation	
				1060/2009 (CRA 1), 513/2011 (CRA 2)	
				and lastly of 462/2013 (CRA3) entered	
				into force on 21 May 2013. Starting with	
				CRA 1, reforms in the EU implements	
				regulatory requirements to ensure IOSCO	
				Code of Conduct's main objectives	
				regarding quality and integrity of the	
				rating process, independence and	
				conflicts of interest	
				management/prevention, transparency	
				and timeliness of ratings disclosure,	
				management of confidential information.	
				CRA 2 reinforces enforcement and	
				sanctioning powers (conferred to ESMA).	
				CRA 3 is adding new rules for CRAs, but	
				also goes beyond by also introducing	
				measures for actors other than CRA	
				(notably regarding reduction of reliance	
				on credit ratings and securitisation	
				disclosure).	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: The AMF still participates	
				in policy developments at ESMA level as	
				a member of the Technical Committee	
				and at IOSCO level as a member of CRA	
				Policy Committee. Hence AMF takes	
				part to the development of implementing	
				rules (regulatory technical standards) for	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				CRA3 and to the revision of the Code of	
				Conduct of CRAs by IOSCO.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Article L631-1 CMF:	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichCode	
				Article.do?idArticle=LEGIARTI0000229	
				62499&cidTexte=LEGITEXT000006072	
				026&dateTexte=20130430&oldAction=r	
				echCodeArticle (English translation	
				available at:	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/Traduction	
				s/en-English/Legifrance-translations	
				New EU legislation on credit rating	
				agencies (CRA 3): - Text of the	
				Directive: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201	
				3L0014&from=EN - Text of the	
				Regulation: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:3201	
				3R0462&from=EN	
				http://www.esma.europa.eu/system/files/2	
				012-860.pdf http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2012:282:0023:0026:en:PDF	
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/JOIndex.do?year=2012&se	
				rie=L&textfield2=140&Submit=Search&	
				_submit=Search&ihmlang=en http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2012:282:0023:0026:en:PDF	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/JOHtml.do?uri=OJ:L:2011:	
				145:SOM:EN:HTML http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/JOHtml.do?uri=OJ:L:2009:	
				302:SOM:EN:HTML	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
17	Reducing the reliance	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on	No information on this recommendation		
(17)	on ratings	reducing reliance on external credit	will be collected in the current IMN		
		ratings. Standard setters, market	survey since the report of the second		
		participants, supervisors and central	stage of the thematic peer review has		
		banks should not rely mechanistically on	been published recently [insert link		
		external credit ratings. (Seoul)	whenever published].		
		Authorities should check that the roles			
		that they have assigned to ratings in			
		regulations and supervisory rules are			
		consistent with the objectives of having			
		investors make independent judgment of			
		risks and perform their own due			
		diligence, and that they do not induce			
		uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a			
		substitute for that independent evaluation.			
		(Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)			
		We reaffirm our commitment to reduce			
		authorities' and financial institutions'			
		reliance on external credit ratings, and			
		call on standard setters, market			
		participants, supervisors and central			
		banks to implement the agreed FSB			
		principles and end practices that rely			
		mechanistically on these ratings.			
		(Cannes)			
		We call for accelerated progress by			
		national authorities and standard setting			
		bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance			
		on credit ratings and encourage steps that			
1		on create ratings and encourage steps that			



H	<b>'</b>	a	n	r	Δ
Т,	1	а	n	L	C

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		would enhance transparency of and			
		competition among credit rating agencies.			
		(Los Cabos)			
(New)					
		We call on national authorities and			
		standard setting bodies to accelerate			
		progress in reducing reliance on credit			
		rating agencies, in accordance with the			
		FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)			

Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
Enhancing and alignin	g accounting standards			
Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards	Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)	Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards.  Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: <a href="http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Jurisdiction-profiles.aspx">http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Jurisdiction-profiles.aspx</a> .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  see below  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of: 01.01.2005  Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: First, regarding the accounting frameworks applicable in France, the use of IFRS is	Planned actions (if any): Continue close technical dialogues between prudential regulators (EBA, BCBS, EIOPA, IAIS) and the IASB on ongoing projects and enhancement of international accounting standards, especially regarding the 2nd and 3rd phase of the IFRS 9 project (provisioning and macro-hedging) and IFRS 4 (insurance contracts).  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
	Enhancing and alignin  Consistent application of high-quality	Enhancing and aligning accounting standards  Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards  Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards.	Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards  Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standards work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards.  (Washington)  Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance.  They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards.  Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: <a href="http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-">http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-</a>	Enhancing and aligning accounting standards  Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)  Washington)  Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment of high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards.  Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-theworld/Pages/Jurisdiction-profiles.aspx.  Washington)  Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards.  Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdiction profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-theworld/Pages/Jurisdiction-profiles.aspx.  Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: see below  Status of progress:  Draft in preparation, expected publication by:  Draft published as of:  Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:  Reform effective (completed) as of: 01.01.2005  Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: First, regarding the accounting frameworks

