

Jurisdiction:

Argentina

2015 IMN Survey of National Progress in the Implementation of G20/FSB Recommendations

- I. Hedge funds
- II. Securitisation
- III. Enhancing supervision
- IV. Building and implementing macroprudential frameworks and tools
- V. Improving oversight of credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- VI. Enhancing and aligning accounting standards
- VII. Enhancing risk management
- VIII. Strengthening deposit insurance
 - IX. Safeguarding the integrity and efficiency of financial markets
 - X. Enhancing financial consumer protection
 - XI. Reference to source of recommendations
- **XII.** List of Abbreviations



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I.	Hedge funds				
(2)	Registration, appropriate disclosures and oversight of hedge funds	We also firmly recommitted to work in an internationally consistent and non- discriminatory manner to strengthen regulation and supervision on hedge funds. (Seoul)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles contained in IOSCO's <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</i> . In particular, jurisdictions should specify whether:	✓ Not applicable There are not hedge funds in Argentina because Argentine Act N° 24.083 (1993) of Mutual Investment Funds forbids leverage and other mechanisms of risks. □ Applicable but no action envisaged	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		Hedge funds or their managers will be registered and will be required to disclose appropriate information on an ongoing basis to supervisors or regulators, including on their leverage, necessary for assessment of the systemic risks they pose individually or collectively. Where appropriate registration should be subject to a minimum size. They will be subject to oversight to ensure that they have adequate risk management. (London)	 Hedge Funds (HFs) and/or HF managers are subject to mandatory registration Registered HF managers are subject to appropriate ongoing requirements regarding: Organisational and operational standards; Conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; Disclosure to investors; and Prudential regulation. 	Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☐ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.infoleg.gob.ar/infolegInternet	Web-links to relevant documents:

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				/anexos/0-4999/482/texact.htm	
				http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/Decre tos/ing/DEC174-93.htm	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please indicate whether Hedge Funds (HFs) are domiciled locally and, if available, the size of the industry in terms of Assets under Management and number of HFs.	
				There are not hedge funds in Argentina	
				2. Please specify the main criteria and numerical thresholds (if applicable) for subjecting HFs and/or HF managers to mandatory registration.	
				N/A	
				3. Please specify whether registered HF managers are subject to ongoing requirements regarding organisational and operational standards; conflicts of interest and other conduct of business rules; disclosure to investors; and prudential regulation. If any of these requirements are not applicable, please explain.	
				N/A	
				4. Please describe the main challenges (where relevant) and any lessons learned in implementing this reform.	
				N/A	
				5. Are you monitoring the effects of this reform in your jurisdiction? If	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				yes, please share the main findings and any related policy initiatives in response to those findings.	
				N/A	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
2 (3)	Establishment of international information sharing framework	We ask the FSB to develop mechanisms for cooperation and information sharing between relevant authorities in order to ensure effective oversight is maintained when a fund is located in a different jurisdiction from the manager. We will, cooperating through the FSB, develop measures that implement these principles by the end of 2009. (London)	Jurisdictions should indicate the progress made in implementing the high level principles in IOSCO's <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</i> on sharing information to facilitate the oversight of globally active fund managers. In addition, jurisdictions should state whether they are: - Signatory to the IOSCO MMoU - Signatory to bilateral agreements for supervisory cooperation that cover hedge funds and are aligned to the 2010 IOSCO <i>Principles Regarding Cross-border Supervisory Cooperation</i> .	 ☑ Not applicable There are not hedge funds in Argentina because Argentine Act N° 24.083 (1993) of Mutual Investment Funds forbids leverage and other mechanisms of risks. ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☐ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☐ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.infoleg.gob.ar/infolegInternet /anexos/0-4999/482/texact.htm 	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/Decre	
				tos/ing/DEC174-93.htm	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
3 (4)	Description Enhancing counterparty risk management	G20/FSB Recommendations Supervisors should require that institutions which have hedge funds as their counterparties have effective risk management, including mechanisms to monitor the funds' leverage and set limits for single counterparty exposures. (London)	Jurisdictions should indicate specific policy measures taken for enhancing counterparty risk management and strengthening their existing guidance on the management of exposure to leveraged counterparties. In particular, jurisdictions should indicate whether they have implemented principle 2.iii of IOSCO <i>Report on Hedge Fund Oversight (Jun 2009)</i> . Jurisdictions should also indicate the steps they are taking to implement the new standards	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
		Supervisors will strengthen their existing guidance on the management of exposures to leveraged counterparties. (Rec. II.17, FSF 2008)	on equity exposures (Capital requirements for banks' equity investments in funds, Dec 2013) by 1 January 2017. For further reference, see also the following documents: • BCBS Sound Practices for Banks' Interactions with Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan 1999) • BCBS Banks' Interactions with Highly Leveraged Institutions (Jan 1999)	 ☑ Implementation completed as of: February 13, 2013 Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Hedge funds and leveraged counterparties are not allowed in Argentina. However, there are prudential regulations in place for banks that operate with Mutual Funds. For example, total holdings of unquoted shares plus mutual funds (no matter the issuer) cannot exceed 15% of a bank's regulatory capital. The BCRA guidelines 	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				on risk management have been enhanced	
				to incorporate a subsection within the	
				chapter on credit risk dedicated	
				specifically to counterparty risk. The	
				CNV has established some regulation	
				applicable to the acquisition of	
				derivatives by mutual funds (operations	
				must be in accordance with mutual	
				funds' investing objectives, requirements	
				on expertise to manage derivatives	
				instruments, information to the CNV on	
				the types of derivatives in the portfolio,	
				their risk and measurement methods,	
				etc.) As regards counterparty credit risk,	
				by General Resolution N° 622/13 the	
				CNV updated the minimum capital	
				required to be licensed as an	
				intermediary agent, exchange or clearing	
				and settlement house dealing with futures	
				and options. See CNV N.T. 2013, TITLE	
				V, CHAPTER II SECTION IV. In	
				relation with Hedge Funds, see response	
				to Recommendation 1.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/texord/t-lingeef.pdf http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/marco_regulatorio3.asp?Lang=0&item=3	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I	I. Securitisation				
4 (6)	Strengthening of regulatory and capital framework for monolines	Insurance supervisors should strengthen the regulatory and capital framework for monoline insurers in relation to structured credit. (Rec II.8, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for strengthening the regulatory and capital framework for monolines. See, for reference, the following principles issued by IAIS: • ICP 13 – Reinsurance and Other Forms of Risk Transfer; • ICP 15 – Investments; and • ICP 17 - Capital Adequacy. Jurisdictions may also refer to: • IAIS Guidance paper on enterprise risk management for capital adequacy and solvency purposes (Oct 2008). • Joint Forum document on Mortgage insurance: market structure, underwriting cycle and policy implications (Aug 2013).	Monoline insurance in relation to structured credits is not used in Argentina. The only mandatory monobranches are: Public Passenger Transport, Worker's compensation (ART) and Retirement. □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
I	I. Securitisation				
				Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 5 (7)	Description Strengthening of supervisory requirements or best practices for investment in structured products	G20/FSB Recommendations Regulators of institutional investors should strengthen the requirements or best practices for firms' processes for investment in structured products. (Rec II.18, FSF 2008)	Jurisdictions should indicate the due diligence policies, procedures and practices applicable for investment managers when investing in structured finance instruments and other policy measures taken for strengthening best practices for investment in structured finance product. Jurisdictions may reference IOSCO's report on Good Practices in Relation to Investment Managers' Due Diligence When Investing in Structured Finance	 Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: 	Next steps If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
			Instruments (Jul 2009). Jurisdictions may also refer to the Joint Forum report on Credit Risk Transfer-Developments from 2005-2007 (Jul 2008).	 ☑ Implementation completed as of: May 9, 2013 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Law 24.441 regulates securitization schemes and the new CNV 2013 rules by General Resolution 622/13 (TITLE V) set financial securitization schemes that regulate the public offer of those trustees. Decree 1023/2013, issued by the 	
				Executive on August 1st 2013, implements the dispositions and regulations established by Law 26.831	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				and broadens the CNV's authority to	
				regulate the market. Structured products	
				and credit derivatives are seldom	
				negotiated in the local market, and only	
				by a few banks, that must fulfil the	
				BCRA requirements. At the moment,	
				there are no specific requirements for	
				investments in these products.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://infoleg.mecon.gov.ar/infolegInterne t/anexos/0-4999/812/texact.htm http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/comytexord/ A5398.pdf http://infoleg.mecon.gov.ar/infolegInterne t/anexos/205000-209999/206592/norma.htm http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/Decret os/esp/DEC1023-13.htm http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/marco_regulatorio3.asp?Lang=0&item=3	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
6	Enhanced disclosure of	Securities market regulators should work	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy	☐ Not applicable	If this recommendation has not yet
(8)	securitised products	with market participants to expand information on securitised products and	measures taken for enhancing disclosure of securitised products.	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
		their underlying assets. (Rec. III.10-	See, for reference, IOSCO's <i>Report on</i>	☐ Implementation ongoing:	
		III.13, FSF 2008)	Principles for Ongoing Disclosure for	Status of progress:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
			Asset-Backed Securities (Nov 2012) and IOSCO's Disclosure Principles for	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
			Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-	☐ Draft published as of:	Web-links to relevant documents:
			Backed Securities (Apr 2010).	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
				☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
				☑ Implementation completed as of: May 9, 2013	
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☑ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				Law 24.441 regulates securitization	
				schemes and CNV's General Resolutions	
				N° 522/2007 and 555/2009 set rules for	
				financial securitization schemes. It	
				requests truthful, accurate, effective and	
				sufficient information about parties that	
				are essential to securitisation agreements	
				in order to exclude the possibility of	
				wrong interpretations being made by	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				investors. Prospectuses for the issuance	
				of debt securities and/or participation	
				certificates may appoint only one	
				financial trustee for the program and have	
				to properly identify the settler(s) for the	
				series to be created as part of the	
				program. The initial identification of the	
				trustee and the settler(s) cannot be	
				modified. This mechanism increases the	
				quantity and quality of information that	
				trustees must provide to generate an	
				updated and complete securitization	
				database, from the moment	
				securitizations are set up to their	
				liquidation, easing prudential control and	
				access to information by the investing	
				public. Decree 1023/2013, issued by the	
				Executive on August 1st 2013,	
				implements the dispositions and	
				regulations established by Law 26.831	
				and broadens the CNV's authority to	
				regulate the market. General Resolution	
				622/13 (Sept 05, 2013) deepened the	
				content of prospectuses for the issuance	
				of financial securitisations. Detailed	
				legal, accounting, financial and	
				operational information is required on all	
				the participants in financial	
				securitisations and not only on the trustee	
				and originator but also on the underlying	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				assets. Also set the documentation and	
				reports to be submitted by the participants	
				to the structure (e.g. report by the trustee	
				or anyone performing delegated functions	
				of control and review of underlying	
				assets, indicating the tasks performed and	
				their results). The requirements aim to	
				attract investors to financial	
				securitisations, offering through the	
				prospectuses complete and accurate	
				information about their assets, risks,	
				terms, conditions and the various types of	
				participants. Decree 1023/2013, issued by	
				the Executive on August 1st 2013,	
				implements the dispositions and	
				regulations established by Law 26.831	
				and broadens the CNV's authority to	
				regulate the market. The new CNV 2013	
				General Resolution 622/13 (TITLE V	
				Chapter IV) besides the above rules	
				introduces the trustee obligation to have a	
				"Control and Revision Agent" (a Public	
				Accountant) who should audit the	
				underlying assets and the actual cash	
				flows.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.cnv.gov.ar/LeyesyReg/CNV/esp/RGC555-09.htm http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/Decret	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				os/esp/DEC1023-13.htm http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/marco _regulatorio3.asp?Lang=0&item=3	



	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
Enhancing supervision				
Consistent, consolidated supervision and	All firms whose failure could pose a risk to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and	Jurisdictions should indicate: (1) whether they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
supervision and regulation of SIFIs	consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh)	if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) • Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) • BCP 12 (Sep 2012) IAIS: • Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013) • ICP 23- Group wide supervision FSB: • Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011)	at the moment ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: ☑ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: January 2016 □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Argentina is not home to any G-SIFI. In 2014, the BCRA published the methodology for assessing the degree of systemic importance of financial institutions licensed in Argentina, based on their size, interconnectedness,	Web-links to relevant documents:
C SI	onsolidated upervision and	to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of SIFIs regulation with high standards.	to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated agulation of SIFIs to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh) they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) • Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) IAIS: • Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013) • ICP 23— Group wide supervision FSB: • Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov	to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated upervision and regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh) to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh) to financial stability must be subject to consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation with high standards. (Pittsburgh) they have identified domestic SIFIs and, if so, in which sectors; (2) whether the names of the identified SIFIs have been publicly disclosed; and (3) the types of policy measures taken for implementing consistent, consolidated supervision and regulation of the identified SIFIs. See, for reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) • Framework for D-SIBs (Oct 2012) • BCP 12 (Sep 2012) IAIS: • Global Systemically Important Insurers: Policy Measures (Jul 2013) • ICP 23—Group wide supervision FSB: • Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011) • Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011) • Framework for addressing SIFIs (Nov 2011) **Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Applicable but no action envisaged the member of publication by: Status of progress: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Framework for G-SIBs (Jul 2013) Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: Draft in preparation envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: Draft in preparation envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of Progress: Dr



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				On 8 January 2015, the BCRA published	
				Communication "A" 5694 requiring	
				identified D-SIBs (5 banks: 1	
				government-owned, 2 domestic banks	
				and 2 foreign subsidiaries) to meet a HLA	
				requirement equivalent to 1% of their	
				RWA, with a phase-in period between	
				January 2016 and January 2019.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bcra.gob.ar/Pdfs/Marco_legal _normativo/D_SIBs_i.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/Pdfs/comytexord/ A5694.pdf	