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				statements of all listed groups and	
				optional for the consolidated financial	
				statements of private groups. For the	
				individual financial statements, the	
				application of IFRS is prohibited and	
				therefore they shall be prepared according	
				to the national GAAPs, which are	
				consistent with the provisions of the	
				European accounting directives.	
				Enforcement of IFRS is done by National	
				Market Authorities (AMF in France) and	
				coordinated by the European Securities	
				and Markets Authority (ESMA). The	
				AMF also contributes to the European	
				Enforcers Coordination Sessions (EECS)	
				within the Corporate Reporting Standing	
				Committee of ESMA, which is the group	
				mandated to follow regulatory	
				developments in the EU in the field of	
				accounting and auditing. The AMF plays	
				an important role in the monitoring of	
				high-quality accounting standards. It is a	
				member of the Board and commissions of	
				the French National Standard Setter. The	
				AMF also actively participates in ESMA	
				and IOSCO working groups, the roles of	
				which are to analyse and comment the	
				IASB's proposals. The AMF serves as	
				observer representing IOSCO on the	
				IFRS Foundation AC, and observer	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				representing IOSCO on the IFRIC. The	
				Autorité des Normes Comptables (ANC)	
				is the French accounting standard setter.	
				As such, it takes part in the European and	
				International discussions on international	
				accounting standards. As a stakeholder in	
				the development of high-quality	
				standards, the ACPR and Banque de	
				France -namely through the Basel	
				Committee (BCBS) and the International	
				Association of Insurance Supervisors	
				(IAIS)- closely monitored the IASB	
				works relating to its project on financial	
				instruments review as well as on	
				insurance contracts in order to achieve	
				the G20 recommendations of April 2009.	
				In particular, the ACPR answered to	
				consultation papers relating to IFRS 9	
				(financial instruments) and IFRS 4	
				(insurance contract). Moreover, at the end	
				of 2013, the ACPR participated in the	
				annual meetings organised by audit firms	
				with a view to encourage auditors to pay	
				special attention to some important	
				accounting issues for the year end	
				consolidated accounts, prepared under	
				IFRS by the major banking and insurance	
				groups, and to ensure consistent	
				application of accounting standards.	
				Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents: http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/accounting/ias/index_en.htm	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
19 (19)	Description  Appropriate application of Fair Value Accounting	Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine the use of valuation reserves or adjustments for fair valued financial instruments when data or modelling needed to support their valuation is weak. (Rec. 3.4, FSF 2009)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for appropriate application of fair value accounting.  See, for reference, the following BCBS documents:  • Basel 2.5 standards on prudent valuation (Jul 2009)  • Supervisory guidance for assessing	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:  Planned actions (if any): The ANC is working on evaluating the impact of the new proposals. Amendments to IFRS 9 proposed recently go in the right direction but further work will be needed to assess
(19)		Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine possible changes to relevant standards to dampen adverse dynamics potentially associated with fair value accounting. Possible ways to reduce this potential impact include the following: (1) Enhancing the accounting model so that the use of fair value accounting is carefully examined for financial instruments of credit intermediaries; (ii) Transfers between financial asset categories; (iii) Simplifying hedge accounting requirements. (Rec 3.5, FSF 2009)	banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)	Issue is being addressed through:  ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  In 2013, the ACPR has closely monitored the IASB standard development process regarding the classification and the measurement of financial assets. It had continuously advocated for the introduction of a so-called "third category", in order to limit the volume of financial instruments measured at fair value with changes recognised in profit or loss (and thus the volatility), when this accounting method is not fairly supported by a business model (i.e. trading).  Status of progress:  ☐ Draft in preparation, expected	the full impact of the standard on financial stability and the standard could accomodate the business model of long-term investment, as a follow-up of the EC green paper on long-term financing. The ACPR will carry out its yearly review on financial statements issued by major French and European banks. The FY13 review will closely focus on fair value and the first year implementation of IFRS 13, "Fair Value Measurement". Through this review ACPR will continue to closely examine possible changes triggered by the implementation of IFRS 13. The ACPR will continue to monitor the implementation of the Regulatory Technical Standard on the application of prudent valuation requirements. The ACPR will continue to contribute to the working group on French banking accounting rules regarding fair value



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				publication by:  ☐ Draft published as of:	measurement of derivatives and notably counterparty risk adjustment.
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Expected commencement date:
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 01.01.2013	
				Short description of the content of the	Web-links to relevant documents:
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: All	
				French authorities pay due attention to	
				the fact that the IASB's proposals do not lead to an extension of the fair value	
				measurement. These concerns are	
				regularly conveyed by French FSB	
				members in international fora and in	
				meetings with the IASB. The EU	
				endorsed IFRS 13 in 2012. This standard	
				has been in force in Europe since the 1st	
				January 2013. IFRS 13 addresses some of	
				the G20 recommendations but does not	
				provide sufficient response to the	
				concerns expressed on illiquid	
				instruments.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2012:360:0078:0144:EN:PDF	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Enhancing risk manag	ement			
20	Enhancing guidance to	Regulators should develop enhanced	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(20)	strengthen banks' risk management practices, including on liquidity and foreign currency funding risks	guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, in line with international best practices, and should encourage financial firms to re-examine their internal controls and implement strengthened policies for sound risk management. (Washington)  National supervisors should closely check banks' implementation of the updated guidance on the management and supervision of liquidity as part of their regular supervision. If banks' implementation of the guidance is	measures taken to enhance guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices.  In particular, please indicate the status of implementation of the following standards:  • BCBS <u>Basel III: International framework for liquidity risk measurement, standards and monitoring (Dec 2010)</u> • BCBS <u>Principles for sound stress testing practices and supervision (May 2009)</u>	□ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:  □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory	Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
(20)		inadequate, supervisors will take more prescriptive action to improve practices. (Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)  Regulators and supervisors in emerging markets <sup>6</sup> will enhance their supervision of banks' operation in foreign currency funding markets. (FSB 2009)  We commit to conduct robust, transparent stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)	Jurisdictions may also refer to FSB's thematic peer review report on risk governance (Feb 2013) and BCBS Peer review of supervisory authorities' implementation of stress testing principles (Apr 2012)	actions), please specify:  Status of progress:  ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: October 2014 (due to amendments to Regulation 97-02 on internal control)  ☐ Draft published as of:  ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:  ☐ Reform effective (completed) as of:  Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: The	

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				CRD IV package entered into force on 28	
				June 2013 (CRR) and 17 July 2013 (CRD	
				IV). The CRR became applicable as of 1	
				January 2014, while the Ordinance	
				n°2014-152 of 20 February 2014,	
				published on 21 February, transposes, at	
				the legislative level by modifying the	
				French Monetary and Financial Code, the	
				CRD IV. These provisions enhance	
				subject institutions' requirements relating	
				to internal controls, risk management and	
				governance. Secondary legislation is	
				currently being finalized with a view to	
				amending the main French prudential	
				Regulation 97-02 of February 1997	
				dealing with internal controls and risk	
				management practices, so as to ensure a	
				full transposition of CRD IV. The	
				aforementioned Regulation covers all	
				risks (including liquidity risk) and	
				control, as well as risk management	
				processes, including for AML/CTF. It	
				requires a comprehensive risk	
				management process including Board and	
				senior management oversight, the control	
				system for operations and internal	
				procedures, the organization of	
				accounting and information processing	
				systems, the risk and result measuring	
				systems, the risk monitoring and risk	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				control systems and the remunerations	
				framework. Credit institutions and	
				investment firms are to apply this	
				regulation on a solo and consolidated	
				basis. LCR standard: Since 1988, French	
				regulated credit institutions are subject to	
				a monthly quantitative liquidity	
				requirement, which has been amended in	
				2009 (implementation of the new rule	
				from June 2010). As part of the Basel	
				Quantitative Impact Studies, ACPR	
				monitors since 2010 on a bi-annual basis	
				the LCR consolidated level of the 10	
				main French banking groups,	
				representing more than 90% of the total	
				assets of the banking system. In addition,	
				a monitoring of the LCR according to the	
				Capital Requirement Regulation has been	
				implemented from the beginning of 2014.	
				CRD4/CRR texts establish a reporting	
				period running until end 2014. LCR	
				reporting is supplemented among others	
				by a LCR for each significant currency	
				(5% of total liabilities or significant	
				branch). From 2015, a binding LCR will	
				be implemented EU-wide by a delegated	
				act of the EU Commission which should	
				be adopted before the end of June 2014.	
				A phase-in is planned from 60% in 2015	
				to 100% in 2018.	
1		I .	1	I .	i e