_	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
8 (10)	Establishing supervisory colleges and conducting risk assessments	To establish the remaining supervisory colleges for significant cross-border firms by June 2009. (London) We agreed to conduct rigorous risk assessment on these firms [G-SIFIs] through international supervisory colleges. (Seoul)	Reporting in this area should be undertaken solely by home jurisdictions of G-SIBs and G-SIIs. Please indicate the progress made in establishing and strengthening the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs and G-SIIs using, as reference, the following documents: BCBS: • Principle 13 of the BCBS Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision (Sep 2012) • Principles for effective supervisory colleges (Jun 2014) IAIS: • ICP 25 and Guidance 25.1.1 – 25.1.6 on establishment of supervisory colleges • Guidance 25.6.20 and 25.8.16 on risk assessments by supervisory colleges • Application paper on supervisory colleges (Oct 2014)	Mot applicable Argentina is not home to significant cross-border firms. Notwithstanding, it is considered a priority to take part in supervisory colleges for the institutions that are materially important to the Argentine financial system, even if such institutions −as affiliates or branches− are not significant at the whole group level. □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please indicate whether supervisory colleges for all G-SIBs/G-SIIs headquartered in your jurisdiction have been established. If not, please explain.	
				2. Please indicate the structure of the supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction (core, universal, other) and the reasons why it may differ across firms.	
				3. Please indicate the frequency of meetings over the past year of the supervisory colleges (core, universal, other) for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction.	
				4. Please describe the main objectives of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction and the types of issues that have been discussed over the past year. (e.g. specific area(s) of risk, coordinated risk assessments, joint supervisory work, coordinated supervisory plans). In your response, please indicate briefly some of the main challenges in conducting joint risk assessments and steps taken to address them.	
				5. Please describe the main challenges in the functioning of supervisory colleges for G-SIBs/G-SIIs in your jurisdiction and any plans to enhance the effectiveness of colleges.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
9 (11)	Supervisory exchange of information and	To quicken supervisory responsiveness to developments that have a common effect	Jurisdictions should include any feedback received from recent FSAPs/ROSC	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
(11)	coordination	across a number of institutions, supervisory exchange of information and coordination in the development of best practice benchmarks should be improved at both national and international levels. (Rec V.7, FSF 2008)	assessments on the <u>September 2012</u> BCP 3 (Cooperation and collaboration) and BCP 14 (Home-host relationships). Jurisdictions should also indicate any steps taken since the last assessment in this area, particularly in response to relevant FSAP/ROSC recommendations.	at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		Enhance the effectiveness of core supervisory colleges. (FSB 2012)	Jurisdictions should describe any recent or planned regulatory, supervisory or legislative changes that contribute to the sharing of supervisory information (e.g. within supervisory colleges or via bilateral or multilateral MoUs).	□ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: □ Implementation completed as of: □ December 6, 2014. Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the	
				legislation/regulation/guideline: Supervisory colleges and approaches to cooperation are responsibilities of significant cross-border firms' supervisors. The BCRA has not only signed MOUs with foreign supervisors but also shares information with countries with which no MOU has been signed. Foreign supervisors are not prevented from carrying out their tasks in Argentina	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				as long as they observe bank secrecy	
				rules. By request of the Bank of Spain	
				(home supervisor of two local financial	
				institutions), confidentiality agreements	
				on colleges have been signed.	
				Additionally, MOUs have been signed	
				with the local insurance (SSN) and	
				securities (CNV) supervisors. At	
				international level, CNV is a signatory of	
				the Multilateral Memorandum of	
				Understanding of the International	
				Organization of Securities Commission	
				(IOSCO). CNV has also signed a	
				Bilateral Memorandum of Understanding	
				with the European Securities and Markets	
				Authority (ESMA). Additionally, CNV	
				has signed another 29 Bilateral	
				Memorandums of Understanding with	
				different foreign regulators from the	
				capital markets. Recently, CNV has	
				issued the General Resolution 631/14 to	
				implement the new standard reached by	
				the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act	
				(FATCA). The SSN has already signed	
				MOUs with Mexico, Brasil, Venezuela	
				and Perú.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.imf.org/external/country/AR	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				G/index.htm http://www.iosco.org/library/index.cfm?s ection=mou_siglist http://www.cnv.gob.ar/acuerdosinternacio nales.asp?Lang=0 http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/CNV/ esp/RGCRGN631-14.htm	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
10	Strengthening resources	We agreed that supervisors should have	No information on this recommendation		
(12)	and effective	strong and unambiguous mandates,	will be collected in the current IMN		
(12)	supervision	sufficient independence to act,	survey due to the recent publication of the		
		appropriate resources, and a full suite of	FSB thematic peer review report on		
		tools and powers to proactively identify	supervisory frameworks and approaches		
		and address risks, including regular stress	to SIBs.		
		testing and early intervention. (Seoul)			
		Supervisors should see that they have the			
		requisite resources and expertise to			
		oversee the risks associated with financial			
		innovation and to ensure that firms they			
		supervise have the capacity to understand			
		and manage the risks. (FSF 2008)			
		Supervisory authorities should			
		continually re-assess their resource needs;			
		for example, interacting with and			
		assessing Boards require particular skills,			
		experience and adequate level of			
		seniority. (Rec. 3, FSB 2012)			



NT	D : /:	C20/ECD D	- D 1	Duagness to data	Novt stons
No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	1	nting macroprudential frameworks and			
11 (13)	Establishing regulatory framework for macro-prudential oversight	Amend our regulatory systems to ensure authorities are able to identify and take account of macro-prudential risks across	Please describe major changes in the institutional arrangements for macroprudential policy (structures,	 □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment 	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		the financial system including in the case of regulated banks, shadow banks ¹ and private pools of capital to limit the build up of systemic risk. (London)	mandates, powers, reporting etc.) that have taken place since the financial crisis, including over the past year.	☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		Ensure that national regulators possess the powers for gathering relevant information on all material financial institutions, markets and instruments in	Please indicate whether an assessment has been conducted with respect to the adequacy of powers to collect and share relevant information among different	 □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: 	
		order to assess the potential for failure or severe stress to contribute to systemic	authorities on financial institutions, markets and instruments to assess the	☑ Implementation completed as of: 2012	
		risk. This will be done in close	potential for systemic risk. If so, please	Issue is being addressed through:	
		coordination at international level in	describe identified gaps in the powers to	✓ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		order to achieve as much consistency as	collect information, and whether any	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
	possible across jurisdictions. (London)	follow-up actions have been taken.	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:		
				Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
				The Law 26,739 of 2012 made changes to the BCRA Charter (Act 24,144). The core of the reform lies in section 3, where a multiple mandate has been established.	