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: The CRD IV package,	
				which significantly enhances previous	
				provisions related to risk management	
				and governance, became applicable on 1	
				January 2014. The directive has been	
				transposed in France in February 2014.	
				LCR standard: the reporting requirement	
				for the LCR in accordance with CRDIV/	
				CRR has entered into force. The	
				delegated act is under discussion and	
				should be adopted very soon (end of June	
				2014). Several BTS (ITS and RTS) and	
				guidelines specifying some part of the	
				liquidity Regulation (retail deposits,	
				derivatives, currencies with narrow	
				definition of central bank eligibility and	
				jurisdictions with insufficient liquid	
				assets) have been adopted by the EBA.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Regulation: http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2013:176:0001:0337:EN:PDF	
				Directive: http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do	
				?uri=OJ:L:2013:176:0338:0436:EN:PDF	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21	Efforts to deal with	Our efforts to deal with impaired assets	Jurisdictions should indicate steps taken	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any):
(21)	impaired assets and raise additional capital	and to encourage the raising of additional capital must continue, where needed.  (Pittsburgh)	to reduce impaired assets and encourage additional capital raising. For example, jurisdictions could include here the	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but"	Expected commencement date:
			amount of new equity raised by banks operating in their jurisdictions during 2013. Jurisdictions may also refer to the relevant IMF Financial Soundness	no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			Indicators at <a href="http://fsi.imf.org/">http://fsi.imf.org/</a> .	☑ Implementation ongoing or completed :	
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation / Guidelines	
				☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: The ongoing ECB's Comprehensive assessment – and more precisely the Asset quality review – checks impairment methods and coverage. It might result in increased impaired assets along with an improved coverage. No figure can be disclosed as for now as the exercise is still at a preliminary stage (on-site inspections are not completed).	
				Status of progress :	
				☑ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Autumn 2014	
				☐ Draft published as of:	
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Reform effective (completed) as of:	
				Short description of the content of the	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				legislation/ regulation/guideline: -	
				Impaired assets and past due loans (> 90	
				days) remained quite steady over 2013	
				for the 5 major French banks (ca 4.8%); -	
				Major French banks have dramatically	
				reduced their portfolios of legacy assets	
				in 2013 (-79%) - Major French banks and	
				have kept increasing their solvency ratios	
				(+26 bps / quarter average increase since	
				June 2010 for the aggregated Core tier 1	
				ratio excluding hybrid instruments)	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
22	Enhanced risk	Financial institutions should provide	Jurisdictions should indicate the status of	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any): In order to
(22)	disclosures by financial	enhanced risk disclosures in their	implementation of the disclosures	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at	continue to foster the improvement of
	institutions	reporting and disclose all losses on an	requirements of IFRSs (in particular	the moment	consistency and comparability of the
		ongoing basis, consistent with	IFRS7 and 13) or equivalent.	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but	"Pillar 3" disclosures, the EBA WGT is
		international best practice, as appropriate.	Jurisdictions may also use as reference	no action envisaged" has been	notably drafting some guidelines, whose
		(Washington)	the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task	selected, please provide a brief	finalized version is expected by the end of 2014, on the application of Pillar 3
			Force on <i>Enhancing the Risk Disclosures</i>	justification:	disclosure waivers (on the application of
			of Banks and Implementation Progress	☑ Implementation ongoing or completed :	the concept of 'materiality', 'proprietary',
			Report by the EDTF (Aug 2013).	Issue is being addressed through :	'confidential') and of practices for
(Marri)				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	disclosing "Pillar 3" information more frequently than annually. The ACPR will
(New)		We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance		☐ Regulation / Guidelines	continue to work on the BCBS
		financial institutions' disclosures of the		☑ Other actions (such as supervisory	consultative document about the 1st
		risks they face, including the ongoing		actions), please specify:	phase of the revised Pillar III framework
		work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task		see below	with the objective of publishing it soon
		Force. (St. Petersburg)		Status of progress :	and to finalize this 1rst phase by the end
				☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	of 2014.
				☐ Draft published as of:	Expected commencement date:
				☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 01.01.2013	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/regulation/guideline: The	
				EU endorsed IFRS 13 and the	
				amendments done on IFRS 7. The ACPR	
				which is currently chairing the EBA	
				Working Group on Transparency (WGT)	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				has taken an active part in the regular	
				assessment of financial institutions'	
				disclosures, especially "Pillar 3"	
				disclosures. In its 2013 report, the EBA	
				noted real improvements in some areas,	
				and some good practices have been	
				identified to promote high quality and	
				consistent disclosures. Nevertheless	
				consistency and comparability of Banks'	
				"Pillar 3 disclosures" still need	
				improvements. At an international level,	
				the ACPR has actively contributed to the	
				work undertaken by the BCBS (through	
				its participation of the "Working Group	
				on Disclosure" – WGD) in order to	
				enhance its "Pillar 3" framework, while	
				maintaining the "Pillar 3" as a single and	
				coherent package. While recognising that	
				the scope and objective of the EDTF	
				differed from that of "Pillar 3", the BCBS	
				carefully considered the EDTF	
				recommendations when developing its	
				proposals and incorporated, where	
				relevant, the recommendations made by	
				the EDTF. Finally, the ACPR has	
				monitored French banks' financial	
				disclosures (notably annual report and	
				Pillar 3) and although financial	
				disclosures were globally satisfactory, has	
				discussed individually with banks when	



#### France

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				needed.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents:	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Strengthening deposit	insurance			
23 (23)	Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements	National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities	Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any): At the EU level, a revision of the Directive on Deposit Guarantee Schemes is ongoing.
		should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 <i>thematic</i> peer review report on deposit insurance  systems:  Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those who do	If "Not applicable " or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification:  ☑ Implementation ongoing or completed:	Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:
			not have one)  • Full implementation of the <u>Core</u> <u>Principles for Effective Deposit</u> <u>Insurance Systems</u> jointly issued by  BCBS and IADI in June 2009 (by  addressing the weaknesses and gaps  identified in peer review)	Issue is being addressed through:  ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation / Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Reform effective (completed) as of: 29.09.2010  Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: The French Deposit Guarantee Scheme is already largely in line with the IADI Principles (latest change to regulations in September 2010). France has an explicit scheme managed by an autonomous structure (Fonds de Garantie des Dépôts -	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	_			FGD). This scheme is compulsory for all	
				banks licensed in France (Art. L. 312-14,	
				Monetary and Financial Code). In	
				addition to payout, the FGD can take	
				preventative action, including the	
				granting of liquidity lines or guarantees	
				and the purchase of shares in a credit	
				institution. The FGD is governed by a	
				supervisory board made of elected	
				representatives of the banking sector. The	
				Chairperson of the Executive board has a	
				specific agreement by the Ministry of	
				Finance. Laws and regulations clearly	
				define eligible deposits: up to a limit of	
				EUR 100 000 per person and per	
				institution, the FGD guarantees both on	
				demand and time deposits in the	
				currencies of the European Economic	
				Area for both residents and non-residents.	
				Non-financial companies are covered, but	
				not banks, other non-bank financial	
				companies, government and central	
				administrative authorities. It is funded by	
				ex-ante risk-based premiums levied from	
				banks and taking into account the level of	
				eligible deposits. The FGD can also	
				borrow and raise additional premiums.	
				Payout is triggered by the supervisor	
				(ACP) and should occur within 20 to 30	
				days. The FGD has access to deposit data	
				upon a request to intervene.	
				Communication to the public includes an	

Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
			FGD website and information provided	
			by banks. The FGD is subrogated in	
			the rights of the beneficiaries of its	
			intervention (Article L312-6) and thus	
			shares in the proceeds of recoveries from	
			the estate of the failed bank. The FGD	
			may bring any action for damages against	
			the de facto and de jure executives of the	
			institutions it intervenes in to secure	
			repayment of some or all of the sums it	
			has paid (Article L.312-6). Finally, its	
			mission had been reinforced since the	
			FGD has become the French Resolution	
			Fund (FGDR, Fonds de garantie des	
			dépôts et de résolution) on 26 July 2013.	
			Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013 of	
			banking separation and regulation gave it	
			the capacity to intervene in resolution	
			with new tools.	
			Highlight main developments since last	
			year's survey:	
			Web-links to relevant documents:	
			Relevant provisions of the Monetary and	
			Financial Code (in French):	
			http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichCode	
			.do;jsessionid=E7C20F10DC9F933ADD	
			CD0870D1D66A42.tpdjo12v_1?idSectio	
			nTA=LEGISCTA000006170368&cidTex	
			te=LEGITEXT000006072026&dateTexte	
			=20130428	
				FGD website and information provided by banks. The FGD is subrogated in the rights of the beneficiaries of its intervention (Article L312-6) and thus shares in the proceeds of recoveries from the estate of the failed bank. The FGD may bring any action for damages against the de facto and de jure executives of the institutions it intervenes in to secure repayment of some or all of the sums it has paid (Article L.312-6). Finally, its mission had been reinforced since the FGD has become the French Resolution Fund (FGDR, Fonds de garantie des depôts et de résolution) on 26 July 2013. Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013 of banking separation and regulation gave it the capacity to intervene in resolution with new tools.  Highlight main developments since last year's survey:  Web-links to relevant documents: Relevant provisions of the Monetary and Financial Code (in French): http://www.legifrance.govu/faffichCode.do/jsessionid=E7C20F10DC9F933ADD CD0870D11066A42.tpdjo12y_17idSectionTA=LEGISCTA00000617036&cidTextete







No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
X.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets			
24 (24)	Enhancing market integrity and efficiency	We must ensure that markets serve efficient allocation of investments and savings in our economies and do not pose risks to financial stability. To this end, we commit to implement initial recommendations by IOSCO on market integrity and efficiency, including measures to address the risks posed by high frequency trading and dark liquidity, and call for further work by mid-2012. (Cannes)	Jurisdictions should indicate whether high frequency trading and dark pools exist in their national markets.  Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the recommendation in the following IOSCO reports in their regulatory framework:  • Report on Regulatory Issues Raised by the Impact of Technological Changes on Market Integrity and Efficiency (Oct 2011); and  • Report on Principles for Dark Liquidity (May 2011).	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: level 2 measures (regulatory and implementing technical standards)  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: MiFID 2 (Revised Markets in Financial Instruments Directive) was approved on 15 of April 2014 and will come into force on December 2016 or January 2017. □ Reform effective (completed) as of:  Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: This	Planned actions (if any): The European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) is in charge of drafting implementing measures within 6 month for technical advice and within 12 to 18 for technical standards starting from the publication of the directive (3rd of June 2014). A first round of consultation was organised between May and August 2014 and a second round of consultation will be organised in December 2014. All level 2 measures should be published by the 1st of December 2015. The AMF is highly involved in ESMA's work.  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:  http://www.europarl.europa.eu/news/fr/ne ws-room/content/20140411IPR43438/html/MEPs-vote-laws-to-regulate-financial-markets-and-curb-high-frequency-trading http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/securities/isd/mifid2/index_en.htm  http://www.esma.europa.eu/content/Discussion-Paper-MiFID-IIMiFIR  http://www.esma.europa.eu/content/Consultation-Paper-MiFID-IIMiFIR

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				new piece of legislation aims at bringing	
				more transparency (pre and post-trade	
				transparency) to transactions on equities	
				and non-equities (mainly bonds and	
				derivatives). It also aims at putting an end	
				to dark pools and other broker crossing	
				networks by setting a trading obligation	
				for equities. It should also curb high-	
				frequency algorithmic trading by	
				imposing various requirements such as	
				"circuit breakers", to stop trading process	
				if price volatility gets too high or an	
				order-to-trade ratio, or a new regime for	
				tick sizes. The new directive is also fully	
				compliant with the IOSCO report	
				"Regulatory Issues Raised by Changes in	
				Market Structure" which specifically	
				focused on market fragmentation and	
				which was published in December 2013.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: After more than two years	
				of negotiation, the adoption of the revised	
				MiFID is the major EU development.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/FR/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L2014	
				.173.01.0349.01.FRA http://eur-	
				lex.europa.eu/legal-	
				content/FR/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L2014	
				.173.01.0084.01.FRA	