_

¹ The recommendation as applicable to shadow banks will be retained until the monitoring framework for shadow banking, which is one of the designated priority areas under the CFIM, is established.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Now the BCRA is responsible for	
				promoting not only monetary but also	
				financial stability,the full employment of	
				resources and economic development	
				with social equality. Besides, in 2012 a	
				new Capital Markets Law (N°26,831)	
				was passed in order to modernize	
				domestic markets. This law has several	
				objectives, including the strengthening of	
				the main regulator's role, by enhancing	
				its prudential regulatory capacity.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bcra.gov.ar/Pdfs/Politicas/Hoj a_ruta_Basilea_III_i.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/Pdfs/Publicacione s/bef0115i.pdf	
				Additional questions:	
				1. Please describe the institutional arrangements for financial stability and macroprudential policy in your jurisdiction, including whether a macroprudential authority has been explicitly identified and the respective roles and responsibilities of the central bank and other authorities. According to the BCRA Charter, the	
				BCRA must take financial stability into	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				account while performing its regulatory	
				and supervisory duties over the financial	
				system, the credit market and the foreign	
				exchange system. These powers also	
				apply to payment systems, settlement and	
				clearing houses, money remittance	
				entities, cash-in-transit companies, as	
				well as any other activity related to	
				financial and foreign exchange activities.	
				Recently, regulation was updated to fit	
				the CPMI-IOSCO Principles for	
				Financial Market Infrastructures. The	
				CNV is empowered to apply prudential	
				policies over capital markets (Capital	
				Markets Law 26,831) and the SSN over	
				the insurance market (Insurance	
				Companies Law 20,091, as amended). In	
				this context, a unique and specific	
				macroprudential authority has not been	
				explicitly identified at local level.	
				2. If a macroprudential authority has been explicitly identified in your jurisdiction, please describe its legal basis, mandate, composition, powers (warnings, recommendations, prudential tools, powers of direction, other) and accountability arrangements. Who provides the resources and analytical support for the authority's activities?	
				Please see response 1 above.	
				3. Is there an inter-agency body	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	Description	G20/F3D Recommendations	ACHIAI KS	on financial stability or macroprudential matters — distinct from the designated macroprudential authority — in your jurisdiction? If so, please describe its legal basis, mandate, composition, powers and accountability arrangements. Who provides the resources and analytical support for its activities? Please see response 1 above. 4. Please describe the extent to which the macroprudential authority (or other relevant body) is able to collect information on material financial institutions, markets and instruments in order to assess potential systemic risks. In your response, please indicate whether the authorities involved in systemic risk monitoring have specific legal powers to collect information from financial institutions (whether regulated or not) for financial stability purposes, and whether there exist dedicated information gateways (e.g. Memorandum of Understanding)	TVEAT STEPS
				to share such information among relevant authorities.	
				The BCRA regularly collects	
				information on micro-prudential as well	
				as on systemic matters which is shared with local authorities (other regulators	
				and the Ministry of Economy) and with	
				foreign regulators under the terms of the	
				MOUs signed. The BCRA has signed	
				agreements of cooperation and	
				information exchange with the CNV and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				the SSN, in which they mutually	
				undertake to cooperate and provide	
				information relevant to institutions	
				operating under the scope of their	
				supervision, subject to the legal	
				restrictions applicable in each case. The	
				Capital Markets Law (N°26,831)	
				optimizes the existing regulation in terms	
				of the sharing of confidential information	
				by different financial regulators /	
				supervisors (CNV, BCRA and SSN).	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
12 (14)	Enhancing system-wide monitoring and the use of macro-prudential	Authorities should use quantitative indicators and/or constraints on leverage and margins as macro-prudential tools for	Please describe at a high level (including by making reference to financial stability or other reports, where available) the	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
	instruments	supervisory purposes. Authorities should use quantitative indicators of leverage as guides for policy, both at the institution-specific and at the macro-prudential (system-wide) level(Rec. 3.1, FSF 2009)	types of methodologies, indicators and tools used to assess systemic risks. Please indicate the use of macroprudential tools in the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and	 ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: 	Web-links to relevant documents:
		We are developing macro-prudential policy frameworks and tools to limit the build-up of risks in the financial sector, building on the ongoing work of the FSB-BIS-IMF on this subject. (Cannes)	 apply them. See, for reference, the following documents: CGFS report on <u>Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments</u> (Dec 	 ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: 2012 Issue is being addressed through: ☑ Primary / Secondary legislation 	
		Authorities should monitor substantial changes in asset prices and their implications for the macro economy and the financial system. (Washington)	 2012) FSB-IMF-BIS progress report to the G20 on <u>Macroprudential policy tools and frameworks (Oct 2011)</u> IMF staff papers on <u>Macroprudential policy</u>, an organizing framework 	 ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last year's survey: 	
			(Mar 2011), Key Aspects of Macroprudential policy (Jun 2013), and Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy (Dec 2014)	Web-links to relevant documents: http://www.bcra.gob.ar/Pdfs/Politicas/Hoj a_ruta_Basilea_III_i.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/Pdfs/Publicacione s/bef0115i.pdf Additional questions: 1. Please describe, at a high level,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	-			the types of methodologies, indicators and reports used in your jurisdiction to identify, analyse, communicate and address systemic risks.	
				The BCRA uses a set of methodologies	
				and indicators in order to adequately	
				monitor potential systemic events and	
				sources of risks. A systemic financial risk	
				could be defined as a risk of disruption in	
				the normal functioning of the financial	
				system, with potential impact on the real	
				economy. The BCRA monitors especially	
				the largest banks, since the failure of even	
				a small number of large and complex	
				institutions could spread through a	
				multiplicity of contagion channels to the	
				whole financial system. The BCRA	
				regularly monitors aggregate risk	
				indicators and banks' balance sheets as	
				well as other data on the macroeconomic	
				and market conditions, such as:	
				companies and households' indebtedness,	
				debt service burden, banks' common	
				exposures (by region or economic sector),	
				stress tests analysis and metrics of risk	
				concentration within the system. The	
				types of methodology, indicators and	
				reports depend on the mandates of the	
				two departments within the BCRA in	
				charge of the monitoring: one within the	
				SEFyC, directly involved with supervision	

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
110	Description	G20/F3B Recommendations	Kemai Ks	<u> </u>	reat steps
				and institutions' performance, and the	
				other dedicated to financial stability and	
				the analysis of macroeconomic and	
				capital markets issues.A significant part	
				of these indicators and their	
				methodological notes are disclosed by the	
				BCRA through a wide set of publications,	
				such as the "Report on Banks" (monthly),	
				the "Financial Stability Report" (half-	
				yearly), the book "Information of	
				Financial Institutions" (monthly), among	
				other institutional releases. Many are also	
				provided to the IMF on a quarterly basis	
				under the framework of the Financial	
				Soundness Indicators (and also published	
				on the BCRA website).	
				2. Please describe the range of policy tools (prudential and other) currently available to the authorities for macroprudential purposes. ²	
				In recent years, the BCRA has	
				implemented a set of regulations for	
				micro and macro-prudential purposes: •	
				Mandatory 30% reserve requirement on	
				short term funds from abroad; • Limits on	
				open FX positions and currency	

An indicative list of such tools can be found in "Macroprudential Policy Tools and Frameworks – Progress Report to the G20" by the FSB, IMF and BIS (October 2011, http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/wp-content/uploads/r 111027b.pdf); "Staff Guidance on Macroprudential Policy" (December 2014, http://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2014/110614.pdf) by IMF staff; and "Operationalising the selection and application of macroprudential instruments" (December 2012, http://www.bis.org/publ/cgfs48.pdf) by the CGFS.