No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
25 (25) (New)	Regulation and supervision of commodity markets	We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management powers, including the power to set exante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes)  We also call on Finance ministers to monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg)	Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in their national markets.  Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011).  Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the report published by the IOSCO's Committee on Commodity Futures Markets based on a survey conducted amongst its members in April 2012 on regulation in commodity derivatives market.	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment  If "Not applicable "or "Applicable but no action envisaged" has been selected, please provide a brief justification: □ Implementation ongoing or completed:  Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:  see below  Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Reform effective (completed) as of:  Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: In line with the G20 objectives, the revised MiFID, alongside with the revised Market Abuse Directive (MAD) aims at ensuring enhanced market transparency and integrity for commodity derivatives markets. In Europe, MiFID 2 introduces	Planned actions (if any): European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) is in charge of drafting implementing measures within 6 month for technical advice and within 12 to 18 for technical standards. Two round of consultation will be organised in June 2014 and December 2014. All level 2 measures should be published by the 1st of December 2015. The AMF is highly involved in ESMA's work.  Expected commencement date:  Web-links to relevant documents:



#### France

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				position reporting and position limits both	
				on listed and OTC derivatives, in order to	
				prevent market abuse and support orderly	
				pricing and settlement conditions. MAD	
				extends and adjusts the market abuse	
				regime for commodity markets, in	
				particular, towards market abuses across	
				spot and financial markets. In France,	
				the 2013 Banking law has already	
				transposed by anticipation these tools.	
				Highlight main developments since last	
				year's survey: Adoption of MiFID,	
				MAD and the French banking law.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				French banking law (July 2013):	
				http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte	
				.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000027754539	

France

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
26	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(New)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will be deferred to the		
(IVCW)		coordinate work on the necessary reforms	2015 IMN survey given the ongoing		
		of financial benchmarks. We endorse	policy work in this area, the reviews of		
		IOSCO's Principles for Financial	interest rate and foreign exchange		
		Benchmarks and look forward to reform	benchmarks during 2014, and the recent		
		as necessary of the benchmarks used	publication of IOSCO's Principles for		
		internationally in the banking industry	Financial Benchmarks.		
		and financial markets, consistent with the			
		IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)			