No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				mismatches; • Limits on foreign currency	
				loans (that can only be granted to	
				customers having income in foreign	
				currencies); • Differentiated cash reserve	
				requirements for foreign currency	
				deposits; • Capital surcharge for D-SIBs;	
				• Limits in interbank exposures; •	
				Concentration limits; • Liquidity	
				coverage ratio; • Higher capital	
				requirements on banks for mortgages	
				with high loan-to-value ratios. •	
				Monitoring of the leverage ratio. Work in	
				progress: • Monitoring of Net Stable	
				Funding Ratios for a sample of banks; •	
				Countercyclical Capital Buffer / Basel III	
				Capital Conservation Buffer.	
				3. Please indicate which tools have been deployed for macroprudential purposes over the past year, including the objective for their use and the process used to select, calibrate, and apply them.	
				In 2014, the BCRA introduced	
				regulations with the aim of: - Helping	
				reduce foreign exchange risk: limits to	
				foreign currency open positions -	
				Encouraging savings in domestic and	
				foreign currencies: • Floor for interest	
				rates on retail time deposits in pesos; •	
				Increased amount covered by the deposit	
				insurance; Finally, in 2014 the BCRA	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				concluded the calibration of the Liquidity	
				Coverage Ratio, which entered into force	
				in January 2015, and the disclosure	
				requirement for the Leverage Ratio, in	
				force since Q1 2015.	
				4. Please describe whether and, if so, how the relevant authorities assess the <i>ex ante</i> cost and benefits of macroprudential policies and their <i>ex post</i> effectiveness.	
				Ad-hoc technical groups within the	
				BCRA analyse the potential impact of	
				policy measures (ex-ante assessment).	
				Once the analysis is finished, the BCRA	
				authorities decide whether to implement	
				them or not and on their calibration. If a	
				policy is to be implemented, authorities	
				may require further technical analysis to	
				evaluate its real effectiveness and final	
				calibration (ex-post analysis).	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
V.	Improving oversight of	f credit rating agencies (CRAs)			
13 (16)	Enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	All CRAs whose ratings are used for regulatory purposes should be subject to a regulatory oversight regime that includes	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures undertaken for enhancing regulation and supervision of CRAs	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation:
	CRAs	regulatory oversight regime that includes registration. The regulatory oversight regime should be established by end 2009 and should be consistent with the IOSCO Code of Conduct Fundamentals. (London) National authorities will enforce compliance and require changes to a rating agency's practices and procedures for managing conflicts of interest and assuring the transparency and quality of the rating process. CRAs should differentiate ratings for structured products and provide full disclosure of their ratings track record and the information and assumptions that underpin the ratings process. The oversight framework should be consistent across jurisdictions with appropriate sharing of information between national authorities, including through IOSCO. (London) Regulators should work together towards appropriate, globally compatible solutions (to conflicting compliance	regulation and supervision of CRAs including registration, oversight and sharing of information between national authorities. They should also indicate their consistency with the following IOSCO document: • Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies (Mar 2015) Jurisdictions may also refer to the following IOSCO documents: • Principle 22 of Principles and Objectives of Securities Regulation (Jun 2010) which calls for registration and oversight programs for CRAs • Statement of Principles Regarding the Activities of Credit Rating Agencies (Sep 2003) • Final Report on Supervisory Colleges for Credit Rating Agencies (Jul 2013)	at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: May 9, 2013. Issue is being addressed through: ☐ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation /Guidelines ☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: In Argentina CRAs have been regulated, registered and supervised by the CNV since 1992. In April 2012 Chapter XVI of CNV Regulation was amended by the General Resolution 605/12 modifying the regulatory framework applicable to CRAs. Decree 1023/2013, issued by the	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		in 2010. (FSB 2009) We encourage further steps to enhance transparency and competition among credit rating agencies. (St Petersburg)		Executive on August 1st 2013, implements the dispositions and regulations established by Law 26.831 and broadens the CNV's authority to regulate the market. In Argentina, the members of the supervisory board of CRA must ensure that the rating activities are independent and that conflicts of interest are adequately identified, managed and disclosed. CRAs must publish in the CNV webpage: the credit rating immediately, their rating methodologies, and the performance measurement statistics. The CNV has issued GR 622/13 that includes new CRA regulation (see Title IX - Sept 2013). Title IX has two Chapters: Chapter I refers to private CRAs and Chapter II introduces a new player in the market "Public Universities acting as CRAs". On 28 April, 2014 the European Commission adopted an implementing decision (Decision 2014/246/EU) on the recognition of the legal and supervisory framework of Argentina as equivalent to the requirements of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 on credit rating agencies. Highlight main developments since last year's survey: Web-links to relevant documents:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet/anexos/195000- 199999/196357/norma.htm http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/Decretos/esp/DEC1023-13.htm http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/marcoregulatorio3.asp?Lang=0&item=3 http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:L:2014:13 2:FULL&from=EN	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
14	Reducing the reliance	We also endorsed the FSB's principles on	Jurisdictions should indicate the steps	☐ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected
(17)	on ratings	reducing reliance on external credit	they are taking to address the	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	commencement date:
		ratings. Standard setters, market	recommendations of the May 2014 FSB	at the moment	
		participants, supervisors and central	thematic peer review report on the	☐ Implementation ongoing:	Web-links to relevant documents:
		banks should not rely mechanistically on	implementation of the FSB Principles for	Status of progress:	
		external credit ratings. (Seoul)	Reducing Reliance on Credit Ratings,	☐ Draft in preparation, expected	
		Authorities should check that the roles	including by implementing their agreed	publication by:	
		that they have assigned to ratings in	action plans.	☐ Draft published as of:	
		regulations and supervisory rules are	Jurisdictions may refer to the following	☐ Final rule or legislation approved	
		consistent with the objectives of having	documents:	and will come into force on:	
		investors make independent judgment of risks and perform their own due	• FSB Principles for Reducing Reliance	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		diligence, and that they do not induce	on CRA Ratings (Oct 2010)	☑ Implementation completed as of:	
		uncritical reliance on credit ratings as a	• FSB <u>Roadmap for Reducing Reliance</u>	November 28, 2014	
		substitute for that independent evaluation.	on CRA Ratings (Nov 2012)	Issue is being addressed through:	
		(Rec IV. 8, FSF 2008)	BCBS Consultative Document	☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		We reaffirm our commitment to reduce	Revisions to the Standardised Approach	☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
		authorities' and financial institutions'	for credit risk (Dec 2014)	☐ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		reliance on external credit ratings, and		actions), please specify:	
		call on standard setters, market		Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline:	
		participants, supervisors and central			
		banks to implement the agreed FSB		Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
		principles and end practices that rely		·	
		mechanistically on these ratings.		Since the issuance of BCRA	
		(Cannes)		Communication "A" 5671, all references	
				to external credit ratings in banking	
		We call for accelerated progress by		regulation applicable to domestic	
		national authorities and standard setting		businesses and counterparties were	
		bodies in ending the mechanistic reliance		replaced with criteria that take into	
		on credit ratings and encourage steps that			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
		would enhance transparency of and		account the characteristics of the debtor	
		competition among credit rating agencies.		and/or transaction. For foreign	
		(Los Cabos)		counterparties and cross-border	
				transactions, references to international	
		We call on national authorities and		credit ratings will only have a subsidiary	
		standard setting bodies to accelerate		role, so as to ensure that banks exert	
		progress in reducing reliance on credit		independent judgment in their decision-	
		rating agencies, in accordance with the		making process. Also, in order to	
		FSB roadmap. (St Petersburg)		reduce the reliance on external credit	
				ratings, the BCRA adopted a new	
				framework for the investment of its	
				international reserves based on a	
				multifactor model, which allows	
				assessing credit risk through a timelier	
				and wider set of information. The new	
				framework is no longer unduly reliant on	
				minimum credit ratings. Risk monitoring	
				now takes CRAs' ratings as an additional	
				input, together with CDS market prices	
				and ratings from structural models in	
				order to complement and inform the	
				process of assessment and selection of	
				eligible instruments.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bcra.gov.ar/Pdfs/comytexord/A5671.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VI.	Enhancing and alignin	g accounting standards			
15 (18)	Consistent application of high-quality accounting standards	Regulators, supervisors, and accounting standard setters, as appropriate, should work with each other and the private sector on an ongoing basis to ensure consistent application and enforcement of high-quality accounting standards. (Washington)	Jurisdictions should indicate the accounting standards that they follow and whether (and on what basis) they are deemed to be equivalent to IFRSs as published by the IASB or are otherwise of a high and internationally acceptable quality, and provide accurate and relevant information on financial performance. They should also explain the system they have for enforcement of consistent application of those standards. Jurisdictions may want to refer to their jurisdictional profile prepared by the IFRS Foundation, which can be accessed at: http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-world/Pages/Analysis-of-the-G20-IFRS-profiles.aspx .	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: January 1, 2012 □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: The CNV General Resolution N° 562/2009 requires that issuers of shares and negotiable papers listed on public exchanges (except banks and insurance companies) use IFRSs for interim and annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2012. Those rules are stated in Title IV Chapter I – III. The BCRA sets	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: The BCRA will issue detailed guidance for the convergence to IFRSs, according to the schedule established in Communication "A" 5541. Expected commencement date: January 2018 Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				high-quality reporting and accounting	
				requirements for financial institutions,	
				permanently follows discussions on this	
				subject and makes efforts to harmonize	
				its rules with international guidance. In	
				February 2014, the BCRA issued	
				Communication "A" 5541 with the	
				roadmap for the convergence of its	
				banking reporting requirements to IFRSs.	
				According to it, all financial institutions	
				regulated by the BCRA shall prepare	
				their financial statements using IFRSs for	
				annual periods beginning on or after 1	
				January 2018. Please see:	
				http://www.ifrs.org/Use-around-the-	
				world/Documents/Jurisdiction-	
				profiles/Argentina-IFRS-Profile.pdf	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/marco_regulatorio3.asp?Lang=0&item=3 http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/texord/manual.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/comytexord/A5541.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
16 (19)	Appropriate application of Fair Value Accounting	Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine the use of valuation reserves or adjustments for fair valued financial instruments when data or modelling needed to support their valuation is weak. (Rec. 3.4, FSF 2009) Accounting standard setters and prudential supervisors should examine possible changes to relevant standards to dampen adverse dynamics potentially associated with fair value accounting. Possible ways to reduce this potential impact include the following: (1) Enhancing the accounting model so that the use of fair value accounting is carefully examined for financial instruments of credit intermediaries; (ii) Transfers between financial asset categories; (iii) Simplifying hedge accounting requirements. (Rec 3.5, FSF 2009)	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken for appropriate application of fair value accounting. Although not an application of fair value accounting, jurisdictions should additionally be mindful of implementation issues arising from the new accounting requirements for expected loan loss provisioning for impaired loans that are being introduced by the IASB and the FASB, and, for those jurisdictions where specific action is needed to foster transparent and consistent implementation, set out any steps they intend to take. See, for reference, the following BCBS documents: • Basel 2.5 standards on prudent valuation (Jul 2009) • Supervisory guidance for assessing banks' financial instrument fair value practices (Apr 2009)	□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☑ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☑ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: January 1, 2012 □ Implementation completed as of: Issue is being addressed through: □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Unlisted bonds held by institutions regulated by the BCRA are initially measured at cost and their value is subsequently increased according to their internal rate of return. This treatment is applicable to government and BCRA bonds as well as to the private sector unlisted bonds, such as corporate bonds, subordinated debt instruments and debt	If this recommendation has not yet been fully implemented, please provide reasons for delayed implementation: The BCRA will issue detailed guidance for the convergence to IFRSs, according to the schedule established in Communication "A" 5541 Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: January 2018 Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				securities issued by financial trusts.	
				Financial institutions are allowed to build	
				a provision of up to 10% of their position	
				in fair valued instruments to absorb	
				fluctuations in prices. In addition,	
				financial institutions with liquid assets	
				exceeding 40% of their deposits—and	
				therefore capable of sustaining a business	
				model based on contractual cash-flows—	
				are allowed to assign instruments	
				otherwise eligible to be measured at fair	
				value to the amortised cost category for	
				an amount equivalent to the excess in	
				liquid assets.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/texord/t-valtit.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/comytexord/ A5541.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VII.	Enhancing risk manag	ement			
17	Enhancing guidance to	Regulators should develop enhanced	Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to enhance guidance to	□ Not applicable	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
(20)	strengthen banks' risk management practices,	guidance to strengthen banks' risk management practices, in line with	strengthen banks' risk management	☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment	Commencement date.
	including on liquidity	international best practices, and should	practices.	☐ Implementation ongoing:	Web-links to relevant documents:
	and foreign currency	encourage financial firms to re-examine	Jurisdictions may also refer to FSB's thematic peer review report on risk	Status of progress:	
	funding risks	their internal controls and implement strengthened policies for sound risk	governance (Feb 2013) and the BCBS	☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by:	
		management. (Washington)	Peer review of supervisory authorities' implementation of stress testing	☐ Draft published as of:	
		National supervisors should closely check banks' implementation of the updated	principles (Apr 2012) and Principles for	☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on:	
		guidance on the management and supervision of liquidity as part of their	sound stress testing practices and supervision (May 2009).	☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since :	
		regular supervision. If banks' implementation of the guidance is		☑ Implementation completed as of: February 13, 2013	
		inadequate, supervisors will take more prescriptive action to improve practices.		Issue is being addressed through:	
		(Rec. II.10, FSF 2008)		☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
		Regulators and supervisors in emerging		☑ Regulation /Guidelines	
		markets ³ will enhance their supervision		☐ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
		of banks' operation in foreign currency funding markets. (FSB 2009)		Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	
		We commit to conduct robust, transparent stress tests as needed. (Pittsburgh)		As mentioned in the FSB's thematic peer review report on risk governance (February 2013), the BCRA has issued domestic guidelines on corporate	