No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				and Role of Oversight Bodies (Principles	313-17 and Art. 314-39 of the AMF
				1 and 2) The ACPR, established on	General Regulation. Concerning
				9.03.2010 (the ACPR since 2013) as a	investment funds, the depository is in
				result of the merger of the banking and	charge of settling trades, checking the
				insurance supervisors, has an explicit	manager's investment decisions and more
				consumer protection mandate, as was the	notably safekeeping assets. It is subject to
				case previously for the insurance	an obligation to return securities in
				supervisor but not the banking supervisor.	respect of asset safekeeping. It must act
				The AMF has a mandate of consumer	solely in the unit holder's interest. Further
				protection concerning the securities	key gatekeepers are in the French system
				sector and has created in 2010 a Retail	the auditors who approve the financial
				Investor Relations Department (DREP)	information disclosed to the public, such
				covering all activities aimed at retail	as financial statements. In May 2011, the
				investors. To ensure better coordination	AMF has published a warning about non
				in the field of consumer protection	financial products which are proposed to
				whatever the product at stake (securities,	the public and which are not specifically
				banking or insurance product) between	regulated, and as such risky for retail
				the AMF and the ACPR, a Joint Unit	investors (http://www.amf-
				("pôle commun") has been put in place.	france.org/documents/general/9941_1.pdf
				Furthermore, the views of retail investors	). In July 26, 2013 the separation and
				are channelled into the AMF's decision	regulation of banking activities Act (LOI
				making process through a consultative	n° 2013-672) has Increased the obligation
				committee and the participation of retail	for the insurance companies to identify
				investors in the AMF Board. Consultative	deceased policyholders. Protection of
				bodies (CCSF, CCLRF) also involve	Consumer Data and Privacy (Principle 8)
				industry and consumer representatives.	The gathering and use of personal data is
				In March 17, 2014 the Consumer Affairs	regulated in France by Statute (act n°78-
				Act (LOI n° 2014-344) has introduced the	17 of 6 january 1978 on information
				class actions in the French law, open to	technology, data files and civil liberties),
				consumers associations (art L423-1 s	especially Art. 6
				French consumer code) and has enhanced	(http://www.cnil.fr/fileadmin/documents/
				the modernization of supervision /	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				enforcement means of the administrative	en/Act78-17VA.pdf).
				authority in charge of looking after	
				consumers' interests and has strengthened	Expected commencement date:
				respective applicable penalties (articles	•
				L141-1 s French consumer code).	Web-links to relevant documents:
				Equitable and Fair Treatment of	
				Consumer (Principle 3) Banks are subject	(continuing from previous box)
				to compliance requirements (regulation	Complaints Handling and Redress
				CRBF 97-02): their compliance	(Principle 9) The French banking and
				framework has to take into account	insurance supervisory authority, ACPR,
				stringent consumer protection laws and regulations as well as codes of conducts.	has adopted on 15 December 2011 a
				Banking, insurance and financial	recommendation on complaints handling
				intermediaries in France are subject to a	(2011-R-05- http://www.acp.banque-
				comprehensive set of conduct rules aimed	france.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/acp/Fichi
				at ensuring fair and equitable treatment of	ers_EN/Recommandations_et_fichiers_D CPC/Recommendation-2011-R-05-of-
				consumers (see L.500 sq of the insurance	
				code, L519-1 sq and L541-8 1 of the	the-ACP.pdf) which applies to both the insurance and the banking sectors.
				Monetary and Financial Code, AMF	Ombudsmen exist since 1993 in the
				General Regulation Book III and Book V,	insurance sector, and are a compulsory
				Title III of the Monetary and Financial	feature of the French banking sector since
				Code). In July 26, 2013 the separation	the law of 11 December 2001. For the
				and regulation of banking activities Act	securities sector, there is one
				(LOI n° 2013-672) has introduced	Ombudsman who is attached to the AMF,
				specific limits of banks charges as well	and handles queries and requests for out-
				as the obligation to propose a specific	of-court dispute settlement from
				offer for "fragile clientele" and set up a	investors. Furthermore, the ACP has
				financial inclusion observatory managed	issued early 2012 a recommendation for
				by the French central bank. In March 17,	the treatment of complaints. AMF
				2014 the Consumer Affairs Act (LOI n°	Instruction n°2012-07 regulates the
				2014-344) has transposed Directive	handling of customer complaints by
				2011/83/EU of the European Parliament	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				and of the Council on consumer rights of October 25, 2011 for contracts concluded on the business premises of the trader or through any means of distance communication (L121-26 s French consumer code).  Highlight main developments since last year's survey: (continuing from previous box) Disclosure and Transparency (Principle 4) In the Insurance sector, European Directives have set strong requirements for disclosure and transparency, especially in life insurance. In banking, the EU Consumer Credit Directive 2008/48/EC, transposed on 1 July 2010 in Articles L.311-2 et seq. of the Consumer Code, introduces new specific pre-contractual disclosure requirements, transparency rules. Intermediaries are more closely regulated since Law n° 2010-1249 (Articles L519 - 1 et seq of the Monetary and Financial Code) based upon the existing regulation for insurance intermediaries (in force since 2005). This regulation also introduces disclosure and transparency requirements. Moreover, the Consultative Committee for Financial sector (CCSF) has taken commitments on 15.11.2012 to enhance consumer protection in consumer credit; it allows a better	investment services providers and financial advisers (http://www.amf-france.org/documents/general/10494_1.p df), including consumer information and access to the complaints handling system, follow-up and control. Competition (Principle 10) An industry code of conduct of 6 July 2009 (« norme professionnelle ») facilitates the possibility for consumers to move to another bank (change of bank accounts). The ACP has checked compliance in 2011 in 350 banks. 9 commitments out of 16 had compliance levels above 89% (in terms of market share of compliant respondents) although progress is required in other areas. For the securities sector, the European Directives, through the different passports in place for intermediaries and products, contribute to a competitive market in Europe. Two major work streams are underway, which should lead to a further strengthening of investor protection: - the on-going review of the European Union MiFID, which should reinforce the rules applicable to conflicts of interest (including the issue of staff remuneration), product governance and sale of complex products; and - the Act n° 2013-672 of 26 July 2013 recently passed by the French Parliament includes