³ Only the emerging market jurisdictions that are members of the FSB may respond to this recommendation.



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				governance and risk management and has	
				adjusted the supervision manual to be in	
				line with the guidelines. The BCRA	
				guidelines on corporate governance, risk	
				management and stress testing are in	
				force since January 2012. In February	
				2013 their scope was extended to include	
				Basel 2.5 specific guidelines on	
				concentration, reputational and	
				counterparty risks and on securitizations.	
				Liquidity is one of the most important	
				items that supervisors assess in their	
				work. Recently, a reporting requirement	
				for the BCBS monitoring tools was	
				implemented. Reasonableness of	
				financial institutions' contingency plans	
				is evaluated during on-site revisions. As	
				part of the supervisory process, the	
				SEFyC receives information on banks'	
				condition and performance and monitors	
				their business plans. This information is	
				periodically submitted to the senior staff	
				of the SEFyC and taken into account	
				when formulating BCRA's policies. The	
				BCRA rule on credit policy requires that	
				credit assistance be directed towards	
				financing domestic investment,	
				production and consumption. Financial	
				institutions are generally not allowed to	
				hold foreign securities, neither public nor	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				private. The lending capacity from	
				foreign currency deposits can only fund	
				loans denominated in the same currency	
				and granted to companies with revenues	
				tied to such foreign currencies. In	
				addition to the market risk capital	
				requirement, there are specific limits	
				applicable to a bank's positions in foreign	
				currencies. The BCRA and the SEFyC	
				perform stress testing exercises to assess	
				the soundness and resilience of the	
				banking sector, particularly with a view	
				to improving bank supervision and	
				safeguarding financial stability. All major	
				risks are stress tested: credit, liquidity,	
				interest rate in the banking book, market	
				risk (price and exchange rate risk) and	
				business risk (net non-interest income and	
				operating expenses). Tests are performed	
				on an annual basis for all financial	
				intermediaries (on a standalone basis) and	
				all material exposures, with a 24-month	
				stress horizon. Estimated potential losses	
				are compared to each bank's loss	
				absorbing capacity, defined as regulatory	
				capital, and with capital buffers held in	
				excess of the regulatory minimum. The	
				results of liquidity stress tests are used to	
				measure banks' ability to withstand	
				extremely illiquid scenarios and their	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				eventual need of financial assistance from	
				the BCRA as lender of last resort.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/texord/t-lingob.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/texord/t-lingeef.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/texord/t-polcre.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/texord/t-pognme.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
No 18 (22)	Description Enhanced risk disclosures by financial institutions	G20/FSB Recommendations Financial institutions should provide enhanced risk disclosures in their reporting and disclose all losses on an ongoing basis, consistent with international best practice, as appropriate. (Washington) We encourage further efforts by the public and private sector to enhance financial institutions' disclosures of the risks they face, including the ongoing work of the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force. (St. Petersburg)	Remarks Jurisdictions should indicate the status of implementation of the disclosures requirements of IFRSs (in particular IFRS7 and 13) or equivalent. Jurisdictions may also use as reference the recommendations of the October 2012 report by the Enhanced Disclosure Task Force on Enhancing the Risk Disclosures of Banks and Implementation Progress Report by the EDTF (Aug 2013), and set out any steps they have taken to foster adoption of the EDTF Principles and Recommendations.	Progress to date □ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: February 8, 2013 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation ☑ Regulation / Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Communication "A" 5201, on transparency and the necessary	Next steps Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				Communication "A" 5201, on	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				provide, as a note to their annual financial	
				statements, details on their risk exposures	
				and risk management framework.	
				Communication "A" 5394, on Market	
				Discipline, requires Financial Institutions	
				to report, on the base of a set of	
				disclosure requirements, key information	
				which will allow market participants to	
				assess the scope of application of capital	
				requirements, risk exposures, risk	
				assessment processes and the capital	
				adequacy of institutions. Disclosure	
				requirements are in force as from	
				December 2013. In February 2014, the	
				BCRA issued Communication "A" 5541	
				with the roadmap for the convergence of	
				its banking reporting requirements to	
				IFRSs. According to it, all financial	
				institutions regulated by the BCRA shall	
				prepare their financial statements using	
				IFRSs for annual periods beginning on or	
				after 1 January 2018.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Communication "A" 5394 was	
				complemented by Communications "A"	
				5674 and 5734, which incorporated Basel	
				disclosure standards for Leverage Ratio	
				and Liquidity coverage ratio,	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				respectively.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/comytexord/A5201.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/comytexord/A5293.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/comytexord/A5394.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/comytexord/A5541.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/comytexord/A5674.pdf http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/comytexord/A5734.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
VIII.	Strengthening deposit	insurance			
19 (23)	Strengthening of national deposit insurance arrangements	National deposit insurance arrangements should be reviewed against the agreed international principles, and authorities should strengthen arrangements where	Jurisdictions should describe any revisions made to national deposit insurance system, including steps taken to address the following recommendations	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment ☐ Implementation engains:	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
		should strengthen arrangements where needed. (Rec. VI.9, FSF 2008)	address the following recommendations of the FSB's February 2012 thematic peer review report on deposit insurance systems: • Adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system (for those jurisdictions that do not have one) • Addressing the weaknesses and gaps to full implementation of the Core Principles for Effective Deposit Insurance Systems issued by IADI in November 2014	 □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since: ☑ Implementation completed as of: Final document for the Assessment of the compliance with the Core Principles, November, 2015. The adoption of an explicit deposit insurance system is in place since the creation of the Deposit Insurance System and the constitution of SEDESA, in 1995. In relation to the Core Principles, the Deposit Insurance System conducted its first self-assessment in 2012, according to the IADI's Assessment Methodology (published in 2010), with a satisfactory outcome since most of them were compliant. A review of this self assessment took place in the first quarter of 2013. SEDESA, the Deposit Insurance Agency, is a current member of the Steering Committee of the Core Principles of IADI that is working on the development of a new Assessment Methodology, which aims 	Web-links to relevant documents:



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
	-			to be approved by IADI members in the last quarter of 2015.	
				Issue is being addressed through:	
				☐ Primary / Secondary legislation	
				☐ Regulation /Guidelines	
				☑ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify:	
				In relation to the Core Principles, the	
				Deposit Insurance System has tackled the	
				following principles: Principle 5 –	
				CROSS BORDER ISSUES; SEDESA	
				has prepared a foreign affairs plan to sign	
				MOUs with those jurisdictions that either	
				have presence through banks in Argentina	
				or that are host to Argentinean entities.	
				This plan was approved by the Board of	
				SEDESA in 2015 and it is currently being	
				implemented. Up to date SEDESA has	
				signed MOUs with: Taiwan, Mexico,	
				Switzerland and Uruguay. These	
				documents are not public and will	
				therefore, not be published. Principle 8 –	
				COVERAGE; this CP requests for a	
				clearly defined level and scope of deposit	
				coverage. This level was updated in	
				October 2014, according to provisions	
				established in Communication "A" 5641	
				from the BCRA.	
				Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline:	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Deposit Guarantee System: -Law No.	
				24,485 (Law of the Deposit Insurance	
				System)Presidential Decree No.	
				540/1995, as amendedPresidential	
				Decree No. 905/2002 (related sections)	
				COMMUNICATION "A" 5641 (October	
				6th, 2014) - COMMUNICATION "A"	
				5659 (October 31st, 2014) -Law No.	
				21,526 (Law on Financial Institutions)	
				Charter of the Central Bank (Law No.	
				24,144).	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Foreign affairs plan approved by the	
				Board of Directors of SEDESA.	
				Signature of MOUs with the	
				abovementioned jurisdictions.	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.sedesa.com.ar/index.php/en/s eguro-de-depositos-s-a-en/legal- framework http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/marco/Carta Organica2012_i.pdf	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
IX.	Safeguarding the integ	rity and efficiency of financial markets	S		
	•			□ Not applicable □ Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment □ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: □ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: □ Draft published as of: □ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: □ Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : ☑ Implementation completed as of: January 28, 2013 Issue is being addressed through : □ Primary / Secondary legislation □ Regulation /Guidelines □ Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: The new Capital Market Law N° 26,831 provides the CNV with supervisory and sanctionary powers that were previously	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:
				sanctionary powers that were previously absent (see Law 17,811, dated 1968) aligning Argentina with international	
				standards. Short description of the content of the legislation/ regulation/guideline: Highlight main developments since last	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/Leyes/ing/LEY26831.htm	
				http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/marco _regulatorio3.asp?Lang=0&item=3	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
21 (25)	Regulation and supervision of	We need to ensure enhanced market transparency, both on cash and financial	Jurisdictions should indicate whether commodity markets of any type exist in	☐ Not applicable ☐ Applicable but no action envisaged	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date:
(23)	commodity markets	commodity markets, including OTC, and achieve appropriate regulation and supervision of participants in these markets. Market regulators and authorities should be granted effective intervention powers to address disorderly markets and prevent market abuses. In particular, market regulators should have, and use formal position management	their national markets. Jurisdictions should indicate the policy measures taken to implement the principles found in IOSCO's report on Principles for the Regulation and Supervision of Commodity Derivatives Markets (Sep 2011). Jurisdictions, in responding to this recommendation, may also make use of the responses contained in the update to the survey published by IOSCO in September 2014 on the principles for the regulation and supervision of commodity derivatives markets.	at the moment ☐ Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: ☐ Draft in preparation, expected publication by: ☐ Draft published as of: ☐ Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: ☐ Final rule (for part of the reform) in	Web-links to relevant documents:
		powers, including the power to set exante position limits, particularly in the delivery month where appropriate, among other powers of intervention. We call on IOSCO to report on the implementation of its recommendations by the end of 2012. (Cannes)		force since: ✓ Implementation completed as of: Final rule or legislation approved and is in force since 4th Quarter 2014. Issue is being addressed through: ✓ Primary / Secondary legislation ✓ Regulation /Guidelines ✓ Other actions (such as supervisory	
		We also call on Finance ministers to monitor on a regular basis the proper implementation of IOSCO's principles for the regulation and supervision on commodity derivatives markets and encourage broader publishing and unrestricted access to aggregated open interest data. (St. Petersburg)		actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation/regulation/guideline: In Argentina there are two commodity markets (Mercado a Término de Buenos Aires S.A –MATBA- and Mercado a Término de Rosario S.A. –Rofex-) where standardized derivatives on commodities and financial products are traded. CNV approves terms and conditions of contracts for each market. The new	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				Capital Market Law N°26,831 provides	
				the CNV with authorization, regulation,	
				supervisory and sanctionary powers that	
				were previously absent (see Law 17,811,	
				dated 1968) aligning Argentina with	
				international standards. In September	
				2013, CNV issued GR N°622/2013 called	
				"NORMAS (N.T. 2013)" in which rules	
				for Markets and Clearing Houses are set	
				in TITLE VI Chapters I to V;	
				requirements for Intermediaries are set in	
				Title VII Chapters I to VII; requirements	
				for Central Depositary Entities are set in	
				Title VIII Chapters I and II. New CNV	
				regulations ensure market transparency,	
				both in cash and financial commodity	
				markets, including OTC, and achieve	
				appropriate regulation and supervision of	
				participants in these markets. On July	
				and August 2014, CNV in conjunction	
				with SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE	
				(SAGyP) of the MINISTRY OF	
				AGRICULTURE, dictated JOINT	
				RESOLUTIONs N° 628/2014 and N°	
				208/2014 and Resolution 630/14 and	
				299/14, requiring the registration of all	
				bilateral spot and OTC derivatives	
				contracts on agricultural products in	
				electronic platforms developed by futures	
				exchanges and commodities exchanges.	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				In the other hand, all standardized	
				derivatives (futures and options on	
				futures on commodities) are traded in	
				exchanges on authorized electronic	
				platforms by CNV. Also all standardized	
				derivatives are cleared through	
				exchanges/CCPs. CNV has enough	
				effective intervention powers to prevent	
				market abuses and approves all terms and	
				conditions of futures contracts, including	
				position limits for each intermediary,	
				particularly for the month of the delivery	
				where appropriate, and can supervise the	
				compliance of them, among other powers	
				of intervention.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				As mentioned before, all cash and OTC	
				derivatives contracts on agricultural	
				products (the main assets that are being	
				traded on OTC contracts) must be	
				registered on electronic platforms	
				developed by Futures and Commodity	
				exchanges as it is required by CNV and	
				SAGyP. This platform has been	
				developed and launched for the first step	
				in www.siogranos.com.ar Besides,	
				following IOSCO and G20	
				recommendations, CNV approved new	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				rules (Section 10 Chapter V Title VI), put	
				them in force and made them effective	
				where all markets must develop a system	
				for the registration of non-standardized	
				derivatives contracts implemented on a	
				bilateral basis thorough the services of	
				entities within the jurisdiction of the	
				CNV and/or Broker-Dealers registered	
				with the CNV (where majority of banks	
				are included).	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/Leyes/ing/LEY26831.htm http://www.cnv.gob.ar/LeyesyReg/marco_regulatorio3.asp?Lang=0&item=3 www.siogranos.com.ar	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
22	Reform of financial	We support the establishment of the	Collection of information on this		
(26)	benchmarks	FSB's Official Sector Steering Group to	recommendation will continue to be		
(20)		coordinate work on the necessary reforms	deferred given the forthcoming FSB		
		of financial benchmarks. We endorse	progress report on implementation of the		
		IOSCO's Principles for Financial	FSB recommendations in this area, and		
		Benchmarks and look forward to reform	ongoing IOSCO work to review the		
		as necessary of the benchmarks used	implementation of the IOSCO Principles		
		internationally in the banking industry	for Financial Benchmarks.		
		and financial markets, consistent with the			
		IOSCO Principles. (St. Petersburg)			