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				information between revolving and	for instance a cap for banking fees related
				redeemable credit, or advantages given	to payment incidents. Web-links to
				by a credit card dealt by retailers.	relevant documents : Separation and
				Regarding securities and investment	regulation of banking activities Act:
				funds, France has implemented the	http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte
				relevant European Union Directives	.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000027754539
				(Prospectus, UCITS and MiFID) ensuring	Consumer Affairs Act:
				that appropriate information is provided	http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte
				to the investor. In addition, the AMF	.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000028738036
				issues public warnings in case a product	&categorieLien=id
				or market practice may be of risk to retail	
				investors. Warnings are also often issued	
				in coordination with the ACPR	
				(particularly concerning the activities of	
				several websites and entities proposing	
				Forex investments without being	
				authorised to do so). The AMF has	
				published: - the guidance note on sales	
				and marketing documents	
				(http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/10271_1.p	
				df); - its position No 2013-02 – 8 January	
				2013 - applicable to investment services	
				providers and financial investment	
				advisers, on the collection of know your	
				customer (KYC) information	
				(http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/10689_1.p	
				df) In July 26, 2013 the separation and	
				regulation of banking activities Act (LOI	
				n° 2013-672 ) framed the	
				commercialization of foreign currency	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				loans granted by banks to consumers, and	
				introduced new compulsory indications	
				about insurance cost in creditor insurance	
				Financial Education and Awareness	
				(Principle 5) Numerous actions are taken:	
				- educational activities by the BdF and	
				AMF, together with the financial literacy	
				institute "Institut pour l'Education	
				Financière du Public	
				(IEFP)"(http://www.lafinancepourtous.co	
				m/IMG/pdf/IEFP_anglais.pdf); - The BdF	
				interactive museum on money and the	
				economy (is not opened yet); - A	
				telephone hotline and a website	
				(www.abe-infoservice.fr) for consumers,	
				by BdF together with ACPR and AMF; -	
				Brochures to inform the public, published	
				respectively by the AMF, the CCSF	
				(Comité Consultatif du Secteur Financier	
				(www.banque-france.fr/ccsf/fr)); - TV	
				campaigns since December 2012, by the	
				AMF, the Institut national de la	
				consommation and the ACPR, to inform	
				consumers/retail investors about	
				questions to ask oneself before saving,	
				the traps to avoid when investing,	
				financial investment fees, the AMF	
				Ombudsman, or how to make a claim	
				(The AMF and the National Consumers	
				Institute (INC) sign partnership	
				agreement to provide consumers with	
				information about financial products and	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				services).	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				(continuing from previous box)	
				Responsible Business Conduct of	
				Financial Services Providers and	
				Authorised Agents (Principle 6) Both	
				law n°2010-737 on Consumer Credit	
				(codified in Articles L.311-2 et seq. in the	
				Consumer Code) and Law n° 2010-1249	
				on banking intermediaries (codified in	
				Articles L519-1 et seq. in the Monetary	
				and Financial Code) introduce	
				requirements on advice and training of	
				sales staff in direct relation with	
				customers. In January 2012, France	
				published two regulations (n° 2012-100	
				and 2012-101) in order to reinforce the	
				conduct of business obligations of	
				intermediaries: Intermediaries in bank,	
				finance and insurance sectors must be	
				registered on a common public registry,	
				managed by an Agency placed under the State control. This Agency verifies the	
				conditions for access to the	
				intermediation activity: good repute,	
				professional competence, professional	
				insurance and where appropriate,	
				financial guarantee. Certain information	
				are available for the public, particularly,	
				information on the financial institutions	
				for which they are acting. The second	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				regulation enforces a status of	
				intermediaries in banking and payment	
				services which sets minimum knowledge	
				and competence requirements, establishes	
				rules for remuneration and conduct of	
				business obligations for intermediaries	
				intervening in the provision of credit to	
				consumers. Regarding securities and	
				investment funds, the EU directive	
				MiFID has been transposed into French	
				Law and the AMF General Regulation,	
				including responsible conduct of business	
				for financial intermediaries, such as the	
				obligation to undertake appropriateness	
				and suitability tests with potential	
				investors. The AMF has published	
				Position No 2010-05 - 15 October 2010	
				on the marketing of complex financial	
				instruments (http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/9662_1.pdf	
				) and Position No 2013-02 – 8 January	
				2013- applicable to investment services	
				providers and financial investment	
				advisers, on the collection of know your	
				customer (KYC) information	
				(http://www.amf-	
				france.org/documents/general/10689_1.p	
				df). In 2011, the AMF set up a system to	
				ascertain that market participants have a	
				specified minimum level of regulatory	
				knowledge.	





#### XII. Source of recommendations:

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)



#### XIII. List of Abbreviations used:

**ABS: Asset Backed Security** 

ACP: Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel, the French prudential supervisory authority

AIFMD: Directive on alternative investment fund managers

AML/CTF: Anti Money Laundering and Countering the Financing of Terrorism

ANC: Autorité des Normes Comptables, the French accounting standard-setter

AMF : Autorité des Marchés Financiers, the French financial markets authority

BdF: Banque de France, the French central bank

CCSF: Comité Consultatif du Secteur Financier, a consultative body of the financial sector, including representatives from the industry and consumer associations

CEBS: Committee of European Banking Supervisors (now: EBA - European Banking Authority)

CESR: Committee of European Securities Regulators (now: ESMA - European Securities and Markets Authority)

CMF: Code Monétaire et Financier, the French Monetary and Financial Code

CMG: Crisis Management Group

COREFRIS: the National Council of Systemic Risk and Financial Regulation

CRA: Credit Rating Agencies

CRD: European Capital Requirements Directive

SIFI: Systemically Important Financial Institution

SREP: Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process

TFUMP: IOSCO Task Force on Unregulated Financial Markets and Products

UCITS: Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities

DTCC: Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation

EBA: European Banking Authority EEA: European Economic Area

EMIR: European Market Infrastructure Regulation

ESMA: European Securities and Markets Authorithy

EU: European Union FSAP: Financial Sector Assessment Program

FoHF: Funds of Hedge Funds

HCSF: (Haut Conseil de Stabilité Financière, replaces COREFRIS) High Council for Financial Stability IEFP Institut pour l'Education Financière du

Public -financial literacy institute LCR: Liquidity Coverage Ratio

MAD/R: Market Abuse Directive/Regulation

MiFID/R: Markets in Financial Instruments Directive / Regulation MINEFI:

Ministry for Economy and Finance

NSFR: Net Stable Funding Ratio

ORAP 2: internal rating methodology used by the ACP

OTC: Over the counter

RRP: Recovery and Resolution Plans

RTS: binding regulatory technical standards

SIB: Systemically Important Bank