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps				
X.	X. Enhancing financial consumer protection								
	-	1	Jurisdictions should describe progress toward implementation of the OECD's G-20 high-level principles on financial consumer protection (Oct 2011). Jurisdictions may also refer to OECD's September 2013 and September 2014 reports on effective approaches to support the implementation of the High-level Principles.	Not applicable Applicable but no action envisaged at the moment Implementation ongoing: Status of progress: Draft in preparation, expected publication by: Draft published as of: Final rule or legislation approved and will come into force on: Final rule (for part of the reform) in force since : Implementation completed as of: July 19, 2013 Issue is being addressed through : Primary / Secondary legislation Regulation / Guidelines Other actions (such as supervisory actions), please specify: Short description of the content of the legislation / regulation/guideline: Act 26,739 made changes to the BCRA Charter (Act 24,144). The reform explicitly included consumer protection	Planned actions (if any) and expected commencement date: Web-links to relevant documents:				
				, , ,					



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				already implemented many protection	
				devices: a call centre, an on-line data base	
				on banks' debtors and rejected checks,	
				courses and seminars on financial	
				consumer protection and education and	
				an on-line service for consumer financial	
				information. Financial institutions and	
				bureaus of exchange supervised by the	
				BCRA have to have procedures in place	
				to receive complaints on the quality of	
				their services and to provide remedies	
				within the consumer protection	
				framework (for example, duty to disclose	
				detailed and accurate information and	
				protect consumers' economic interests).	
				Priority and special assistance is due to	
				differently abled people. There is also a	
				procedure to file complaints with the	
				BCRA for breaches of this regulation.	
				The BCRA has established financial	
				literacy programs. The site of the BCRA	
				has a web portal with information on the	
				Argentine financial system, made in a	
				clear and precise language, in order to	
				promote both transparency and	
				confidence in the financial system. The	
				BCRA has laid down guidance on the	
				fees and charges imposed by banks on	
				customers (charges are admissible only if	
				they compensate banks for an actual and	



No	Description	G20/FSB Recommendations	Remarks	Progress to date	Next steps
				direct cost, which has to be demonstrable	
				and reasonable from an economic point	
				of view). The new guidance also covers	
				the sale of products and services outside	
				banking offices, the consumer's right to	
				revoke contracts involving banking	
				products or services, the definition of	
				abusive contract terms and the prohibition	
				of such terms in banking contracts.	
				Highlight main developments since last year's survey:	
				Web-links to relevant documents:	
				http://www.clientebancario.gov.ar http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/infolegInternet /anexos/0-4999/542/texactley20539.htm http://www.bcra.gov.ar/pdfs/texord/t- pusf.pdf www.bcra.gov.ar	



Argentina

XI. Source of recommendations:

Brisbane: G20 Leaders' Communique (15-16 November 2014)

St Petersburg: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (5-6 September 2013)

Los Cabos: The G20 Leaders' Declaration (18-19 June 2012)

Cannes: The Cannes Summit Final Declaration (3-4 November 2011)

Seoul: The Seoul Summit Document (11-12 November 2010)

Toronto: The G-20 Toronto Summit Declaration (26-27 June 2010)

Pittsburgh: Leaders' Statement at the Pittsburgh Summit (25 September 2009)

London: The London Summit Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System (2 April 2009)

Washington: The Washington Summit Action Plan to Implement Principles for Reform (15 November 2008)

FSF 2008: The FSF Report on Enhancing Market and Institutional Resilience (7 April 2008)

FSF 2009: The FSF Report on Addressing Procyclicality in the Financial System (2 April 2009)

FSB 2009: The FSB Report on Improving Financial Regulation (25 September 2009)

FSB 2012: The FSB Report on Increasing the Intensity and Effectiveness of SIFI Supervision (1 November 2012)

XII. <u>List of Abbreviations used:</u>

BCRA: Banco Central de la República Argentina — Central Bank of Argentina

CNV: Comisión Nacional de Valores — National Securities Commission

DIA: Deposits Insurance Agency — Seguro de Depósitos SA (SEDESA)

IADI: International Association of Deposit Insurers

IAIS: International Association of Insurance Supervisors

IFRS: International Financial Reporting Standards

MATBA: Mercado a Término de Buenos Aires S.A.

MoU: Memorandum of Understanding

ROFEX: Mercado a Término de Rosario S.A.

SEFyC: Superintendencia de Entidades Financieras y Cambiarias — Superintendence of

Financial Entities

SSN: Superintendencia de Seguros de la Nación — Insurance Superintendence of Argentina

UIF: Unidad de InformaciónFinanciera — Financial Information Unit